REPORT NO: P WMA 07/000//00/0304



DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY Directorate: National Water Resource Planning





# Thukela Water Management Area

Internal Strategic Perspective

## Version 1









**NOVEMBER 2004** 



## DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY

## DIRECTORATE: NATIONAL WATER RESOURCE PLANNING

#### INTERNAL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE: THUKELA WATER MANAGEMENT AREA

Version 1: November 2004

#### DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY DIRECTORATE NATIONAL WATER RESOURCE PLANNING

#### INTERNAL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE

#### THUKELA WATER MANAGEMENT AREA

#### **APPROVAL**

Title		Thukela WMA: Internal Strategic Perspective
DWAF Report No	:	P WMA 07/000/00/0304
Consultants	:	Tlou & Matji (Pty) Ltd in association with WRP (Pty) Ltd and DMM cc
Report Status	:	Version 1: November 2004
Version Controller	:	Mr N Ward
Date	:	November 2004

STUDY TEAM:

Approved for Tlou & Matji (Pty) Ltd

SJL Mallory Director

**DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY** Directorate National Water Resource Planning **Approved for Department of Water Affairs and Forestry** 

NJ Van Wyk Project Manager J A Van Rooyen Manager: NWRP

#### REFERENCE

This report is to be referred to in bibliographies as:

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, South Africa. 2004. Internal Strategic Perspective: Thukela Water Management Area : Prepared by Tlou & Matji (Pty) Ltd, WRP (Pty) Ltd, and DMM cc on behalf of the Directorate: National Water Resource Planning (East). DWAF Report No. P WMA 07/000/00/0304.

#### INVITATION TO COMMENT

This report will be updated on a regular basis until it is eventually superceded by the Catchment Management Strategy. Water users and other stakeholders in the Thukela WMA and other areas are encouraged to study this report and to submit any comments they may have to the Version Controller (see box overleaf).

ELECTRONIC VERSION		
This report is also available in electronic format as follows:		
<ul> <li>DWAF website:</li> <li>Internet: <u>http://www.dwaf.gov.za/documents/</u></li> <li>iiOn CD which can be obtained from the DWAF Map Office at: 157 Schoeman Street, Pretoria (Emanzini Building)</li> </ul>		
+27 12 336 7813 mailto:apm@dwaf.gov.za		
or from the Version Controller (see box overleaf)		
The CD contains the following reports (all available on DWAF website)		
<ul> <li>Thukela Internal Strategic Perspective (<i>This Report</i>) (Report No: P WMA 07/000/0304</li> <li>The National Water Resource Strategy</li> <li>The Thukela WMA – Overview of Water Resources Availability ar Utilisation (Report No: P WMA 07/000/00/0203</li> <li>The Thukela WMA – Water Resources Situation Assessment (Report No: P WMA 07/000/00/0301)</li> </ul>		

#### LATEST VERSION

This report is a living document and will be updated on a regular basis. If the version of this report is older than 12 months, please check whether a later version is not available.

This can be done on the DWAF website: <u>http://www.dwaf.gov.za/documents/</u>

or by contacting the Version Controller (see box)

## **VERSION CONTROL**

## THUKELA WMA INTERNAL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE

Version 1	November 2004
(List of Previous Versions)	(Dates)
Current Version Controller	N Ward DWAF KwaZulu-Natal Regional Office P O Box 1018 DURBAN 4000
	+27 31 336 2700 WardN@dwaf.gov.za

The most significant amendments included in the latest version will be indicated here.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Internal Strategic Perspective (ISP) aims to ensure synergy within the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF) regarding water resources management in the Thukela WMA. The ISP presents a common and consistent departmental approach to guide officials when addressing water management related queries and evaluating water licence applications.

#### 2. BACKGROUND AND APPROACH

Water is one of the key and most fundamental and indispensable of all our natural resources. It is fundamental to life (and the quality of life), the environment, food production, hygiene, industry, and power generation. Water can be the limiting factor when it comes to economic growth and social development, especially in South Africa where it is a relatively scarce resource that is distributed unevenly both geographically and through time as well as socio-politically. Prosperity for South Africa depends upon sound management and utilisation of our many natural and other resources, with water playing a pivotal role.

DWAF is striving for an integrated planning and management approach, referred to as Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM). The ultimate aim of this IWRM process is to arrive at:

- an allocation schedule that meets the requirements of the National Water Act (NWA) (Act 36 of 1998);
- water resources yield and other models that are representative of the flow regime of the river systems in the area;
- management class scenarios for the river (i.e. Reserve and Resource Quality Objectives set);
- a catchment management strategy

These deliverables can only be finalised once the Catchment Management Agencies (CMA) assume responsibility for managing the water resources of their respective Water Management Areas (WMA). In the interim, DWAF's Regional Offices will continue to manage the water resources in their area of jurisdiction until such time as they can hand over these management functions to established and fully operational CMAs. In accordance with the NWA, DWAF (the Minister) will still remain ultimately responsible for the management of the water resources.

In light of this responsibility, DWAF's corporate perspective (including all relevant Directorates in the Department) on how the water resources should be managed needs to be formally expressed in order to manage the water resources in a consistent and predictable manner. The purpose of the ISP is to document these perspectives and offer sound motivation to demonstrate proper and reasonable governance.

#### 3. OVERVIEW OF THE THUKELA WMA

The Thukela Water Management Area (WMA) consists of the entire catchment of the Thukela River, also referred to as the 'V' Hydrological Drainage Region (Midgeley et al, 1994). The Thukela River rises in the Drakensberg mountains very close to the border with Lesotho and meanders through central KwaZulu-Natal and discharges into the Indian Ocean (see **Figure 2.1**). The Little Thukela, Klip, Bloukrans, Bushmans, Sundays, Mooi and Buffalo rivers are the

major tributaries of the Thukela, which together make up the WMA with its 88 quaternary catchments. The total area of the Thukela River catchment is approximately 30 000 km<sup>2</sup> in extent.

Due to the mountainous nature of the Thukela WMA and its proximity to the Indian Ocean, the rainfall is high by South African standards, ranging from over 1 500 mm per annum in the mountains to about 650 mm per annum in the central parts of the catchment. As a result of the high rainfall, there is substantial runoff from the Thukela catchment, with the Mean Annual Runoff (MAR), estimated at 3 799 million m<sup>3</sup>/a (DWAF, 2002a). Rainfall is however erratic and years of prolonged drought in the central and lower catchment alternate with very wet periods.

For analysis and reporting purposes, the Thukela WMA was divided into catchment areas, referred to in this report as Key Areas. These Key Areas are defined as follows:

- Upper Thukela (tertiary catchments V11, V12, V14 and quaternaries, V60G, H and J);
- Little Thukela (tertiary catchment V13);
- Bushmans (tertiary catchment V70);
- Sundays (quaternary catchments V60A, B, C, D, E and F);
- Mooi (tertiary catchment V20);
- Buffalo (tertiary catchments V31, V32 and quaternaries, V33A and B);
- Lower Thukela (tertiary catchments V40, V50 and quaternaries, V33C, D and V60K);
- The locations of the various Key Areas are shown **Figure 3.1**. This figure also shows the four sub-areas as defined and used for the balance calculations in the NWRS.

#### The Upper Thukela Key Area

The Upper Thukela Key Area lies in the upper reaches of the Thukela River upstream of the confluence with the Bushmans River and includes the towns of Bergville, Ladysmith, Colenso and Weenen. The Thukela and Klip Rivers are the main rivers in this catchment. This area is the source of water for the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme, which, *inter alia*, transfers water to the Vaal River System. The transfer capacity of this scheme represents a large portion (about 30%) of the water resources available in the Upper Vaal WMA, which is the economic heart of South Africa.

The proposed **Jana Dam**, which forms part of the Thukela Water Project, will also be located in this area on the Thukela River should this project proceed. It is important that the optimal long-term benefits be derived from the development of Thukela, and that both the national and local interests be appropriately addressed.

Due to the strategic nature of the Upper Thukela, it is not surprising that by far the largest use of the water derived from this catchment is for transfer to the Vaal System. With the infrastructure in place, consisting primarily of Woodstock Dam, Driel Barrage, canals and the Eskom Pumped Storage scheme, it is possible to transfer on average 530 million m<sup>3</sup>/a to the Vaal System. In contrast to this, local use within the Upper Thukela is estimated to be only 114 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, with irrigation making up the bulk of this use (87 million m<sup>3</sup>/a) while urban use in the towns of Ladysmith, Colenso and Bergville are also significant (17 million m<sup>3</sup>/a).

Despite the huge demands on the water resources of the Upper Thukela Key Area, there is surplus water available. This is due to the under-utilisation of the Spioenkop Dam which was constructed to supply local water requirements. New allocations can therefore be made in this

Key Area, provided they are downstream of the Driel Barrage, so as not to impact on the Thukela-Vaal transfer.

#### The Little Thukela Key Area

The Little Thukela Key Area consists of the catchment of the Little Thukela River, a tributary of the Thukela River. The catchment is characterised by large irrigation requirements (36 million m<sup>3</sup>/a) while the water resource remains relatively undeveloped. Other water use is insignificant. The only significant dam in this Key Area is the small Bell Park Dam. The upper areas of the Key Area are located in a nature reserve with the implication that no development in this area is likely. Areas adjacent to the nature reserve have however developed rapidly into popular tourist resorts in recent years with the concomitant pressures of human habitation.

Due to limited water resources in the catchment and the large irrigation requirements, this Key Area is considered to be stressed, with the water requirement far in excess of the sustainable yield. As a result there is no scope for any additional water allocations in this Key Area. The construction of farm dams would be acceptable however since this would improve the assurance of supply to water users in this area.

Due to the stressed nature of this Key Area, the implementation of the ecological Reserve will be problematical and it is recommended that this be done in a phased manner. Compulsory licencing may be required in order to implement the Reserve fully.

#### The Bushmans Key Area

The Bushmans Key Area consists of the Bushmans River catchment. This river rises in the Drakensberg Mountain range and flows in a north-easterly direction past the town of Estcourt to join the Thukela River near the town of Weenen.

Water use in this Key Area is dominated by irrigation, with an estimated requirement of 31 million  $m^3/a$ . The only significant town in the area is Escourt, with a water requirement of 4 million  $m^3/a$ .

The Wagendrift Dam, with a full supply capacity of 56 million m<sup>3</sup>, is situated in the Bushmans River Key Area. It was constructed to supply irrigation and the town of Escourt but is currently underutilised. The surplus could be allocated to emerging farmers, but this decision will need to be carefully analysed in the light of the new allocations to the Fairbreeze mine and the ecological Reserve of the lower Thukela, which will required support from either the Wagendrift or the Spioenkop Dam, or both.

Potential for further development of surface water resources exists and a site downstream of the Wagendrift Dam has been earmarked for the Mielietuin Dam which forms part of the Thukela Water Project.

#### The Sundays River Key Area

The Sundays River Key Area comprises the Sundays River catchment. The Sundays River flows in a south-easterly direction from the eastern escarpment to its confluence with the Thukela River near the Bushmans River confluence.

Commercial dryland agriculture dominates the area and there are also fairly large tracts of tribal / communal land in the lower reaches of the catchment. By far the largest water use in this Key Area is irrigation, with an estimated requirement of 26 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. This is supplied from small farm dams or from run-of-river flows. Other than the Slangdraai Dam, which has a full supply capacity of 10,3 million m<sup>3</sup>, there is no significant storage in this Key Area.

Coal mining abounds in the upper areas of the catchment which causes water quality problems.

As with the Little Thukela Key Area, the water requirements of the Sundays River Key Area is far in excess of the sustainable yield and the catchment is considered to be stressed. There is some doubt as to the balance in this Key Area, however, due to the huge discrepancy between the registered irrigation water use and the irrigation water use estimated in other studies. This issue must be resolved before any further water allocations can be considered to the irrigation sector in this Key Area.

#### The Buffalo River Key Area

The Buffalo River is the main northern tributary of the Thukela River and flows in a southeasterly direction from the eastern escarpment (Newcastle area) to its confluence with the Thukela River near Nkandla. The area includes the towns of Dundee, Newcastle, Danhauser, Utrecht and Madadeni.

There are two major dams in the Buffalo River Key Area. These are the Ntshingwayo Dam (previously known as Chelmsford) with a full supply capacity of 199 million m<sup>3</sup>, and the Zaaihoek Dam with a full supply capacity of 193 million m<sup>3</sup>. The Ntshingwayo Dam supplies water to Newcastle while water is transferred out of the WMA from the Zaaihoek Dam to the Upper Vaal WMA.

While the largest water use in the Buffalo Key Area is again irrigation, with a requirement of 50 million  $m^3/a$ , domestic and industrial use are also significant in the Key Area, as are the transfers out to the Upper Vaal WMA, estimated at 55 million  $m^3$ .

There is surplus water available in the Buffalo River Key Area that can be allocated. Priority must be given to redressing of inequities and poverty eradication. Allocations must however be dealt with cautiously and the location of the surplus identified before making allocations. New allocations should not be made upstream of the Zaaihoek or Ntshingwayo dams.

Water quality is a major concern in the Buffalo Key Area and the water quality in the Buffalo River all the way down to its confluence with the Thukela is considered to be very poor.

#### The Mooi River Key Area

The Mooi River rises in the Drakensberg Mountains and flows parallel to the Bushmans River in a north-easterly direction to join the Thukela River near Muden. The only town of any significance in the catchment is Mooi River. The predominant land use in the catchment is commercial agriculture and there is large-scale irrigation of pastures and summer cash crops, with an estimated water requirement of 49 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. The other large water use is transfers out to the Mgeni WMA. The transfer scheme situated at Mearns can transfer water at a rate of up to 3.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s to the Mgeni River System.

The only major dam in this Key Area is Craigieburn Dam, with a capacity of 23.5 million m<sup>3</sup>. The dam supplements water supplies to approximately 2 000 ha of predominantly citrus farming irrigation downstream of the dam and along the Mooi River at Muden. However, there is an abundance of farm dams in the Key Area, especially in the upper reaches of the Mooi River.

The catchment currently experiences a small deficit, with the water requirements slightly in excess of the available resource. There is a strong possibility that additional allocations are possible for summer use only, but this will need to evaluated in more detail.

The Mooi River has long been recognised as the most feasible source from which to augment the Mgeni System. The first phase of the two-phase Mooi-Mgeni Transfer Scheme has already

been implemented, this being the construction of the Mearns Weir and this is expected to be followed shortly with the construction of the Spring Grove Dam.

#### The Lower Thukela Key Area

The Lower Thukela Key Area consists of the Thukela River catchment from the Bushmans River confluence down to the river mouth at the Indian Ocean. The area includes the town of Mandini and the Isithebe industrial area, both located close to the river mouth.

Unlike all the other Key Areas in the Thukela WMA, irrigation in the Lower Thukela is not the largest water requirement, although still significant at an estimated 22 million  $m^3/a$ . The largest water use in this area is that of the Sappi paper mill, with an estimated water requirement of 24 million  $m^3/a$ .

Water sourced from the Lower Thukela is transferred out of the WMA to the Mhlathuze Catchment to augment the water supply to Richards Bay. The impact of this transfer on the available resource of the Lower Thukela Key Area is estimated at 38 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.

A large allocation has recently been made for the proposed Fairbreeze mine - 32 million m<sup>3</sup>/a allocated for the mine and a further 15 million m<sup>3</sup>/a (at a lower assurance) allocated for proposed new irrigation along the pipeline route.

A reconciliation of the water requirements and the available water resource of the Lower Thukela Key Area indicates a large deficit, but this reconciliation allows for the allocation to the Fairbreeze mine which is not yet being abstracted. This allocation will be supported by releases from the Spioenkop Dam or Wagendrift Dam, or both.

#### 4. RECONCILIATION OF WATER REQUIREMENTS AND AVAILABLE WATER RESOURCES

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available water resources in the Thukela WMA is shown in **Table 1**. This differs in many respects from the reconciliation given in the NWRS. Based on the detailed analysis of the water requirements and resource given in Chapter 4, the following major differences to the NWRS strategy are noted and motivated:-

- 1. The irrigation requirement used in this ISP is much higher than that of the NWRS and the registered water use by the irrigation sector. This ISP sourced its irrigation requirements from the latest study in the area, namely, the Thukela Reserve Determination Study. However, considering the large discrepancy between this estimate and the registered irrigation water use, verification of the irrigation water requirements is clearly required.
- 2. The water resource of the Thukela WMA, as determined for this ISP using the Water Resources Yield Model setup for the Thukela WMA is higher than given in the NWRS. This is mainly due to the reduction in yield due to the ecological Reserve, as used in the NWRS (which was based on desktop estimates), being much higher than that recently determined through the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004a). The latter Reserve has been approved by DWAF and hence is the accepted Reserve for the WMA.

## Table 1: Reconciliation of water requirements and available water resources for the Thukela WMA for the year 2005 (million m³/a).

	Available water		Water requirements/allocations			Balance	
Key Area	Local yield	Transfers In	Total	Local requirements	Transfers out	Total	
Upper Thukela	506	0	506	114	377+11 <sup>1</sup>	502	4
Little Thukela	8	0	8	38	0	38	(30)
Bushmans	80	0	80	40	29 <sup>1</sup>	69	11
Sundays	8	0	8	32	0	32	(24)
Мооі	64	0	64	52	22	74	(10)
Buffalo	174	0	174	96	55	151	23
Lower Thukela	105	40 <sup>2</sup>	145	58	87	145	0
Total	945	0	945	430	541	971	(26)
Allocable							<b>38</b> <sup>3</sup>

#### Notes:

- 1. Releases to support the Lower Thukela Key Area.
- 2. Supplied from Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams.
- 3. Since it is not feasible to supply the shortages in the Little Thukela, Sundays or Mooi Key Areas from the surpluses in the Upper Thukela, Buffalo or Bushmans Key Areas, there is at least 38 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available for allocation in the Thukela WMA.

#### 5. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT ISSUES AND STRATEGIES

The following are the most pressing issues which have been identified through this ISP study, as well as proposed broad strategies to deal with these issues:

- The resources of the Thukela River are predominantly used to support requirements for water in other parts of the country, with large transfers of water to all three neighbouring water management areas. The need for increased and additional transfers in future have been identified and investigated in detail although no decision on this has as yet been made.
- Nevertheless, there are surpluses which can be allocated. These surpluses are located in the Ntshingwayo, Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams and can be allocated either directly from the dams, or released to users downstream of these dams. Preference should be given to poverty alleviation and the redressing of inequities in allocating these surpluses.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Location of the Thukela WMA	1
1.2 Water Legislation Management	1
1.2.1 The National Water Act (NWA)	2
1.2.2 The National Water Resource Strategy (NWRS	2
1.2.3 Catchment Management Strategies (CMS)	2
1.3 INTERNAL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVES (ISPS)	3
1.3.1 The Objectives of the ISP Process	3
1.3.2 Approach Adopted in Developing the ISP	
1.3.3 Updating of the ISP Report.	
1.3.4 The Authority of Information Contained in the ISP	
1.4 INTEGRATED WATER KESOURCE MANAGEMENT (IWKM)	כ ד
1.5 CARING FOR THE ENVIRONMENT.	/۷ 8
1.0 THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	
1.8 GROUNDWATER	10
1.9 Public Recreation – the use of dams and rivers	
1.10 Co-operative Governance – the place of the ISP	
	10
2. OVERVIEW OF THE THUKELA WMA	12
2.1 Sources of information	
2.2 Locality and physical characteristics	12
2.2.1 Geographic subdivision	12
2.2.2 Topography	
2.2.3 Geology and Soils.	
2.2.4 Climate	13
2.2.5 Vegetation	13 12
2.2.0 Inuigenous Fauna	15 14
2.2.7 Environmentally sensitive Areas	14 14
2.3.1 Population and Domestic Water Supply	
2.3.2 Land use	
2.3.3 Existing water related infrastructure	16
2.4 Regional Economy	17
2.5 NATIONAL AND REGIONAL WATER PLANS AND OTHER LEGISLATION	18
2.6 Institutions	18
3. GROUNDWATER	
	•
3.1 INTRODUCTION	
3.2 GEOLOGY	20 22
5.5 CONCLUSION	
4. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVES OF THE THUKELA WMA	23
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Water Resource Evaluation Methodology	23
4.2.1 Source of information	
4.2.2 Ecological Reserve Scenario	24
4.2.3 Simulation record	24
4.2.4 User requirements	24
4.3 Reconciliation methodology	
4.3.1 Water resource	
4.5.2 Analysis of the transfers	
4.3.4 Impact of the Ecological Keserve	
4.4 OVEKALL FERSPECTIVE	23 วร
T.J. UPPEK I NUNELA NEY AKEA	

4.5.1 Introduction	
4.5.2 Water availability	
4.5.3 Water requirements	
4.5.4 Water balance	
4.5.5 Reconciliation perspective	
4.5.6 Water quality	
4.5.7 Summary, key issues and strategies	
4.6 Little Thukela Key Area	
4.6.1 Introduction	
4.6.2 Water availability	
4.6.3 Water requirements	
4.6.4 Water balance	
4.6.5 Reconciliation perspective	33
4 6 6 Water auality	34
4 6 7 Summary key issues and strategies	34
47 BUSHMANS RIVER KEV AREA	34
471 Introduction	34
4.7.2 Water availability	35
473 Water requirements	35
4.7.4 Water halance	35
4.7.5 Pacanciliation perspective	36
4.7.5 Reconcutation perspective	36
4.7.0 Waler quality	
4.7.7 Summury, Key Issues and Strategies	
4.6 SUNDAYS KIVER KEY AREA.	
4.0.1 INTOUTCHON	
4.8.2 Water availability	
4.8.5 Water requirements	
4.8.4 Water balance	
4.8.5 Reconciliation perspective	
4.8.6 Water quality	
4.8. / Summary, key issues and strategies	
4.9 BUFFALO KIVER KEY AREA	
4.9.1 Introduction	
4.9.2 Water availability	
4.9.3 Water requirements	
4.9.5 Reconciliation perspective	
4.9.6 Water quality	
4.9.7 Summary, key issues and strategies	
4.10 Mooi River Key Area	
4.10.1 Introduction	
4.10.2 Water availability	43
4.10.3 Water requirements	44
4.10.5 Reconciliation perspective	46
4.10.6 Water quality	46
4.10.7 Summary, key issues and strategies	46
4.11 Lower Thukela Key Area	47
4.11.1 Introduction	47
4.11.2 Water availability	47
4.11.3 Water requirements	
4.11.4 Water Balance	
4.11.5 Reconciliation perspective	49
4.11.6 Water quality	49
4.11.7 Key issues and strategies	49
4.12 Overall water balance	50
4.13 Comparison with the First Edition NWRS	51
4.13.1 Introduction	51
4.13.2 Water requirements	51
4.13.3 Water resource	53
4.13.4 Reconciliation of requirements and availability	53
	E 5
DIDLIUGKΑΓΠΙ	

#### LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1: Location of the Thukela WMA
- Figure 1.2: Diagram showing DWAF's integrated water resources management approach
- Figure 2.1: Locality map of the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.2: Geology of the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.3: Soil Map of the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.4: Rainfall in the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.5: Population distribution in the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.6: Tribal land in the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.7: District Municipalities in the Thukela WMA
- Figure 2.8: Local Municipalities in the Thukela WMA
- Figure 3.1: Key Areas of the Thukela WMA

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1:
   Comparison of irrigation water requirements from different sources
- Table 2.2: Estimates of the extent of commercial forestry in the Thukela WMA
- Table 4.1:Overview of the water availability in the Upper Thukela Key Area
- Table 4.2:
   Water Requirements in the Upper Thukela Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.3:Water balance in the Upper Thukela Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.4:
   Overview of the water availability in the little Thukela Key Area
- Table 4.5: Registered water use and source of supply in the Little Thukela Key Area
- Table 4.6:
   Water Requirements in the Little Thukela Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.7:Water balance in the Little Thukela Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.8:
   Assurance of supply to irrigators in the Little Thukela Key Area
- Table 4.9:
   Overview of the water availability in the Bushmans River Key Area
- Table 4.10: Water requirements in the Bushmans River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.11: Water balance in the Bushmans River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.12: Overview of the water availability in the Sundays River Key Area
- Table 4.13: Water Requirements in the Sundays River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.14: Water balance in the Sunday River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.15: Overview of the water availability in the Buffalo River Key Area
- Table 4.16: Water Requirements in the Buffalo River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.17: Water balance in the Buffalo Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.18:Water availability in the Mooi River Key Area (prior to the construction of Spring<br/>Grove Dam).
- Table 4.19:Water availability in the Mooi River Key Area after completion of the Spring<br/>Grove Dam
- Table 4.20:Water requirements in the Mooi River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.21:Water requirements in the Mooi River Key Area after completion of the Spring<br/>Grove Dam
- Table 4.22:Water balance in the Mooi River Key Area in 2005
- Table 4.23:Water balance in the Mooi River Key Area after completion of the Spring Grove<br/>Dam
- Table 4.24: Overview of the water availability in the Lower Thukela Key Area.
- Table 4.25: Water requirements in the Lower Thukela Key Area in 2005 9million m<sup>3</sup>/a
- Table 4.26: Water balance in the Lower Thukela Key Area 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)
- Table 4.27: Reconciliation of requirements and available water for the Thukela WMA assuming support from Wagendrift and Spioenkop dams for the year 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)

- Table 4.28:Reconciliation of allocations and available water for the Thukela WMA for year2005 assuming support from Wagendrift, Spioenkop and Ntshingwayo dams<br/>(million m³/a)
- Table 4.29:Water requirements/allocations of the Thukela WMA in the year 2005 (million<br/>m³/a). Key Areas as defined in this ISP report.
- Table 4.30:Water Requirements/allocations of the Thukela WMA in the year 2000 as given<br/>in the First Edition NWRS
- Table 4.31: Comparison of irrigation water requirements from different sources
- Table 4.32:Water resources of the Thukela WMA in the year 2005 (million m³/a) per KeyArea (ISP) and per sub-area (NWRS) as determined as part of this ISP report
- Table 4.33: Water resources of the Thukela WMA in the year 2000 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a) per sub area (NWRS) as given in the NWRS
- Table 4.34:Reconciliation of requirements and available resource of the Thukela WMA in the<br/>year 2003 (million m³/a)
- Table 4.35:Reconciliation of requirements and available resource of the Thukela WMA in the<br/>year 2003 as given in the NWRS (million m³/a)

#### LIST OF ANNEXURES

- Annexure A: Summary of previous water resource planning studies
- Annexure B: Registered dams in the Thukela WMA

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEIMP	Consolidated Environmental Implementation Management Plan
CMA	Catchment Management Agency
CMS	Catchment Management Strategy
DWAF	Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
IDP	Integrated Development Plan
IFR	Instream Flow Requirement
ISP	Internal Strategic Perspective
IWRM	Integrated Water Resource Management
MAP	Mean Annual Precipitation
MAR	Mean Annual Runoff
mamsl	meters above mean sea level
MSAT	Mgeni System Allocation Tool
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act
NWA	National Water Act 36 of 1998
NWRS	National Water Resource Strategy
PLC	Provincial Liaison Committee
RDM	Resource Directed Measures
RQO	Resource Quality Objectives
SFRA	Stream Flow Reduction Activity
TWP	Thukela Water Project
WARMS	Water use Authorisation and Registration Management System
WMA	Water Management Area
WRSA	Water Resources Situation Assessment
WSDP	Water Services Development Plan
WSP	Water Sector Plan
WUA	Water User Association

### PART A

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 LOCATION OF THE THUKELA WMA

The Thukela WMA fully corresponds to the catchment area of the Thukela River, and lies predominantly in the KwaZulu-Natal province. It is a funnel shaped catchment, with several tributaries draining from the Drakensberg escarpment towards the Indian Ocean. The location of the Thukela WMA is indicated in **Figure 1.1** and a more detailed locality map is provided in **Figure 2.1**.



Figure 1.1: Location of the Thukela WMA

#### **1.2 WATER LEGISLATION MANAGEMENT**

Water is one of the most fundamental and indispensable of all natural resources. It is fundamental to life and the quality of life, to the environment, food production, hygiene, industry, and power generation. The availability of affordable water can be a limiting factor for economic growth and social development, especially in South Africa where water is a relatively scarce resource that is distributed unevenly, both geographically and through time, as well as sociopolitically.

Prosperity for South Africa depends upon sound management and utilisation of our many natural and other resources, with water playing a pivotal role. South Africa needs to manage its water resources optimally in order to further the aims and aspirations of its people. Current government objectives for managing water resources in South Africa are set out in the National Water Resource Strategy (NWRS) as follows:

• To achieve equitable access to water, that is, equity of access to water services, to the use of water resources, and to the benefits from the use of water resources.

- To achieve sustainable use of water, by making progressive adjustments to water use to achieve a balance between water availability and legitimate water requirements, and by implementing measures to protect water resources and the natural environment.
- To achieve efficient and effective water use for optimum social and economic benefit.

The NWRS also lists important proposals to facilitate achievement of these policy objectives, such as:

- Water will be regarded as an indivisible national asset. The Government will act as the custodian of the nation's water resources, and its powers in this regard will be exercised as a public trust.
- Water is required to meet basic human needs and to maintain environmental sustainability will be guaranteed as a right, whilst water use for all other purposes will be subject to a system of administrative authorisations.
- The responsibility and authority for water resource management will be progressively decentralised by the establishment of suitable regional and local institutions, with appropriate community, racial and gender representation, to enable all interested persons to participate.

#### 1.2.1 The National Water Act (NWA)

The NWA of 1998 is the principal legal instrument relating to water resource management in South Africa. The Act is now being implemented incrementally. Other recent legislation which supports the NWA includes the Water Services Act (Act 108 of 1997) and the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998).

#### 1.2.2 The National Water Resource Strategy (NWRS

The NWRS is the implementation strategy for the NWA and provides the framework within which the water resources of South Africa will be managed in the future. All authorities and institutions exercising powers or performing duties under the NWA must give effect to the NWRS. This strategy sets out policies, strategies, objectives, plans, guidelines, procedures and institutional arrangements for the protection, use, development, conservation, management and control of the country's water resources. The purpose of the NWRS is to provide the following:

- The National framework for managing water resources;
- The framework for preparation of catchment management strategies in a nationally consistent way;
- Information, in line with current legislation, regarding transparent and accountable public administration; and
- The identification of development opportunities and constraints with respect to water availability (quantity and quality).

#### **1.2.3 Catchment Management Strategies (CMS)**

The country has been divided into 19 Water Management Areas (WMAs). The delegation of water resource management from central government to catchment level will be achieved by establishing Catchment Management Agencies (CMAs) at WMA level. Each CMA will

progressively develop a Catchment Management Strategy (CMS) for the protection, use, development, conservation, management and control of water resources within its WMA.

The Department's eventual aim is to hand over certain water resource management functions to CMAs. Until such time as the CMAs are established and are fully operational, the Regional Offices (ROs) of DWAF will continue managing the water resources in their areas of jurisdiction.

#### 1.3 INTERNAL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVES (ISPs)

#### 1.3.1 The Objectives of the ISP Process

The objective of the ISP will be to provide a framework for DWAF's management of the water resources in each Water Management Area, until such time as the relevant Regional Office can hand over the management functions to the established CMA. This will ensure consistency when answering requests for new water licences, and informing existing water users (including authorities) on how the Department will manage the water resource within the area of concern. Stakeholders must be made aware of the bigger picture as well as the management detail associated with each specific water resource management unit.

#### **1.3.2 Approach Adopted in Developing the ISP**

The ISP for the Thukela WMA was developed in five stages as follows:

- 1. Determining the current status of water resource management and relevant water resource management issues and concerns in the Thukela WMA. This was achieved through interviews with individual members of DWAF's KwaZulu-Natal Regional Office and by collating information from the NWRS, WMA reports, Water Resource Situation Assessment (WRSA) reports and other catchment study reports. The following topics were discussed with Regional Office staff and their issues and concerns documented:
  - Current water situation
  - Resource protection
  - Water Uses
  - Water reconciliation
  - Water infrastructure
  - Monitoring and information
  - Water management institutions
  - Co-operative governance
  - Planning responsibilities.

A starter document of the identified issues and concerns was produced as a discussion document for the first workshop arranged for the development of this ISP.

- 2. The first workshop was held with attendees from the Regional Office, the Integrated Water Resource Planning (IWRP) Chief Directorate of the Department as well as the consulting team. The workshop focussed on the list of general issues in the WMA as well as area-specific issues. The issues were clarified and refined during the workshop. Strategies were discussed and developed to address the issues.
- 3. The third stage involved the preparation of the second draft document to be used for refining strategies to address the various issues and concerns, during a second workshop.
- 4. The fourth stage was the second workshop. During this workshop the overall management of the water resources in the catchment was discussed along with the management strategies and the relevant issues and concerns. The priorities and

responsibilities for carrying out the strategies were identified. First workshop attendees were again involved, as were representatives of several DWAF Head Office directorates.

5. The fifth stage was the finalisation of the ISP document.

As can be deduced from the above this Thukela ISP was prepared internally within the Department, and captures the Department's perspectives. Once approved by DWAF Management, it is intended that the Regional Office will make the ISP available to Water User Associations (WUAs), Water Service Providers (WSPs), Water Service Authorities (WSAs) and other forums for discussion and comment. These comments will be considered and worked into later versions of the ISP. By adopting this procedure this ISP becomes a working document, which will be progressively updated and revised by DWAF. Public participation forms part of the CMS process, for which the ISP serves as a foundation (see Section 1.6).

The ISP does not formulate all the details pertaining to every strategy but provides a suggested framework for each strategy around which the details will be developed by the responsible authority. Where relevant and readily available, certain details have been included in the strategies. The responsible authority for the further development of each strategy is indicated. This is predominantly the Regional Office, which remains responsible for involving the relevant DWAF directorates.

#### **1.3.3 Updating of the ISP Report**

The ISP will be regularly reviewed by keeping abreast of changes in national legislation and policy changes or refinements by keeping a list of all relevant legislation and supporting documents relevant to the ISP by:

- Ensuring consistency between the ISP strategies and national strategies through a regular review-and-update procedure;
- Annually reviewing and ensuring consistency and agreement regarding trans-boundary ISP management issues by liaising with the responsible managers of other areas and updating relevant ISP strategies if necessary;
- Annually reviewing the priorities of required management actions and aligning budgets accordingly;
- Monitoring the implementation of the ISP (review actions, progress, implementation and stumbling blocks);
- Incorporating feedback from stakeholders;
- Rigorously applying ISP version control.

#### Updating and Version Control

The actual frequency of ISP revision will be determined by the number and extent of revisions to management approaches as reflected in strategy amendments. All updates to this report, particularly with respect to amendment to the Strategies, need to be passed on to and vetted by the Catchment Manager for the Thukela WMA. Comments to be sent to:

The Water Resources Manager Department of Water Affairs and Forestry KwaZulu-Natal Regional Office P O Box 1018 DURBAN 4000

#### **1.3.4 The Authority of Information Contained in the ISP**

The NWRS is a statutory document, subject to a high level of public scrutiny and input, and signed off by the Minister. The information contained in the NWRS is the best information and

knowledge available at the time. The information in **Chapter 2** and **Appendix D** of the draft NWRS Strategy on water requirements, availability and reconciliation was updated with comments received from the public participation process in the second half of 2002. To enable the finalisation of the draft NWRS, these figures were "closed" for changes in February 2003.

Underlying the figures in **Chapter 2** and **Appendix D** is a set of 19 reports on the "Overview of Water Resources Availability and Utilisation", one for each WMA. These reports contain more detailed information on each WMA than was summarised for the NWRS and are referred to, in short, as "WMA Reports". The WMA reports were also finalised with the February 2003 information.

Still deeper in the background lies another set of reports (one per WMA), the so-called Water Resource Situation Assessment Reports. These reports contain a wealth of information on each WMA, but the figures on requirements, availability and reconciliation have been superceded by the WMA report and the NWRS.

The ISPs for all WMAs used the information contained in the NWRS and WMA reports as the point of departure. However, an inevitable result of the ISP process has been that better information has emerged in some cases. The reason is that the level of study is more detailed and intense for the ISP. This included very close scrutiny of the numbers used in the NWRS, and in some cases a reworking of base data and some re-modelling. Where the ISPs contain yield balance data which differs from the NWRS, these discrepancies are carefully explained. Where other differences from the NWRS are necessary these are also detailed in the ISP, with accompanying explanations.

It is required that the Department work with the best possible data so that the best possible decisions can be taken. Where the ISPs have improved upon the NWRS then this is the data that should be used. The new data contained in the ISP will also be open to public scrutiny as the ISP reports will be published on the Internet and in hardcopy, and will be presented and discussed at WMA forums. Comments received will be considered and worked into subsequent versions of the ISP on a regular (yearly) basis. The NWRS will be updated to reflect the latest understanding in each new edition.

#### 1.4 INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (IWRM)

It is imperative that the natural, social, economic, political and other environments and their various components are adequately considered when conducting water resources planning and management. Water as a strategic component also interacts with other components in all environments. For example, human activities such as the use of land, the disposal of waste, and air pollution can have major impacts on the quantity and quality of water which is available for human use and for proper life support to natural biota.

Taking an even broader view, water must also be managed in full understanding of its importance for social and economic development. It is important to ensure that there is conformity between the water-related plans and programmes of the CMAs, and the plans and programmes of all other role players in their management areas. The CMAs must therefore establish co-operative relationships with a wide range of stakeholders, including other water management institutions, water services institutions, provincial and local government authorities, communities, water users ranging from large industries to individual irrigators, and other interested persons.

This integrated planning and management approach is intended, through co-operative governance and public participation, to enable water managers to meet the needs of all people for water, employment, and economic growth in a manner that also allows protection and, where necessary, rehabilitation of aquatic ecosystems. Above all, Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) will enable water managers to use precious water resources to assist in poverty eradication and removal of inequity.

One of the big opportunities to formally integrate a large number of actions in water resource management presents itself during the so-called compulsory licensing process. Compulsory licensing is identified in the NWRS as a very important action for implementing the NWA. However, it is not a simple action of issuing licences but a complex process of closely related and interdependent activities that will in itself formalise IWRM to a great extent. The elements contained in the process of IWRM is diagrammatically depicted in **Figure 1.2**.



#### Figure 1.2: Diagram showing DWAF's Integrated Water Resource Management approach

Before an allocation schedule can be determined and the legal steps followed to finalise compulsory licensing (through the issuing of licences to all users), many other aspects must be addressed:

- Existing use and the lawfulness of that use must be verified, all users (existing and new) must apply for licences, a good understanding of future use scenarios must be developed and water required for equity purposes and rural development must be clearly understood.
- Water availability must be understood as thoroughly as possible with "best available" existing information used to model all possible reconciliation options.
- Reserve scenarios must be developed for all significant resources in the catchment, for instance, the river flow requirements for all possible classes that may be considered.
- The development of strategies for implementing the licensing (abstraction controls, for example), the Reserve and Resource Quality Objectives (i.e. incrementally over time) must go hand in hand with the rest of the processes to ensure that practical, workable solutions are found.
- The processes will then enter a very intensive, interactive phase of developing realistic reconciliation options. This will entail, for example, the selection of a specific management

class to be scrutinised for its impact on the number of licences that could be issued for use, with its concomitant impacts on the social and economic structure of the catchment.

• The active participation of stakeholders in this process will then hopefully crystallise clear recommendations on an allocation schedule, management classes for the various reaches of the rivers and the resultant ecological Reserve and Resource Quality Objectives, as well as strategies for the implementation thereof.

Although the Department will play a very strong role in guiding this process, it is extremely important to have the CMA actively involved. Preferably, at least the Board of the CMA must be in place to drive the public participation for the process.

#### **1.5 CARING FOR THE ENVIRONMENT**

DWAF is responsible for water resource development and management in terms of the NWA, and within the broader framework of other environmental legislation. The Department also strongly reflects the will to make sound decisions which ensure the development of society and the economy whilst maintaining, and where possible enhancing, ecological integrity. The concept of management of the environment has evolved from the exclusivity of protection of plants and animals to balancing the complex interaction of society, the economy, and ecology. "Environmental management is the integration of social, economic and ecological factors into planning, implementation and decision-making so as to ensure that development serves present and future generations" (NEMA).

The key legislative Acts to which DWAF is required to refer are the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA, Act 107 of 1998) and the Environment Conservation Act (ECA, Act 73 of 1989). DWAF has prepared a Consolidated Environmental Implementation and Management Plan (CEIMP) as a requirement of NEMA. This describes the Department's functions, policies, plans and programmes, and states how these comply with environmental legislation. Through the CEIMP the Department has committed itself to developing and implementing an integrated Environmental Management Framework (EMF) to ensure that its approach is aligned with the principles prescribed in NEMA and the ECA. The EMF will inform the Department at a strategic decision-making level, bring about environmental legal compliance, and help in achieving environmental sustainability through the promotion of sound environmental management practices. Integrated Environmental Management is a co-operative governance effort with DWAF as a full partner in the process.

This ISP has the responsibility of raising and maintaining the environmental consciousness of the Department's water resource planners and managers. The control over water has a very broad range of influences and impacts for which strategies and planning need to account. Impacts come from many different angles.

Some of these angles of impact which are considered through this ISP are noted below:

- The direct impact of physical structures (environmental constraints to construction e.g. of weirs or dams).
- The implications of allocating and licensing water for use. Forestry and irrigation are examples of users where development based on water can mean the transformation of extensive areas of otherwise 'natural' environments.
- The allocation of water for equity. Here we can include approaches towards the application of Schedule 1 use, general authorisations, the revitalisation of irrigation schemes, etc.
- Failure to support equity, or appropriate development noting the consequential impacts of poverty.

- Sanitation systems and the impacts on groundwater quality.
- The implementation of the Reserve.
- The ability to monitor and manage compliance, thus protecting the resource and with it the environment.

All decisions regarding water are critical to the environment. Decisions must be made on a balance of social, economic and ecological costs and benefits, considering both the immediate and the long-term, and always with an eye out for the unintended consequence. It is the intention of the ISP to provide the basis for integrated decision-making. The principles of environmental management underpin every strategy developed in this document.

There are a number of strategic areas with a particularly strong biophysical/ecological emphasis. These include:

- the Reserve (groundwater, rivers, wetlands and estuaries)
- water quality surface and groundwater
- the approach towards the clearing of invasive alien plants
- the management of wetlands
- land degradation, erosion and sedimentation (land care)
- land use and especially how this is impacted by land reform and the re-allocation of water.

The roles of co-operative governance and the need for awareness raising and capacity building are key strategic elements of many strategies.

In reality all strategies and all aspects of management have a strong interaction with the biophysical environment. This ISP endeavours to capture all of these concerns in discussion and through a strategic approach which emphasises the will of the Department to manage the environment to the best benefit of the country and its people.

The approach set out above applies to all Water Management Areas and associated ISPs, and is not repeated within the Strategy Tables (Part B of this ISP). It reflects the way the Department views Integrated Water Resource Management and the importance of the biophysical aspects of decision-making. There may nevertheless be specific ecological and biophysical aspects of management which require specific attention and which may not be captured in the above-mentioned or other strategies. The ISP therefore still includes an Environmental Strategy which serves to make pertinent those issues of the environment which might not otherwise be covered.

#### **1.6 THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT**

The utilisation of water resources is aimed at the benefit of society, and at society through the economy. As noted in Section 1.5 this should not be at undue cost to ecological integrity.

Impacts on society are a core element of this ISP, and decisions are often complicated by the risk of unintended consequence. As a typical example the over-zealous implementation of the ecological Reserve may benefit the river, to the intended benefit of society, but the cost of lack of use of that water to employment and to livelihoods may lead to other strains on natural resources that undo the benefits.

The implementation of the NWA requires that society be kept at the forefront of all decisionmaking. This principle is now deep-seated within the Department and is integral to all strategies. Water resource allocation and use has critical social impacts, as does water quality management. But pivotal to the social component is the question of equity. What can be done and what is being done to redress past inequities? Within this, strategies have been developed to consider the provision of water to Resource Poor Farmers, the use of water under Schedule 1, Licensing and General Authorisations, etc. Whilst water supply and sanitation are not part of the brief of the ISP, the provision of water to meet these needs most certainly is. The urban poor, and the poor in rural villages, are as important in the consideration of the distribution and use of water resources as are the rural subsistence poor, and this should not be forgotten in the urgencies of land reform and the enthusiasm to establish a substantial class of farmers from amongst the previously disadvantaged.

This ISP aims to see water benefiting society. This can be through access to water in livelihood strategies, through small-farmer development programmes, through water supply and sanitation and especially the provision of good quality drinking water, and through the maintenance and growth of income-producing, job-creating, and tax-paying agricultural, commercial and industrial strategies.

Consultation and public participation are cornerstones of the social component of any strategic document. These requirements are repeatedly stressed throughout the National Water Act. This ISP has been prepared as DWAF's position statement with respect to the management of water resources and, although strategies and plans have been captured without consultation with the stakeholders, it remains an open and transparent document where the understanding of the Department, its visions and its principles are made clear for all to see and to interact with. This is amplified in the Implementation (**Strategy 8**) of this ISP.

#### 1.7 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Much of the emphasis in water resource management has revolved around ensuring that users have sufficient quantities of water. However, as more water gets used and re-used, as quantities get scarce and feedback loops get even tighter, it is quality that begins to take on a dominant role.

Water availability is only as good as the quality of that water. Both quantity and quality need to be considered at the correct level of detail, and this can mean that at times they should be considered with similar emphasis and with similar expenditure of resources. Too often we have failed to integrate the issues of quantity and quality – both with regard to surface water and groundwater. The concept of Available Assimilative Capacity, the ability of the water resource to absorb a level of pollution and remain 'serviceable', is as important in water resource management as is the concept of System Yield.

Quantity and quality can no longer be managed in isolation of each other. Not that this isolation has ever been total. The importance of releasing better quality water from the Spioenkop Dam for flushing out the Thukela River Mouth and the addition of freshening releases from the Vaal Barrage to bring water back to an acceptable quality has, *inter alia*, long been standard practice. The consequences of irrigation, the leaching of fertilisers, and more importantly the leaching of salts from deeper soil horizons can render both the lands themselves and the receiving rivers unsuitable for use. Diffuse agricultural 'effluent' may be less visible than direct discharges of sewage or industrial effluent, but are no less pernicious.

Direct discharges to rivers are licensed and managed on the basis of assimilative capacities of those rivers, and on Receiving Water Quality. Where these limits are exceeded, often through the cumulative impact of diffuse discharges, water becomes unavailable to some, or even all, users downstream. DWAF will licence users to take water, and again to discharge it in recognition that there is generally a cost to the resource in terms of a reduction in quality and a reduction in its further assimilative capacity. It is for this reason, and in order to bring about additional management and a strong incentive, that the Waste Discharge Charge System is

being developed. Dischargers will be obliged to pay, depending on the quantity and quality of their discharge.

Surface water quality is affected by many things including sediment and erosion, the diffuse discharges from irrigated farmland (both fertilisers and salinity through leaching), domestic and urban runoff, industrial waste, and sewage discharges. Of these, industrial waste and sewage discharges are the easiest to licence and control, but this does not mean that this is problem-free. The Department has found that the situation with regard to sewage discharges often far exceeds the standards and conditions demanded by licences. There is a problem of compliance with regard to Local Authorities and private operators responsible for waste management systems. Diffuse discharges only compound the problem by reducing the assimilative capacity until the water becomes unfit for use, very expensive to purify, and a danger to human health.

Groundwater quality requires equal attention, and more so as we recognise the importance of groundwater in supplementing our meagre resources, and providing water to remote communities. Although our groundwater resources are for the most part to be found at a relatively deep level (50-100 m is quite typical) this water can easily be polluted by surface activity. The leaching of fertilisers is one such problem but of greater concern is the influx of nitrates, primarily a consequence of human habitation and sanitation. Pit latrines are on the one hand so necessary, and have the huge advantage of not requiring volumes of water, but disposal is 'on-site', and often responsible for the longer-term pollution of the underlying aquifers which feed and water the communities above.

Water quality is a very important aspect of strategy within this ISP – considered primarily within the Water Quality Strategy and also under Groundwater. Industrial wastewater discharge, diffuse agricultural discharges, wastewater treatment works, the location and management of solid waste disposal sites, the siting of new developments, informal settlements and the impacts of sanitation systems, are all elements considered with great concern in this and other ISPs. Despite this attention it may be that water quality has still not taken its rightful place in the integrated management of the water resource. But the Department is moving towards IWRM and the integration of quantity and quality issues. Managers have now been given crosscutting responsibilities that will ensure a far more integrated approach in future.

Actions recommended within the Department include:

- The need to actively workshop the integration process. Resource Management, Planning and Allocations of Groundwater and Surface Water quantity and quality.
- The review and incorporation of knowledge from recent Water Research Commission studies on both radioactivity and nitrates (groundwater quality issues).
- A review of all water quality literature reflecting situational knowledge and understanding within this WMA (and each and every WMA).

Ensuring that Water Quality monitoring is fully integrated into WMA water resources monitoring.

#### 1.8 GROUNDWATER

The ISP process in all of the Water Management Areas of South Africa has highlighted the role and importance of groundwater as part of the total water resource. Although groundwater has always been important in some areas this overall vision is a significant advance on our previous understanding of the potential for groundwater use. With the surface water resources in many WMAs now fully utilised, almost the only opportunity left for further development lies in the exploitation of groundwater. More particularly it is recognised that many of the more remote towns and villages, far from surface supplies, can in fact supply or supplement existing sources through groundwater, and that this must become a priority option. So, too, many small communities and subsistence farmers can avail themselves of groundwater when it would otherwise be impossible or impractical to lay on piped supplies. This can also reduce the pressure on existing users and perhaps even circumvent the need for compulsory licensing. The Department will be developing its capacity to explore and encourage the use of groundwater.

Of obvious concern is the likelihood of an interaction between groundwater and surface water. If the interaction is strong then additional use of groundwater may simply be reducing the surface water resource already allocated to someone else. In some instances (such as in the case of dolomitic aquifers) this interaction can indeed be very strong, whilst across many areas of the country it is so weak as to be negligible. In these circumstances groundwater comprises a huge pool of available water which is only of benefit if it is utilised. Care must always be taken with the issuing of licenses to ensure that both the Groundwater Reserve and other downstream users do not end up being the losers.

The realisation in this and other ISPs is that groundwater offers a huge resource of water which can be tapped, and that this can be a very significant supplement to the national water resource.

#### 1.9 PUBLIC RECREATION - THE USE OF DAMS AND RIVERS

The use of water for recreational purposes is one of the 11 water uses regulated in terms of the NWA (Section 21 j). The Department is developing a national policy towards 'Recreation on Dams and Rivers' and this should, in the first instance, be adhered to. Recreational use can take many forms and only occasionally has any direct impact on the water resource. Most obvious are activities such as power-boating, sailing and swimming which can have quality / pollution impacts. These activities can bring very significant economic benefits to the WMAs concerned, and where water releases can be accommodated, particularly through alignment with the needs of the ecological Reserve or other downstream users, then so much the better.

It is noted in this ISP that water resources offer a very significant recreational outlet and that recreation is an important public and social asset necessary for national health and productivity. A central philosophy is that recreational opportunity should not be unreasonably and unnecessarily denied to users, and that the implementation of policy should ensure that disadvantaged and poor people should also be able to avail themselves of opportunities.

The Department has already transferred responsibility for the management of many public waters to Local Authorities and will continue with this process. Responsibility will therefore devolve upon these Authorities, but within the broad principles as laid down by the Department.

#### 1.10 CO-OPERATIVE GOVERNANCE - THE PLACE OF THE ISP

The ISP is DWAF's approach to the management of water resources within the WMA. This will, in the longer term, be replaced by a fully consultative Catchment Management Agency. What is important in the medium term is that the ISP has a good fit with the Provincial Growth and Development Plan, with regional and other Environmental Management Plans, with plans and expectations of the Departments of Agriculture, Land Affairs, the Environment and others. It must also be aligned with the Integrated Development Plans and Water Services Development Plans now required for each District Municipality. Water is very often a constraining feature in development and co-operative governance planning and implementation is essential in matching what is wanted with what is possible.

#### 2. OVERVIEW OF THE THUKELA WMA

#### 2.1 Sources of information

In this chapter summarised information from the National Water Resource Strategy (NWRS) (DWAF, 2003b) and the "Overview of Water Resources Availability and Utilisation" reports for the Thukela WMA (DWAF, 2003a) is included to provide the reader with the required background of the water situation in the Thukela WMA. When more detailed background information is required the reader is referred to the NWRS document and secondly to the "Overview of Water Resources Availability and Utilisation" reports for each WMA. These reports should in general provide sufficient detail for most readers. Even more detail can be obtained from the "Water Resources Situation Assessment Study" as prepared for each Water Management Area (DWAF, 2002b).

In addition to the above references, the reader is also referred to a list of policy documentation, legislation, regional planning, departmental guidelines and previous water resources related studies for detailed information. A list of these information sources is provided in **Annexure A**.

#### 2.2 LOCALITY AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

#### 2.2.1 Geographic subdivision

The Thukela River originates in the Drakensberg Mountain Range along the border between Lesotho and the KwaZulu-Natal Province of South Africa. The river meanders through central KwaZulu-Natal and discharges into the Indian Ocean (see **Figure 2.1**). The Little Thukela, Klip, Bloukrans, Bushmans, Sundays, Mooi and Buffalo rivers are the major tributaries of the Thukela, which together make up the 'V' Hydrological Drainage with its 88 quaternary catchments. The total area of the Thukela River catchment is approximately 30 000 km<sup>2</sup> in extent.

#### 2.2.2 Topography

The Thukela River and some of its main tributaries rise in the west of the catchment in the high lying Drakensberg Mountain Range. The headwaters of the main stem Thukela River originates at an elevation of some 3 000 m.a.s.l. The river then winds its way through gently rolling hills before entering steep sided gorges below Colenso. This rugged topography continues down to the river mouth only broken occasionally by flatter, more densely populated floodplains (e.g. Tugela Estates and Tugela Ferry). The Little Thukela, Bushmans, Sundays and Mooi Rivers meander down through the mountains through relatively undulating terrain before joining the Thukela River. The source of the Buffalo River is also reasonably high lying but flows through more rugged topography and gorges in the lower half of its trajectory.

#### 2.2.3 Geology and Soils

A broad map of the geology of the Thukela River Catchment is shown in **Figure 2.2** while **Figure 2.3** provides a generalised soil map of the catchment. The upper and middle Thukela River flows eastwards through a succession of sedimentary strata of the Karoo Supergroup, ranging from the younger rocks of the Triassic System (situated just below the Drakensburg volcanics) to the base of the Karoo succession in the Tugela Ferry area. The geomorphology of the Thukela River is strongly associated with the underlying geology and the erosion resistance of the various formations on a regional scale is reflected by these characteristics. Parts of the catchment are quite densely populated. The arid and often erosive landscape, coupled with overgrazing and extreme pressure on natural resources has led to the loss of vegetative cover, erosion, and sedimentation. Land reform projects are sometimes resulting in too many people being settled on the land, with consequent unsustainable use. This should be

taken up with both the Department of Agriculture (DA) and the Department of Land Affairs (DLA) (see **Strategy 2.2** in **Part B** of this document).

The average annual sediment load at the Thukela Mouth has been estimated at 5.5 million tons (Midgley et al, 1994). Marine biologists also maintain that the marine environment in the vicinity of the mouth (known as the Thukela Marine Banks) is maintained by sediment deposited on the continental shelf.

#### 2.2.4 Climate

The Thukela River catchment experiences a wide variety of weather conditions ranging from generally wet and cold in the Drakensberg Mountains, to dry and hot in the Thukela Valley from Colenso down towards the coast, and hot and humid and reasonably well watered at the coast. The region receives most of its rainfall in summer between September and April. Snow falls are common in winter along the Drakensberg Mountain peaks, which melt fairly quickly. The average rainfall ranges from about 1 500 mm per annum in the mountains to about 650 mm per annum in the central parts of the catchment. There is a slight increase in rainfall towards the coast as is shown on the isohyetal map in **Figure 2.4**.

Annual runoff varies from 600 mm in the Drakensberg to as little as 50 mm in the dry bushveld areas with an estimated natural Mean Annual Runoff (MAR) of 3799 million m<sup>3</sup>/a at the river mouth. Rainfall is however erratic and years of prolonged drought in the central and lower catchment alternate with very wet periods.

The generalised Mean Annual (gross Symon's Pan) Evaporation (MAE) varies from about 1300 mm in the higher lying western areas to around 1500 mm in the lower lying central parts of the catchment.

#### 2.2.5 Vegetation

As would be expected, natural vegetation types of the Thukela River Catchment follow a similar pattern to its geology. Montane grasslands covers the higher lying areas giving way to Southern Tall Grassveld interspersed with invading Acacia sieberiana savanna and tilled agriculture in the lower parts of the Upper Thukela Sub-catchment between Bergville and Colenso.

Moving downstream past Colenso, vegetation slowly changes to Valley Bushveld. Coastal grasslands and sugar cane farming characterise the lower part of the catchment. The Mooi River system is mainly modified by pastural farming practices with areas of indigenous sub-tropical forests along the steeper parts of this sub-catchment. Cattle farming bushveld dominates the Buffalo River Sub-catchment with the exception of montane grasslands in the upper part of this sub-catchment.

Active intervention of the National Working for Water Programme and local resident organisations have been very successful in controlling invasive alien vegetation in parts of this catchment. The Upper Thukela River Catchment has been cleared of much of the Black and Silver Wattle infestations. Aquatic weeds have not been noted as a problem in the Thukela River Catchment.

#### 2.2.6 Indigenous Fauna

The upper Drakensberg area along the border with Lesotho is a conservation area and much of the fauna is protected. The environment in the upper parts of the catchment still has naturally occurring small game and their predators, reptiles and a wealth of bird species. Commercial game and stock farming has proliferated in the central catchment where there is a trend towards the former. There are also large tracts of fairly densely settled communities which rely on subsistence agriculture. The resultant human pressure on the environment has had a

negative impact on the animal and bird populations. The aquatic riverine environment has been significantly modified in certain areas by development pressure on the river system. A number of indigenous fish species still remain along with exotic fish species (including trout and bass) dominating the various dams along these watercourses.

#### 2.2.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The Ecological Reserve has recently been determined for the Thukela River Catchment. Implementation of the Reserve and conservation of the aquatic riparian habitats of this river system will need due consideration in future.

The following aspects and issues may need specific attention:

- More knowledge regarding the status of all the natural habitats in the Thukela River Catchment is required.
- Soils in the Drakensberg Mountain Range is relatively shallow. Pressure from human activities outside of the protected areas, particularly in the subsistence agriculture areas, is resulting in soil erosion with the consequent loss of habitat and siltation of dams in the upper catchment. The lower Drakensberg areas and specifically the Mweni Valley are the most effected.
- Severe overgrazing and soil erosion problems are being experienced in the Driefontein Block and Matiwaneskop areas to the north west and north of Ladysmith. Similar problems are being experienced on the land reform projects around Weenen and Estcourt.
- The wetlands and sponges in the upper and middle Drakensberg are at present not under major threat of destruction due to their remoteness and the fact that this is a protected area. These resources need to be preserved as far as possible due to their critical role in supplying baseflows in all the rivers.

These issues have been referenced in Strategies 2.1 and 2.2 in Part B of this document.

#### 2.3 DEMOGRAPHY, LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

#### 2.3.1 Population and Domestic Water Supply

Current population estimates as recorded in the Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2003) indicate that there was a total population of about 1 537 000 people in 1995. The population distribution is shown on the map provided in **Figure 2.5**. The largest concentrations of this population occur around Newcastle in the north of the catchment, Ladysmith (including Roosboom, Driefontein, Matiwaneskop, Elandslaagte and Ekuvukeni/Tholeni) and the upper Thukela area (Okahlamba Tribal areas), Estcourt, Tugela Ferry, and along the lower Thukela River. The Newcastle area accounts for over 280 000 people alone.

The most sparsely populated areas are located in the south-west and in the north-east of the WMA, the Mooi River catchment and Utrecht. Population densities are lower in the interior of the WMA and increase in a west and south-east direction.

The dominant population group is the Black race (92%), followed by the White (4%) and Indian/Asian (3%) race groups. On average, the number of females is higher than that of the males (54%: 46%). The dominant age group in the WMA is the 0 - 15 years group (43%), followed by 16 – 30 years group (28%), then the 31 – 60 years group (23%) and greater than 60 years group (6%).

Population growth in the rural areas is likely to be influenced by the impacts of HIV/AIDS and migration to urban areas. It is likely that there will be little change in the demography in the

foreseeable future and there may even be a decline in the rural population. Population growth in the urban areas is likely to be driven by the above-mentioned migration as well as economic opportunities and potential. Small to moderate growth in the urban population is anticipated as job-seekers are attracted by opportunities associated with the potential growth in industrial activity.

Estimates of the unemployment rate have been quoted at around 60% in this catchment with high levels of poverty and high incidences of HIV/AIDS infections being reported. Poverty-stricken areas within the Thukela WMA are mainly in the central and lower areas of the WMA, where only a small percentage of the population are employed and of this percentage the bigger portion do not earn income but are paid in kind. Although employment in the upstream catchments of the WMA is moderately high, the level of individual income is very low. Living standards are reasonably good when compared with other parts of South Africa due to traditional houses that people build and their ability to harvest natural resources for food and the production of commercial products (e.g. selling reed sleeping mats and the African Potato in the bigger metropolitan areas).

It should be noted that development planning in the various spheres of local government is fairly advanced, particularly with both Integrated Development Plans (IDP) and Water Services Development Plans (WSDP). Government policies regarding free basic water have been very successfully implemented in the Umzinyathi and uThukela District Municipal areas.

The following may also need to be considered by DWAF :

- Planning data, particularly population statistics and projections, needs to be correlated with District and Local Municipalities to arrive at uniform planning data.
- A lack of adequate (and appropriate) sanitation still remains a problem.

#### 2.3.2 Land use

From a water resources point of view, irrigation is a significant land use. According to the Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2003), the estimated irrigated area is some 276 km<sup>2</sup>. The irrigation requirements according to various references are listed in **Table 2.1**. A direct comparison between these requirements is not appropriate since the values reflect development levels in different years and the assurance of supply related to these requirements may not be the same. It should be noted that there is more variation at a tertiary catchment level. It is therefore concluded that the irrigation areas need to be reviewed.

Source of data	Irrigation requirement (million m³/a)	Assurance of supply
TWP Feasibility Study	323	Average requirement
DWAF Registration Database (WARMS)	209	Average requirement
Water Resources Situation Assessment	229	1:50 years
NWRS	230	1:50 years
VAPS	231	1:50 years

It is thought that the registered water use underestimates the actual water use in the catchment. The implication of this is that much of the irrigation water use in the Thukela WMA is now illegal. A case in point is the Sundays River Key Area where the estimated irrigation requirement is 34 million  $m^3/a$  (DWAF, 2004a) while the registered water use is only 3,7 million  $m^3/a$ . **Strategy 1.2** in **Part B** of this report deals with this problem.

Another important land-use that has an impact on the water resources of the Thukela River catchment is commercial timber. The afforestation data acquired from different sources is listed in **Table 2.2**, which reveals a significant difference in the areas of commercial timber.

Source of data	Extent of afforestation (km <sup>2</sup> )
Water Resources Situation Assessment Report (DWAF, 2002)	226.3
Vaal Augmentation Planning Study (DWAF, 1996)	340.0
Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2003)	226.8
DWAF registration database (2003)	947.8
Timber Handy Reference Manual (DWAF, 1997)	226.3

#### Table 2.2: Estimates of the extent of commercial forestry in the Thukela WMA.

The WRSA report (DWAF, 2002), the WMA report (DWAF, 2003) and the Handy Reference Manual (DWAF, 1997) are all based on the CSIR Landsat imagery of 1995 and, not surprisingly, all quote the same area. The large difference between the registered forestry and all other sources is a cause for concern and this will need to be verified at some point. This is dealt with in **Strategy 1.2** in **Part B** of this report.

Mining activities in the Thukela WMA do not use significant amounts of water, but do impact on the water quality, especially in the Buffalo River and Sundays River catchments. The coal mines scattered all over the northern parts of the Thukela River catchment have either been closed for a number of years or are in the process of closing down. Many of the older mines were never rehabilitated adequately. Consequently, these mines produce acid mine decant that enters the Thukela River system. The worst affected areas are around Newcastle (Buffalo and Ngagane rivers).

Industry as a land-use is not significant in the Thukela WMA. Small to medium-sized industries are situated in the peripheral industrial zones in and around Newcastle, Ladysmith and Estcourt. No major future growth in industry is expected unless active Government intervention is brought to bear on the region.

#### 2.3.3 Existing water related infrastructure

There are a number of large dams in the Thukela WMA, some of which make up the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme. The largest of these is Woodstock Dam, from which water is released to the Driel Barrage near Bergville. Water is then pumped into a canal that conveys this water to the Kilburn Dam, from which it is pumped over the escarpment from the Kilburn Dam into the Driekloof Dam (at the upper end of the Sterkfontein Dam).

Spioenkop Dam supplies the downstream requirements of Ladysmith and irrigated agriculture. In future the dam could be used to supplement flows in the lower Thukela to ensure that the water requirements of the Fairbreeze Mine, the Sappi mill at Mandini and the ecology are met.

Other significant infrastructure is Zaaihoek Dam on the Slang River (a tributary of the Buffalo River) with its related pump station and pipeline. This scheme, situated in the northern part of

the WMA, was constructed primarily to transfer water to the Eastern Vaal sub-system. Some water is also released to local users.

Ntshingwayo Dam (formerly Chelmsford Dam) in the Buffalo River in the northern part of the WMA supplies water to Newcastle, while Wagendrift Dam in the Bushmans River near Escourt supplies water to irrigators and the town of Escourt.

A list of all dams in the WMA is attached as **Annexure B**.

#### 2.4 REGIONAL ECONOMY

This section was sourced from the Thukela WMA report (DWAF, 2003).

Less than 2% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of South Africa originated from the Thukela WMA in 1997. Compared to other WMAs, the Thukela WMA has one of the smallest economies in the country. The largest economic sectors (in 1997) in the WMA, in terms of GGP, were:

- Manufacturing: 27,9%
- Government: 14,3%
- Trade: 11,2%
- Transport: 9,8%

Geographically, over 30% of the GGP is derived from the Newcastle area, followed by Ladysmith, Escourt and Dundee in descending order, with about 30% produced over the remainder of the WMA.

The main manufacturing centres in the Thukela WMA are Newcastle, Escourt and Ladysmith, which also corresponds to the geographic distribution of contributions to the GGP. The importance of the manufacturing sector is to a large extent attributable to the influence of the Iscor iron and steel plant at Newcastle. The manufacturing sector in the water management area has also undergone structural change from heavy to light industries, such as for the manufacturing of textiles, clothing, footwear and leather products.

Activities in the government sector in the WMA include items such as local government and related services, law and order, education, public health care and conservation services.

The trade and tourism sector is also an important contributor to the regional economy. Trade activities are well diversified with close linkages to the other economic sectors. Tourism in the WMA mainly relates to eco-tourism because of the natural beauty and historical sites.

The importance of the transportation sector can be contributed to export and primary products and other manufacturing commodities as well as to the main transportation routes through the area.

Many people in the WMA are dependent on agriculture for their livelihood. Agriculture is most productive in the Dundee and Escourt districts. Subsistence farming is practised on communal land, which cover much of the WMA (see **Figure 2.6**). Of the work force of 254 000 people in 1994, 50% were active in the formal economy, 35% were unemployed (compared to the national average of 29%), with the remaining 15% in the informal economy. Of those formally employed, 31% were in the government sector, 20% in agriculture (and forestry) and 16% in manufacturing.

Attributable to a favourable climate and good transportation infrastructure, the economy of the Thukela WMA has relatively good potential with respect to the agriculture and forestry, transport and manufacturing sectors. The comparative advantage of the agricultural sector can be attributed to the diversity of products in the WMA as well as the potential for further forestry developments. The manufacturing sector's comparative advantage is mainly as a result of the numerous large manufacturing activities together with small inter-linked concerns currently operational in the WMA, and which provide opportunity for the broader resurgence of manufacturing in the region. There is a lack of strong economic drivers, however, such as new mining developments or the immediate proximity of export facilities.

Although a fairly strong sector in the regional economy, trade and tourism in the WMA do not have a comparative advantage in the national context.

#### 2.5 NATIONAL AND REGIONAL WATER PLANS AND OTHER LEGISLATION

The NWA, the NWRS and the CMS will form the guiding principles in preparing further water policy and plans in managing the water resources of the catchment. This legislation and the strategy documents are inextricably linked to national policies (e.g. poverty eradication and socio-economic development), other legislation (e.g. environmental laws and regulations), as well as regional integrated development planning. The NWRS has been published for public comment and will be ratified in due course. The CMS will be developed once the CMA is fully functional.

Water Service Development Plans (WSDP) have recently been prepared by all the local and district authorities. It has been noticed that water resource planning has not been sufficiently included into these WSDPs and it is intended that this ISP inform these planning processes in future.

A proposal for the development of the Thukela CMA has been developed and has been submitted to the Minister for consideration. In the interim, this ISP will serve as DWAF's strategy to manage the water resources in the Thukela River catchment. This ISP is also intended to provide a portion of DWAFs input to the development of the CMS.

Co-operative governance (co-ordinated planning and co-operation with other national, provincial, district and local authorities) and other legal requirements need to be co-ordinated. Innovative communication strategies need to be developed to encourage this synergy, which is intended to streamline public service inputs into the provision of an enabling environment that can encourage equitable and sustainable social and economic development. The Directorate of Social and Environmental Services in DWAF have recently gazetted a Consolidated Environmental Implementation and Management Plan (CEIMP) which spells out how the Department will incorporate all relevant environmental and other legislation into its water resources management and other responsibilities. This CEIMP forms the backbone of the Environmental Strategy presented in this ISP.

#### 2.6 INSTITUTIONS

There are five types of water-related institutions, which play a role in the Thukela WMA. These are:

- District Municipalities;
- Local Municipalities;
- Irrigation Boards (and Water User Associations);
- Water Boards, and
- DWAF's Regional Office (in lieu of the CMA).

District Municipalities (see **Figure 2.7**) are defined as Water Services Authorities (WSA) in terms of the Water Services Act (Act 108 of 1997), and are responsible for preparing Integrated
Development Plans (IDP). It is important to bear in mind though, that a Local Municipality (see below) can also become a Water Services Authority. An IDP is a principal strategic planning instrument, which guides and informs all planning, budgeting, management and decision-making in a municipality. The Water Services Act states that a Water Services Development Plan (see Local Municipalities below) must be part of the process of developing an IDP, and this is an important link between District Municipalities and the water sector. Water Services Authorities are also responsible for sanitation services and therefore play an important role in maintaining the water quality of the catchment at an acceptable level.

Local Municipalities (see **Figure 2.8**) are responsible for the preparation of a Water Services Development Plan (WSDP). The essential difference between an IDP and a WSDP is that a WSDP deals with water services while an IDP deals with all services. A WSDP must contain a water balance component which provides a point of reference for what is possible and what is not possible in terms of integrated development planning which impacts upon water resources. As the name indicates, this plan deals with water services, but in preparing a WSDP Local Municipalities must take cognisance of water related planning initiatives such as:

- Catchment Management Strategies
- Business plans of water boards
- Business plans of other water services providers.

This ISP forms an important step towards preparing a CMS and it is therefore essential that all IDPs and WSDPs in the Thukela WMA are in harmony with this ISP, especially with regard to sources of raw water, to ensure co-ordinated planning.

Irrigation Boards were established under the old Water Act to administer the bulk distribution of water to irrigators within a defined area. Under the National Water Act, Irrigation Boards must be transformed to form Water User Associations, which will fulfil a similar function to an irrigation board but need not necessarily be limited only to irrigation practices.

Water Boards are classified in terms of the Water Services Act as Water Services Providers and in this respect fulfil a similar role to Local Municipalities. The difference is that a Water Board deals only with water matters, usually bulk water distribution, and not any other services. There are no water boards in the Thukela WMA.

Although not an institution *per se*, the so-called Provincial Liaison Committee (PLC) also plays a role in water matters as does its sub-committee, the Water Resources Planning sub-committee. The purpose of the PLC is to foster communication and co-operation with Provincial Government, Water Boards and important stakeholders such as the Forestry Industry Association and Sugar Association. This committee currently serves the whole of KwaZulu-Natal and is not limited to only the Thukela WMA. This committee meets about twice a year. The Water Resources Planning sub-committee co-ordinates water related planning activities in the Province while the Co-ordinating Committee for Agricultural Water (CCAW) (formerly the Irrigation Action Committee) deals with matters related to irrigation, and the Department of Local Government's Water and Sanitation sub-committee deals with water services matters.

The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry is the custodian of the water resources of South Africa. Under the National Water Act, DWAF's Regional Office will fulfil the role of the Catchment Management Agency until such time as the CMA is in place and fully functional.

## 2.7 International

The eastern boundary of the Thukela WMA borders on the Kingdom of Lesotho. The watershed of the Thukela Catchment also runs along this border. As such, the water resources of the Thukela Catchment are fully contained within the WMA and Lesotho has no interest in these resources.

#### 3. GROUNDWATER

#### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

The geohydrogeological conditions prevailing in this WMA are well understood as a result of the DWAF 1995 KwaZulu-Natal Groundwater Resources Mapping and Characterisation Project, the reports of which in respect of Units 1, 6 (very minor coverage), 8, 9 and 11, with related maps, cover the area of the Thukela WMA. Since the publication of these reports, the situation in respect of the groundwater conditions in the WMA have not changed to any significant extent.

Physiographically the WMA comprises a number of low-standing valley sub-basins of the major tributary rivers, the orientation of which changes from southwest-northeast to the south of the main Thukela River in the centre of the main basin (Mooi, Bushmans) to northwest-southeast to its north (Klip, Sundays and Buffalo). These sub-basins are separated by intervening high-standing influve ridges, the margins of the main basin likewise being bounded by elevated interfluve ridges. Whereas topography in the interior portions of the sub-basin bottoms is relatively flat, eastwards and seawards the topography becomes increasingly steep and strongly dissected.

#### 3.2 GEOLOGY

Geologically the WMA comprises two structurally different portions. These comprise the unfaulted major interior portion of the WMA wherein the bedding of the sedimentary Karoo rocks is either sub-horizontal or it has a very gentle inland dip to the west, and a minor eastern coastal and coastal hinterland portion wherein the structure comprises numerous south easterly or seaward tilted fault blocks. The latter area is characterised by numerous major faults of Gondwana breakup, late Jurassic age, the presence of which gives rise to complex geological conditions here. The major west-east Tugela Fault extends into the major western portion of the basin.

In the low standing east central portion of the basin, and extending east to within about 20 km of the coast - 'Basement' rocks are exposed, these comprising granite-gneiss, schists and amphibolites. Except along the northern margin of this portion of the basin and where much the same but much older rock-types are exposed, these comprising the southern limit of the much older Kaapvaal craton, these rocks comprise part of the considerably younger Nama-Natal Structural and Metamorphic Province. All the 'Basement' rocks are generally strongly foliated and jointed.

These 'Basement' rocks are unconformably overlain in the east by sandstones of the Natal Group which are in turn overlain unconformably by the basal tillite of the Dwyka Formation of the Karoo Supergroup and the sandstones and shales of the Ecca Group which are much intruded by subaccordant sheets of Karoo dolerite. Along the short coastal portion of the WMA, unconsolidated dune deposits of variously *in situ*-weathered sediments overlie the bedrock formations (Berea-type red sand). The lower courses of the rivers in this region are underlain by considerable (up to 60 m) thicknesses of alluvial and estuarine sediments, those of the former type generally being sandy, and those of the latter clayey.

By contrast the major and extensive interior portion of the WMA comprises gently westwardsdipping shales, mudstones and sandstones of the Ecca and Beaufort Groups (Karoo Supergroup). In the eastern portion of the basin the Dwyka tillite rests unconformably on 'Basement' rocks, without the intervention of the Natal Group sandstone. In the Ladysmith-Dundee-Newcastle portion of the basin, coal occurs and has been long-term mined, this occurring in the Vryheid Formation of the Ecca Group. The Karoo sediments in this portion of the WMA are much intruded by subaccordant sheets, and to a lesser extent by near-vertical dykes of Karoo dolerite. The major high Drakensberg escarpment that occurs along the southern portion of the western boundary of the WMA comprises flat-lying extrusive basalt. On account of its relative resistence to erosion, the Karoo dolerite sheets, especially the thicker ones, generally give rise to very prominent high-standing topographic features in the interior basin portion of the WMA. Also of extensive occurrence in the interior basin portion of the WMA is the highly erodible unconsolidated Late Pleistocene sandy clay colluvial surficial deposit of the Masotcheni Formation that can be up to 15 to 20 m thick in places, it resting unconformably on the bedrock. It is characterised by the extensive occurrence of erosion dongas of various sizes.

Virtually all the WMA comprises 'hard rock' secondary porosity aquifers of the 'weathered and fractured' and 'fractured' aquifer classes. Faults, joints and intrusive Karoo dolerite contacts in the regional 'hard rocks', are zones usually of increased groundwater presence. In the coastal zone, sandy alluvium, that is a primary porosity groundwater aquifer of the 'intergranular' class and up to about 30 to 50 m in thickness, is generally present. By contrast, the depth of sandy alluvium in the river beds in the interior portion of the WMA seldom exceeds about 5 m. The poorest groundwater aquifer in the region is without doubt the Dwyka tillite. In the interior the best aquifer is sandstones of the Vryheid Formation, in the coastal zone sandstones of the Natal Group being the best aquifer. Overall the highest yielding aquifers are faults and joints in the coastal region, and in the interior intrusive Karoo dolerite contact zones, both sheets and dykes, in the Karoo Supergroup sedimentary rocks which are of very extensive occurrence here.

Except in one instance where water for the village of Nqutu is abstracted from the primary porosity intergranular sand aquifer in the river bed of the Buffalo River at Vant's Drift by means of a system of lateral screen caisson wells, which actually draw down surface water flowing on the river bed, all groundwater abstraction in the WMA is by means of 'hard rock' boreholes located in the secondary porosity 'hard rock' aquifers. Natural springs and seepages, although their flows are markedly seasonally affected, are extensively exploited as domestic water supply sources in the rural residential and agricultural portions of the WMA. With the provision of piped domestic water supply to a considerable proportion of such areas in recent years, dependence on this types of water resource for domestic use is now declining markedly in the WMA.

Groundwater yields from 'hard-rock' boreholes in the WMA are generally low and in the range 0,1 to 0,6 l/s, although significantly higher yields (3 /s) can be obtained in hydrogeologically favourable situations, such as intrusive Karoo dolerite contact zones. There is little difference in yield between the various geological formations present. Median depth to the water table in the WMA is 20 m.

Groundwater quality in the WMA is generally good, with the best quality groundwater found in the higher rainfall portions and the poorest quality found in the lower rainfall areas. The total Dissolved Solid (TDS) content of the groundwater is generally in the range 90 to 200 mg/l, but it can rise to very considerably more than 500 mg/l in the lower rainfall portions of the WMA. Groundwater pollution in the WMA is generally not of significant proportions and, where present, it is very localised. Significant pollution of the groundwater can be present (although also localised) in the northwest portion of the WMA (Ladysmith-Dundee-Newcastle) where underground coal mining and the dumping of mine discard material has taken place over the last 100 years or more.

Groundwater recharge over the WMA varies from 1 to 5 per cent of the MAP, with an average of about 3 per cent of the MAP. Overall, average annual recharge over the WMA is some 25000 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup>, varying from about 40 000 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup> in the higher rainfall portions of the area, to about 15 000 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup> in the portions of the area of lower rainfall.

The WARMS data indicates that present groundwater usage in the Thukela WMA is about 2,75 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. This converts to a usage of only 100 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup>/a over the WMA as a whole, which is only some 0,4 per cent of the mean annual recharge over the area. Usage is lowest in the areas of low-density population and highest in its more densely populated rural areas. It is evident that present groundwater usage in the WMA is in the low to very low range in terms

of the sustainability of the available resource. Groundwater usage in the WMA can thus be safely increased very considerably without detrimental impact on the resource.

The interaction between groundwater abstraction and surface water flow is thought to be very low in the Thukela WMA due to the generally low porosity of the rock in the WMA. Increased groundwater use should therefore not impact significantly on the surface water resource.

#### 3.3 CONCLUSION

From the above it is evident that current exploitation of the groundwater resource available in the WMA is at a very low level in terms of its potential. In terms of the prevailing hydrogeological conditions in the WMA, this potential can be most usefully and effectively exploited in the relatively sparsely inhabited portions of the area for the provision of domestic water supply. In general, the nature of the 'hard-rock' aquifers present is appropriate for this type of water supply. Very considerable exploitation of the available resource for this purpose is possible with no long-term depletion of the resource being likely to occur as a result thereof. Such usage can best be provided by the relevant Local Authorities. The involvement of local communities in any such rural domestic water supply projects is imperative.

#### 4. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVES OF THE THUKELA WMA

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

The broad water resources and water quality perspectives of the Thukela WMA are provided in this chapter, from which the key issues have been identified and broad strategies developed. The details of the various strategies are attached in the strategy tables provided in **Part B** of this report.

This chapter outlines the details of the water resources, water requirements and water quality of the catchment as obtained through the ISP process. Much of the data relating to the water availability and water requirements have been obtained from the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b).

The Water Resources Yield Model (WRYM) was used to simulate the Thukela River System for a number of Ecological Reserve Scenarios for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004a). The original WRYM that was set up for the Thukela Water Project (TWP), Feasibility Study (DWAF, 2002a) was used and modified during this assignment. A water accounts exercise was undertaken to determine the impacts of selected Ecological Reserve scenarios on the local economy of the Thukela catchment. The main objective was to determine the current and projected impact on water allocations that could be expected with the implementation of the ecological Reserve under different scenarios. The analyses were undertaken for the seven catchment areas (or Key Areas) listed below using the final recommended Reserve scenario:

- Upper Thukela (tertiary catchments V11, V12, V14 and quaternaries, V60G, H and J);
- Little Thukela (tertiary catchment V13);
- Bushmans (tertiary catchment V70);
- Sundays (quaternary catchments V60A, B, C, D, E and F);
- Mooi (tertiary catchment V20);
- Buffalo (tertiary catchments V31, V32 and quaternaries, V33A and B);
- Lower Thukela (tertiary catchments V40, V50 and quaternaries, V33C, D and V60K);

The locations of the various Key Areas are shown **Figure 3.1**. This figure also shows the four sub-areas as defined and used for the balance calculations in the NWRS.

The water resource evaluations undertaken in each of the above-mentioned Key Areas for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b) have been summarised in the following sections of this report and form the basis of this ISP. Due to the much higher level of detail afforded to the Thukela Reserve Determination Study than the NWRS, the situation portrayed in this ISP offers important (and significant) improvements on the NWRS. The main differences between the situation portrayed in this ISP and the NWRS are discussed in detail in **Section 4.13**.

### 4.2 WATER RESOURCE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

This section briefly describes the methodology that was applied to derive the water balance figures presented in the remainder of this chapter.

#### 4.2.1 Source of information

The results on which the water balance calculations are based were derived from the WRYM system analysis which was set up for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b). This is the latest available system but required a re-evaluation for the purposes of this ISP. The reason for this is that the analysis carried out for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study was focused on determining the economic impacts of the Reserve and not on determining the currently available allocable resource.

## 4.2.2 Ecological Reserve Scenario

The final recommended Reserve (Scenario 9/10), as determined in the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b), was used as the basis for determining the available water resources in the Thukela WMA. However, it has been assumed in this ISP that the Reserve will not be implemented immediately in the stressed catchments of the Little Thukela and Sundays Key Areas. The implication of this is that additional releases are required from the Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams to meet the Reserve on the main stem of the Thukela River, and hence reducing the currently available surplus in these dams.

#### 4.2.3 Simulation record

The systems analysis was undertaken using the historical record period only.

#### 4.2.4 User requirements

The final analyses undertaken in the Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b) to determine the impact of implementing the Reserve was based on the projected water requirements as at 2005. These user requirements were accepted for use in the ISP water balance procedure. It was assumed that urban/industrial sectors receive their water at a 1:50 year assurance while irrigators receive their water at an 80% assurance.

#### 4.3 **R**ECONCILIATION METHODOLOGY

The reconciliation of the water requirements and the available yield in the system was carried out by firstly determining excess yield at the most downstream point of each of the seven Key Areas. This was done with the Water Resources Yield Model set up for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b). The excess yield was determined by allowing support from the major dams in the system but farm dams were only allowed to support the water requirements that are directly imposed on them. Excess yield from farm dams, if any, is therefore not reflected in the water balances of this ISP. The assurance of the excess yield was assumed to be at a recurrence interval of 1:50 years (i.e. the same as that for the urban/industrial sector).

While the excess yield or balance of each Key Area was determined using the rigorous techniques described above, a simple reconciliation of water requirements and the water resource is useful for reporting purposes. This was done by expressing water requirements at an equivalent 1:50 year assurance. Urban and industrial water requirements were assumed to be at a 1:50 year assurance while irrigation requirements were reduced to a 80% assurance by multiplying by a factor of 0.85. The water resource as given in the various tables in this report were then calculated by adding the surplus yield to the water requirements at equivalent assurance. The important point that the reader must bear in mind is that while the water requirements and the water resource (expressed at a 1:50 year assurance) are approximations, the water balance has been determined using rigorous techniques.

#### 4.3.1 Water resource

The surface water resource could, in most cases, be inferred from the water balance. However, in those catchments where there is a deficit, the available yield is zero and it is not possible to infer the resource from the balance. In these cases (Little Thukela, Sundays and the Mooi Key Areas), the surface water resources were determined by means of an incremental yield analysis using Version 2 of the Rapid Simulation Model (Mallory, 2003).

#### 4.3.2 Analysis of the transfers

In the water resources analyses, the Thukela-Vaal and Mooi-Mgeni transfers were assumed to be operated at their maximum capacities while water is available at their respective abstraction points, but taking into account the downstream ecological Reserve requirements. The transfer volume from Zaaihoek Dam was determined from the capacity of the pumping station and assuming continuous pumping. The Middeldrift and Fairbreeze transfers (located in the Lower Thukela Key Area) were assumed to be supported by releases from Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams.

#### 4.3.4 Impact of the Ecological Reserve

The impact of the ecological Reserve (as presented in the resource availability table of each Key Area) was calculated to be the difference in the availability between two scenarios, one with and the other without the ecological Reserve being implemented.

#### 4.4 OVERALL PERSPECTIVE

**Sections 4.5** to **4.11** give a description of the water requirements, water resource and the reconciliation of the two for each Key Area in the Thukela WMA. However, in order to understand the arguments put forward, it is necessary to understand the overall water resource perspective of the whole WMA and the proposed water resource management strategy for the whole WMA. This overall perspective is therefore provided here as an introduction and elaborated on after the detailed discussion of each Key Area.

As part of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b), a comprehensive water resource evaluation assessment was undertaken in order to understand and quantify the economic impact of the ecological Reserve on the economy of the WMA. This evaluation indicated substantial surpluses in the Thukela WMA even after meeting the Reserve requirements, and the intention was to use these same results for this ISP. However, after careful review and consideration of the Reserve Study results, it became clear that assumptions made for the Reserve Study, while valid for Reserve determination, are not valid for the allocation of water in the Thukela WMA today or in the short term. The reasons for this are as follows:

- The Thukela Reserve water resource analysis assumed that the Reserve will ultimately be met, and in order to achieve this, curtailments were applied within the model to users throughout the catchment. This curtailment results in surplus water becoming available in the lower reaches of the Thukela River.
- The Thukela Reserve water resource analysis assumed that the Spioenkop, Ntshingwayo and Wagendrift dams will all contribute to the users and the Reserve in the Lower Thukela. This conjunctive use of these three dams results in large theoretical surpluses in the Lower Thukela.
- The methodology used in the Thukela Reserve analysis, whereby the excess yield is determined at the bottom of each key area, represents the best-case scenario. If the yield is required further upstream in the catchment then the excess yield is less. The reason for this is that releases are only made from the large dams to meet the users' shortfalls after they have made use of run-of-river yields. The further downstream a user is situated, the more

run-of-river yield becomes available, with the result that less water needs to be released from the dams and hence more surplus is available.

This ISP, while not disputing the validity or correctness of the Reserve Determination Study, has taken a more conservative approach based on the current situation. This, together with the following assumptions, results in a different view of the water resource availability in the WMA:

- Any surplus in the Buffalo Key Area will be retained for use in this area and will not be used to support users in the Lower Thukela.
- The ecological Reserve will not be implemented immediately in the Little Thukela or Sundays River Key areas because this would require compulsory licensing. In the interim, the Reserve in the main stem of the River will need to be met from the Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams. Given that the Little Thukela and Sundays Key Areas cannot contribute fully to the Reserve, this reduces the yield available from these two dams.
- The surplus yield available in the other Key Areas, where applicable, has been expressed in two forms; the maximum surplus if abstracted at the outlet of the Key Area, and the minimum if abstracted from the relevant dam (Spioenkop, Wagendrift and Ntshingwayo Dam).

Given the assumptions made for this ISP, the surplus yield which can be allocated now from the Thukela WMA is less than the surplus indicated in the Reserve Determination study.

## 4.5 UPPER THUKELA KEY AREA

#### 4.5.1 Introduction

The Upper Thukela lies in the upper reaches of the Thukela River, upstream of the confluence with the Bushmans River, and includes the towns of Bergville, Ladysmith, Colenso and Weenen. The Thukela and Klip Rivers are the main rivers in this catchment. This area is the source of water for the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme, which, *inter alia*, transfers water to the Vaal River System. The transfer capacity of this scheme represents a large portion (about 30%) of the water resources available in the Upper Vaal WMA, which is the economic heart of South Africa.

Over the last few years, the transfer has operated below capacity due to the implementation of the Lesotho Highlands Water Project and the preferred utilisation of this gravity feed resource as opposed to the pumping of water through the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme. It is expected that the transfer volume will increase over time to match the growth in the water requirements in the Vaal River system and to supplement the water resources during periods of drought. During droughts, when water is released from the Sterkfontein Dam to the Vaal Dam, the scheme will also be operated at maximum capacity.

The Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme consists of the following infrastructure :

- Woodstock Dam, located on the upper reaches of the Thukela River, is the main source of water for the scheme. The net storage capacity of the dam is 373 million m<sup>3</sup>;
- **Driel Barrage**, situated on the Thukela River 7 km downstream of the Woodstock Dam. Water is released from Woodstock Dam to Driel Barrage, from where it is pumped to a transfer canal that feeds the Jagersrust Balancing Dam. The net storage capacity of Driel Barrage is 8.7 million m<sup>3</sup>;
- **a transfer canal**, which allows transferred water to gravitate to the Jagersrust Balancing Dam before it is pumped to Kilburn and over the catchment divide to Sterkfontein Dam. The canal has a maximum capacity of some 20 m<sup>3</sup>/s;
- **diversion weirs** in the Upper Thukela River which divert run-of-river flows upstream of Woodstock Dam into the above-mentioned transfer canal. The estimated capacity of these

diversions is some 4 m<sup>3</sup>/s, which is additional to the total canal capacity of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/s mentioned above;

- **Jagersrust Balancing Dam**, provides balancing storage at the end of the transfer canal from where water is pumped to Kilburn Dam;
- **Kilburn Dam**, the lower reservoir in the Eskom pump storage scheme, with an active storage capacity of 27 million m<sup>3</sup>. Kilburn Dam provides both the storage for the transferred water and is a sump for the water discharged after electricity generation;
- **Sterkfontein Dam,** located in the headwaters of the Wilge River, a main tributary of the Vaal River, provides storage for water transferred over the escarpment. This dam, with a capacity of 2 617 million m<sup>3</sup>, is the 4th largest dam in South Africa. Water is released from Sterkfontein Dam to Vaal Dam when required;
- **Driekloof Dam** is the upper reservoir of the Eskom pump storage scheme and is situated in the upper reaches of the Sterkfontein Dam catchment. Water can only be transferred from Driekloof Dam to Sterkfontein Dam when Driekloof Dam is spilling;
- **Spioenkop Dam** was constructed to regulate flow downstream of the Driel Barrage to mitigate the effect of the transfer scheme. This dam has a capacity of 280 million m<sup>3</sup>. The dam also supplies water to Ladysmith and supports water requirements for the farmers between the dam and the confluence of the Little Thukela River. Releases are also occasionally called for to dilute the effluent discharged by Sappi into the lower Thukela near the river mouth. It should be noted, however, that SAPPI does not have a formal allocation from the dam. The Tugela-Mhlathuze Water Transfer Scheme at Middeldrift can also be supported from Spioenkop Dam if necessary.

The proposed **Jana Dam**, which forms part of the Thukela Water Project, will also be located in this area on the Thukela River should this project proceed. It is important that the optimal long-term benefits be derived from the development of the Thukela River, and that both the national and local interests be considered.

## 4.5.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Upper Thukela Key Area is 1 256 million  $m^3/a$ .

The gross available surface water resource in the Upper Thukela Key Area based on current development levels is estimated to be between 553 and 570 million m<sup>3</sup>/a deperning on where in the Key Area the water is supplied to (see **Table 4.1**) The available water is derived mainly from the Woodstock/Driel system and Spioenkop Dam. Groundwater, irrigation and urban return flows contribute about 5% to the total. A substantial proportion of the undeveloped water resource potential of the Thukela WMA lies in this area, with the proposed Jana Dam, a component of the Thukela Water Project (DWAF, 2002a) with the purpose of transferring water to the Vaal River System. The construction of Jana Dam could increase the gross available water resource by some 380 million m<sup>3</sup>/a according to a yield analysis that was undertaken as part of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b).

It should be noted that the reduction in streamflow as a result of invasive alien plants (see **Table 4.1**) was not taken into account in the Thukela Rserve Determination Study. According to the Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2003), the extent of alien plant infestation in the Upper Thukela sub-area is some 180 km<sup>2</sup>, which is more than six times that of afforestation. While this seems unlikely, the impact of invasive alien plants on the available yield (as estimated for the NWRS) has been used in this ISP. The reason for this is to rather present a slightly conservative picture than to over-estimate the available water resource. The implication is that if the extent and/or impact of invasive alien plants is not as severe as indicated in the Thukela

WMA Report (DWAF, 2003), there will be slightly more water available than indicated in this ISP. This aspect is dealt with in more detail in **Strategy 4** in **Part B** of this report.

The NWRS gives the highest priority to water for the ecological Reserve. The estimated requirements of the Reserve as determined in the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004a) is 71 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, expressed as impact on the historical yield. This is shown in **Table 4.1** to provide an estimate of the net water availability.

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m³/a)	
	At outlet of Key Area	At Spioenkop Dam
Gross surface water resource	570	555
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	71	71
Invasivealien plants	6	6
Dryland sugarcane	0	0
Net surface water resource	493	478
Groundwater resource	5	5
Return flows	23	23
Total local yield	521	506
Transfer In	0	0
Grand Total	521	506

Table 4.1: Overview of the water availability in the Upper Thukela Key Area (year 2005)

#### 4.5.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the upper Thukela Key Area is provided in Table 4.2.

 Table 4.2: Water requirements in the Upper Thukela Key Area in 2005

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m³/a)
Irrigation	87
Urban	17
Rural	9
Industrial	0
Afforestation	1
Total local requirements	114
Transfers Out	377
Grand Total	491

Transfers out of the Upper Thukela to the Vaal system are quoted in the Thukela WMA Report as 377 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. This figure reflects the impact of the yield on the Upper Thukela rather than the average transfer or the impact on the receiving system. On average it is possible to transfer 530 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, the limitation being on the availability of water in the Upper Thukela Key Area. The maximum capacity of the transfer infrastructure (pumps, pipelines, etc) is 630 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. This transfer has been reserved in the NWRS up to a maximum of 630 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. In practice the year-to-year transfer volumes will vary depending on the water balance in the Vaal River System which is, amongst other things, influenced by naturally occurring wet and dry periods. For example, if the storage in the Vaal system is low and Woodstock Dam is full, it would be possible to transfer up to 630 million m<sup>3</sup> in one year. The WRYM setup used to model the upper Thukela River assumed the Vaal system always required water and therefore the impact on yield is for some future situation when the Vaal system relies more heavily on the Thukela transfer than at present. In future, if the Jana and/or Mielietuin dams are constructed, the transfer capacity will increase substantially.

Irrigation is by far the largest user sector accounting for 78% of the requirements of the Key Area. Despite the relatively high rainfall, irrigation is still required to ensure crop security. At this stage no major new commercial irrigation expansions are envisaged in the Upper Thukela Key Area. As noted in **Section 2.3.2**, there is conflicting information on the level of irrigation development in the whole WMA, and this is especially the case in this Key Area. This issue has been taken up in **Strategy 1.2** in **Part B**.

#### 4.5.4 Water balance

A reconciliation of the requirements and available resource based on the information provided in **Sections 4.5.2** and **4.5.3** is shown in **Table 4.3**. The water balance indicates that the Upper Thukela Key Area has a surplus of between 15 and 30 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, depending on where the water is sourced. This surplus is only available downstream of the Driel Barrage.

		At outlet of Key Area	At Spioenkop Dam
Available water	Local yield	521	506
	Transfers in	0	0
	Total	521	506
Water requirements	Local requirements	114	114
	Transfers out	377	377
	Total	491	491
Balance		30	15

#### Table 4.3: Water balance in the Upper Thukela Key Area in 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)

## 4.5.5 Reconciliation perspective

There will be an increasing dependency of the Vaal River System on the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme, thereby necessitating the need to reserve the current transfer volumes (see **Strategy 3.1** in **Part B**). New allocations that are dependent on the same water resource as the transfer (ie Woodstock Dam and Driel Barrage) should therefore only be allocated to supply Basic Human Needs. The NWRS reserves a maximum of 630 million m<sup>3</sup>/a for transfer to the Vaal River System and makes provision for the development of new large water resources infrastructure in the Thukela WMA.

Any new allocations (except for Basic Human Needs) which are considered upstream of the Driel Barrage must be implemented in such a way so as not to have a negative impact on the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme or existing lawful water use in the Key Area. This can only be achieved through the construction of farm dams coupled with stringently applied operating rules to release part of the yield to the Driel Barrage. Such farm dams will require the approval of the Minister of Water Affairs and Forestry because the water in this area (upstream of Driel Barrage) is reserved for transfer to the Vaal. In general, new allocations and the construction of farm dams should be discouraged upstream of Driel Barrage. There is, however, between 15 and 30 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available for allocation downstream of Driel Barrage, depending on where in the Key Area it is abstracted. If abstracted entirely at the outlet of the Key Area (ie at the proposed Jana Dam site), there is 30 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available while if abstracted directly from the Spioenkop Dam (or upstream of the dam, but downstream of the Driel Barrage), there is approximately 15 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available.

The Upper Thukela Key Area is well-suited to commercial irrigated agriculture. New allocations for irrigation can be considered provided these are downstream of Driel Barrage. Water for irrigation can be sourced from Spioenkop Dam, run-of-river, or new farm dams may be constructed (downstream of Driel Barrage). Within these constraints, emerging farmers should receive priority when allocating water in this Key Area. New farm dams upstream of Spioenkop Dam will reduce the available surplus in Spioenkop Dam and the financial implications of this will need to be taken into consideration when issuing licenses for new dams in this region.

The towns or settlements in the Upper Thukela Key Area (and in the rest of the Thukela WMA for that matter) do not have serious water availability problems. Where problems do exist they are usually associated with poor infrastructure and water resource development. It is also believed that groundwater is not being optimally utilised due to the perceived abundance of surface water resources. Better conjunctive use and planning for future water requirements must receive more attention. There is insignificant water being used by the communal sector and water use allocations to this sector should focus on poverty eradication and inequity redress.

#### 4.5.6 Water quality

The following water quality issues have been noted :

- The effluent from the industrial area and untreated sewerage from the Ezakheni complex outside of Ladysmith has resulted in very poor quality water flowing down the Klip River into the Thukela River.
- Severe overgrazing and soil erosion problems are being experienced in the Driefontein Block and Matiwaneskop areas to the north-west and north of Ladysmith.
- Soils in the Drakensberg Mountain Range are relatively shallow and highly dispersive. Pressure from human activities is resulting in soil erosion with the consequent loss of habitat and siltation of dams in the upper catchment. This has long-term consequences for the Thukela-Vaal Transfer Scheme. These lower Drakensberg areas and specifically the Mweni Valley are the most effected. Intervention and mitigation measures are required to deal with this.

#### 4.5.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

The Upper Thukela catchment is well endowed with water resources which are mostly derived from the large Woodstock Dam and the Driel Barrage. These dams together with canal systems and pump stations transfer much of the available resource out of the WMA to the Vaal River System while the Spioenkop Dam provides for local requirements. Due to the fact that local requirements are less than the available yield from Spioenkop Dam, there is surplus yield available from this source of between 15 and 30 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.

While the Upper Thukela Key Area is geared primarily for transfers to the Vaal River System, the transfer scheme is currently being under-utilised. The planning for this sub-area, however, must be based on the maximum possible transfer, which is reserved in the NWRS up to 630 million  $m^3/a$ , which, expressed as impact on the yield of the Thukela system is 377 million  $m^3/a$ .

Implementation of the ecological Reserve will reduce the amount that can be transferred to the Vaal River System using the current infrastructure. However, the construction of the proposed Jana Dam could add as much as 380 million m<sup>3</sup>/a to the transferable yield of this sub-area.

In summary, the key issues in the Upper Thukela Catchment are :

• The resources of the Thukela River are predominantly used to support requirements for water in other parts of the country, with large transfers of water to all three neighbouring WMAs. The need for increased and additional transfers in future have been identified and investigated in detail although no decision on this has as yet been made.

- Implementation of the Reserve will have an impact on the water reconciliation and the availability of water for transfer out of the WMA. This impact is now well understood given the completion of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b).
- Potential for further development of surface water resources exists. However, it is important that the optimal long-term benefits be derived from the development of these resources, and that both the national and local interests be considered.

The key strategy identified in the NWRS relating to this sub-area is :

• Additional water for Ladysmith may be obtained from the proposed Thukela Water Project, or from Spioenkop Dam. Jana Dam, which will be the main structure of the Thukela Water Project, is planned for construction on the Thukela River just below the confluence with the Little Thukela River.

In addition to the above, this ISP suggests the following strategies :

- New water use licences may be issued in this Key Area. Upstream of Driel Barrage these should be limited to licences for domestic or high-value use but must be accompanied by the creation of additional yield provided by storage, while downstream of the Barrage additional licences for irrigation may be issued up to 30 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.
- Additional afforestation may be permitted downstream of the Driel Barrage.
- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water should receive more attention.
- Catchment management plans are required to maintain and/or rehabilitate the land cover in the foothills of the Drakensberg mountains.

## 4.6 LITTLE THUKELA KEY AREA

## 4.6.1 Introduction

Other than commercial agriculture, much of which requires irrigation, there is little development in the Little Thukela River Catchment. The upper areas of the catchment are located in a nature reserve with the implication that no development in this area is likely. Areas adjacent to the nature reserve have however developed rapidly into popular tourist resorts in recent years with the concomitant pressures of human habitation.

## 4.6.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Little Thukela Key Area is 307 million  $m^3/a$ . The gross available surface water resource in the Little Thukela is estimated to be 15 million  $m^3/a$ , as is summarised in **Table 4.4**.

The available water is derived mainly from run-of-river and numerous small farm dams. The Bell Park Dam, with a capacity of 7,5 million  $m^3$  is situated in the upper reaches of this key area and has an estimated yield of 6,2 million  $m^3/a$  (DWAF, 1999). However, this dam appears to be used mostly for recreational purposes and its potential yield is not fully utilised.

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m³/a)
Gross surface water resource	15
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	7
Invasive alien plants	2
Dryland sugarcane	0
Net surface water resource	6
Groundwater resource	1
Return flows	1
Total local yield	8
Transfer In	0
Grand Total	8

#### Table 4.4: Overview of the water availability in the Little Thukela Key Area

## 4.6.3 Water requirements

There are no transfers out of the Little Thukela catchment and irrigation is by far the dominant water use. The irrigation water requirements listed in **Table 4.5** may appear unlikely given the limited water resource in the Key Area but this estimate was verified against the registered water use which is given as 44,1 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. In order to understand the water use better in the catchment, the registered water use has been broken down into quaternary catchment and source of supply (see **Table 4.5**).

## Table 4.5: Registered water use and source of supply in the Little Thukela Key Area

Quaternary catchment	Source of supply	
	Dams	Run-of-river
V13A	0	0
V13B	2.2	8.0
V13C	1.8	8.2
V13D	7.8	3.8
V13E	4.1	8.2
Total	15.9	28.2

A summary of the present day water requirements in the Little Thukela catchment is provided in **Table 4.6**. The urban requirements are those of the town of Winterton.

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> /a)
Irrigation	36
Urban	1
Rural	1
Industrial	0
Afforestation	0
Total local requirements	38
Transfers Out	0
Grand Total	38

#### 4.6.4 Water balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and water resource is given in **Table 4.7**. The water balance, based on the WRYM analysis, indicates that the Little Thukela Key Area has a deficit of some 30 million  $m^3/a$ .

Available water	Local yield	8
	Transfers in	0
	Total	8
Water requirements	Local requirements	38
	Transfers out	0
	Total	38
Balance		-30

Table 4.7: Water balance in the Little Thukela Key Area in 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a).

The above simplistic approach of reconciling water requirements with the available resource does not portray the reality of the situation, and a more detailed analysis of this Key Area using the Rapid Simulation Model (Mallory, 2003) was carried out. The results of this analysis are given in **Table 4.8** and this confirms that the assurances of supply are low, especially in the lower reaches of the Key Area. However, the assurance of supply is not so low as to make the current irrigation practices uneconomical but they are unsustainable in terms of the ecological Reserve.

#### Table 4.8: Assurance of supply to irrigators in the Little Thukela Key Area

Quaternary catchment	Assurance of supply	
	Farm Dams	Run-of-river
V13B	100%	64%
V13C	100%	81%
V13D	60%	69%
V13E	67%	73%

#### 4.6.5 Reconciliation perspective

The water supply to irrigators in the Little Thukela Key Area is low in most cases and questions have been raised as to whether the large area of irrigation really exists in this Key Area. However, the registered water use seems to confirm the large irrigation requirement although this will need to be verified. It is surmised that due to the relatively high rainfall in this Key Area (ranging from 700 mm/a to over 1 000 mm/a), the crops that are irrigated are still viable at relatively low assurances of irrigation i.e. irrigation is only supplementary.

Irrigation seems to have expanded to the limit of what is financially viable and irrigators are using all available water in this Key Area. This holds serious implications for the ecological Reserve which will be difficult to implement without compulsory licencing. As an interim measure, no further water allocations from run-of-river should be considered in the Little Thukela catchment. Further licences for storage of water could be accepted to improve the assurance of water supply, subject to proper analysis of impact.

### 4.6.6 Water quality

The naturally good water quality in the Little Thukela Key Area is threatened by large concentrations of tourism activities (e.g. Champagne Valley), agro-chemicals and fertilisers as a diffuse source of pollution. These problems need to be better understood before they can be adequately addressed.

### 4.6.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

In summary, the key issues in the Little Thukela Catchment Key Area are:

- Irrigation in the Key Area has expanded to the point where it is utilising all the available water in the Key Area and irrigators experience frequent water shortages. The implementation of the ecological Reserve will therefore be problematic and it is recommended that this be done in a phased manner. Compulsory licencing may be required in order to fully implement the Reserve.
- There is no surplus water available for additional water-use licences.
- Significant diffuse source pollution occurs and the long-term impacts are not known.

The following key strategies have been formulated to address the above issues:

- No additional water-use licences to be issued for irrigation. The construction of farm dams will be permitted, however, should farmers wish to improve their security of supply. New farm dams will have to be shown to increase the system yield and contribute to the ecological Reserve.
- Allocations for other water use cannot be considered unless significant storage is created.
- Additional afforestation should be prohibited (unless additional storage to compensate for the loss of yield can be provided).
- The impacts of the diffuse pollution need to be investigated.

## 4.7 BUSHMANS RIVER KEY AREA

#### 4.7.1 Introduction

The Bushmans River rises in the Drakensberg Mountain range and flows in a north-easterly direction past the town of Estcourt to join the Thukela River near the town of Weenen. The Wagendrift Dam, with a full supply capacity of 56 million  $m^3$ , was constructed in 1963 on the Bushmans River to supply approximately 3 000 ha of irrigation between the dam and the Thukela River. This irrigation scheme is managed by the Weenen Water User Association. Up to 2  $m^3$ /s is released from the dam for environmental and irrigation requirements if the dam is not spilling.

Estcourt obtains water for domestic and local industrial purposes directly from the Wagendrift Dam and discharges treated effluent back into the river downstream of the dam. There are significant tribal / communal areas between Estcourt and Weenen.

The site of the proposed Mielietuin Dam, which will be part of the Thukela Water Project if constructed, is located in the lower reaches of the Bushmans River, downstream of the Wagendrift Dam.

## 4.7.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Bushmans River Key Area is 358 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.

The gross available water resource of the Bushmans River Key Area, based on current development levels, is estimated to be 115 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, as is summarised in **Table 4.9**. The available water resource is derived mainly from the Wagendrift Dam, which is currently underutilised. After accounting for the ecological Reserve and allowing for return flows and groundwater resources, there is an estimated 80 million m<sup>3</sup>/a which can be used consumptively in the Bushmans River Key Area or in the Lower Thukela Key Area.

 Table 4.9: Overview of the water availability in the Bushmans River Key Area

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> /a)
Gross surface water resource	115
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	36
Invasive alien plants	5
Dryland sugarcane	0
Net surface water resource	74
Groundwater resource	2
Return flows	4
Total local yield	80
Transfer In	0
Grand Total	80

#### 4.7.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the Bushmans River Key Area is provided in **Table 4.10**. Irrigation, situated mostly downstream of the Wagendrift Dam, is by far the dominant water use. The only other significant use is the domestic and industrial use of the town of Escourt. There are no transfers out of the Bushmans River Key Area.

 Table 4.10: Water requirements in the Bushmans River Key Area in 2005

User sector	Water requirements at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m³/a)
Irrigation	31
Urban	4
Rural	3
Industrial	1
Afforestation	1
Total local requirements	40
Transfers Out	0
Grand Total	40

#### 4.7.4 Water balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available resource is given in **Table 4.11** and indicates that there is currently a large surplus in the Bushmans River Key Area.

Available water	Local yield	80
	Transfers in	0
	Total	80
Water requirements	Local requirements	40
	Transfers out	0
	Total	40
Balance		40

#### Table 4.11: Water balance in the Bushmans River Key Area in 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)

#### 4.7.5 Reconciliation perspective

Under current operating conditions there is a surplus of about 40 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available in the Wagendrift Dam. The surplrus could be allocated to emerging farmers, but this decision will need to be carefully analysed in the light of the new allocations to the Fairbreeze mine and the ecological Reserve of the lower Thukela, which will require support from either the Wagendrift or the Spioenkop Dam, or both. Construction of farm dams in the upper reaches of the catchment could also be allowed although operating rules for these dams will need to be established in order that they do not reduce the yield of the Wagendrift Dam.

#### 4.7.6 Water quality

In the Bushmans River below Estcourt, water quality problems are experienced due to the leaching of fertilisers and agro-chemicals from the soil and the discharge of industrial waste from the various factories in the town. This pollution impacts on the Weenen Nature Reserve and irrigators in the Weenen area.

#### 4.7.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

In summary, the key issues in the Bushmans River Key Area are :

- There is currently surplus water available in this Key Area but the allocation of this needs to be carefully considered in the light of the requirements of the Lower Thukela Key Area (the Reserve and the new allocation to the Fairbreeze mine and irrigators).
- Potential for further development of surface water resources exists (i.e. Mielietuin Dam), however, it is important that both national and local interests be considered, since the Mielietuin Dam has been identified as a possible source for further water transfer to the Vaal River System.
- Significant diffuse source pollution occurs and the long-term impacts are not known.

To address the above identified issues, the following strategies are proposed:

- Future water use applications for irrigation can still be considered but must be accompanied with the creation of storage.
- Any growth in water requirement for Escourt will be supplied from the Wagendrift Dam. In future, water may also be obtained from the proposed Mielietuin Dam.
- Additional afforestation is possible in this Key Area from a water resources point of view.
- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water in the more formal areas should receive more attention.

• The impacts of the diffuse pollution needs to be investigated.

## 4.8 SUNDAYS RIVER KEY AREA

#### 4.8.1 Introduction

The Sundays River flows in a south-easterly direction from the Eastern Escarpment to its confluence with the Thukela River near the Bushmans River confluence. Commercial dryland agriculture dominates the area and there are also fairly large tracts of tribal / communal land in the lower reaches of the catchment (see **Figure 2.6**). Other than the Slangdraai Dam, which has a full supply capacity of 10,3 million m<sup>3</sup>, there is no significant storage in this Key Area. Irrigation within the Key Area is supplied from farm dams or from run-of-river flows. Coal mining abounds in the upper areas of the catchment which contributes both to water quality problems and is a source of return flows.

#### 4.8.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Sundays River Key Area is 220 million  $m^3/a$ . The gross available water in the Sundays River, based on current development levels, is estimated to be 12 million  $m^3/a$ , as is summarised in **Table 4.12**. The available water was determined at the bottom of the catchment and is derived mainly from the natural runoff. There are significant return flows from both irrigation and the mining activities in the catchment. The water availability after accounting for the ecological Reserve and allowing for return flows and groundwater resources is some 8 million  $m^3/a$ .

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m³/a)
Gross surface water resource	12
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	5
Invasive alien plants	1
Dryland sugarcane	0
Net surface water resource	6
Groundwater resource	1
Return flows	1
Total local yield	8
Transfer In	0
Grand Total	8

#### Table 4.12: Overview of the water availability in the Sundays River Key Area

#### 4.8.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the Sundays River Key Area catchment is provided in **Table 4.13**. Again, irrigation is the dominant water use.

Similar to the Little Thukela Key Area, there appears to be a large amount of irrigation in this Key Area with insufficient water resources to support this. In contrast to the Little Thukela, however, the large irrigation requirement is not confirmed by the registered water use in this Key Area, which is only 3,7 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. This large discrepancy needs to be resolved before any new allocations can be made from this Key Area.

### Table 4.13: Water requirements in the Sundays River Key Area in 2005

User sector	Water requirements at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m³/a)
Irrigation	26
Urban	1
Rural	4
Industrial/Mining	1
Afforestation	0
Total local requirements	32
Transfers Out	0
Grand Total	32

#### 4.8.4 Water balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available water resource is given in **Table 4.14**. The water balance indicates that the Sundays River Key Area has a deficit of some 24 million  $m^3/a$ . As with the Little Thukela River catchment, much of the irrigation is from run-of-river flows and shortfalls in supply occur frequently.

		· · ·
Available water	Local yield	8
	Transfers in	0
	Total	8
Water requirements	Local requirements	32
	Transfers out	0
	Total	32
Balance		-24

#### Table 4.14: Water balance in the Sundays River Key Area in 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)

#### 4.8.5 Reconciliation perspective

There is some doubt as to the balance in this Key Area due to the huge discrepancy between the registered irrigation water use and the irrigation water use estimated in other studies. This issue must be resolved before any further water allocations can be considered to the irrigation sector. If the situation proves to be as dire as indicated in this ISP, compulsory licencing might be required to reduce irrigation to acceptable levels in order that the ecological Reserve can be met.

## 4.8.6 Water quality

The following water quality issues have been noted :

- The high rural population density in many of the tribal / communal areas (in the region of 56 people/km<sup>2</sup>) contributes to the occasional high phosphate concentrations observed in the Sundays River (up to 450 mg/l) and the Wasbankspruit (1320 mg/l).
- The natural drainage from geological formations but especially from coal mine workings also contain appreciable amounts of nitrates and phosphate. There are two dormant and six closed coal mines that are located in the Sundays River Key Area.

• There is evidence of salt deposition in the Upper Sundays River at gauging point V6H004 with sulphate concentrations reaching 214 mg/l (compared with 18 mg/l further upstream at V6H006).

### 4.8.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

In summary, the key issues in the Sundays River Key Area are :

- Irrigation appears to have over-developed in this catchment and there is no surplus water available for other development. However, there is uncertainty relating to the actual amount of irrigation in the Key Area and this must be investigated as a matter of priority.
- Significant pollution occurs as a result of the mining activities and the relatively dense rural settlements.

In light of the above, the ISP suggests the following strategies :

- DWAF should take a precautionary approach and not make any new allocations to the irrigation sector from this Key Area until it can be clearly shown that the registered irrigation water use accurately reflects the actual lawful use in the area. Water for poverty eradication is available elsewhere in the WMA and this catchment should not be targeted for such initiatives, at least until such time as the irrigation water use has been accurately determined.
- The construction of farm dams should be allowed, subject to strict operating rules, if irrigation farmers wish to improve their assurance of supply.
- Allocations for water uses other than irrigation cannot be considered unless sufficient storage is created to supply these additional allocations.
- Additional afforestation should be prohibited unless additional storage to compensate for the loss of yield can be provided.
- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water should receive more attention.
- A management plan is required to address the mine pollution problems, particularly with the closure and rehabilitation of the mines.
- A sanitation and solid waste management plan is required to address the human pollution problems.
- The Reserve cannot be implemented in this Key Area under the current stressed conditions. Verification of water use and, probably, compulsory licencing will be required as a prerequisite for the implementation of the Reserve.

#### 4.9 BUFFALO RIVER KEY AREA

#### 4.9.1 Introduction

The Buffalo River is the main northern tributary of the Thukela River and flows in a southeasterly direction from the Eastern Escarpment (Newcastle area) to its confluence with the Thukela River near Nkandla. The area includes the towns of Dundee, Newcastle, Danhauser, Utrecht and Madadeni. The main storage dams in the Buffalo catchment are:

• Ntshingwayo Dam (previously known as Chelmsford Dam) which was completed in 1961 and raised in 1982. It has a capacity of 199 million m<sup>3</sup>. The dam was built to supply water

to the town of Newcastle, Eskom's thermal power station and irrigation farmers downstream. Releases are sometimes made to dilute factory spillages that end up in the river system.

• Zaaihoek Dam, which was built in 1988 with an original capacity of 193 million m<sup>3</sup>. Zaaihoek Dam is on the Slang River, a tributary of the Buffalo River, and is used to transfer water to the Vaal system and the Majuba Power Station. Zaaihoek Dam can also supplies demands downstream of the dam by releasing water into the Slang River.

## 4.9.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Buffalo Key Area is 884 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. The gross available surface water in the Buffalo River based on current development levels is estimated at between 173 million m<sup>3</sup>/a and 205 million m<sup>3</sup>/a depending on where in the catchment the water is utilised (see **Table 4.15**). Most of this yield is derived from the Zaaihoek and Ntshingwayo dams with the balance derived from farm dams and run-of-river. It was assumed in this analysis that surplus yield in the Zaaihoek Dam is not available to support requirements in the Thukela WMA.

There are significant return flows from irrigation, the urban and commercial centres as well as the mining and industrial activities in the catchment. In fact, the volume of return flows are similar in magnitude to that of the requirements of the ecological Reserve. There are no transfers into the sub-area.

The Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2003a) indicates that afforestation and invasive alien plants reduce the natural runoff by approximately 11 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, while having no impact on the available yield. This seems to be an erroneous conclusion which needs to be reviewed. For the purpose of this study an impact of invasive alien plants of 4 million m<sup>3</sup>/a has been accepted.

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m³/a)	
	At outlet of Key Area	At Ntshingwayo Dam
Gross surface water resource	205	173
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	33	33
Invasive alien plants	4	4
Dryland sugarcane	0	0
Net surface water resource	168	136
Groundwater resource	6	6
Return flows	32	32
Total local yield	206	174
Transfer In	0	0
Grand Total	206	174

## Table 4.15: Overview of the water availability in the Buffalo River Key Area

## 4.9.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the Buffalo River Key Area is provided in **Table 4.16**. Again, irrigation is the dominant water use. The irrigation estimate used in this ISP (derived from the Thukela Reserve Determination Study) has been verified against the registered water use in the Buffalo Key Area. The urban, rural and mining/industrial requirements are also significant and this is due to the development in and around Newcastle. The WRSA report (DWAF, 2002b) from which the WMA Report sourced its data, indicates the impact of forestry on the water resource to be only 1 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. Transfers out refer to the transfers from Zaaihoek Dam to the Majuba Power Station and the Vaal system.

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> /a)
Irrigation	50
Urban	24
Rural	9
Industrial	12
Afforestation	1
Total local requirements	96
Transfers Out	55
Grand Total	151

Table 4.16: \	Water requirements	in the Buffalo	<b>River Key</b>	Area in 2005
---------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------	--------------

## 4.9.4 Water balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available water resource is given in Table 4.17.

The water balance indicates that the Buffalo River Key Area has a surplus of between 23 and 55 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, depending on where in the catchment it is utilised. It is noted that shortfalls in the supply of irrigation water have been reported occasionally, but this is probably due to over-exploitation of local resources and the lack of storage. Irrigators along the Buffalo River may well experience water shortages because they do not have an allocation from the Ntshingwayo Dam and during drought periods there is insufficient run-of-river to support these irrigators.

		At outlet of Key Area	At Ntshingwayo Dam
Available water	Local yield	206	174
	Transfers in	0	0
	Total	206	174
Water requirements	Local requirements	96	96
	Transfers out	55	55
	Total	151	151
Balance		55	23

## 4.9.5 Reconciliation perspective

There is surplus water available in the Buffalo River Key Area that can be allocated. Priority must be given to redressing of inequities and poverty eradication. Allocations must however be dealt with cautiously and the location of the surplus identified before making allocations. New allocations should not be made upstream of the Zaaihoek or Ntshingwayo dams unless accompanied by the provision of additional yield through the construction of farm dams. There is potential for further growth and development in the Newcastle area and allowance should therefore be made to provide for these additional urban and industrial requirements from the currently available surplus before making new allocations in this Key Area to the irrigation sector.

### 4.9.6 Water quality

The upper Buffalo River is the most severely impacted on (from a water quality perspective) of all the Thukela River's tributaries. Acid mine drainage from numerous old coal mines and industrial pollution from the Newcastle area and the Ngagane River area, requires special intervention. Initiatives by the Regional Office have been underway for a number of years to both understand the extent of the problem and to start rehabilitating this environment. Water quality in the Buffalo River all the way down to its confluence with the Thukela has been described by the Regional Office as being very poor.

#### 4.9.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

In summary, the key issues in the Buffalo River Key Area are :

- The Buffalo River Key Area has substantial available water, some of which is derived from the Ntshingwayo Dam. The water requirements are dominated by irrigation, but there are also large urban and industrial requirements.
- There are substantial transfers out of the Buffalo River to the Vaal system, for which 55 million m<sup>3</sup>/a has been reserved in the NWRS.
- A key issue in this area is the water quality, which is very poor in places. Localised water quality problems occur associated with coal mining and pollution resulting from the closure of mines and this is of particular concern.

The strategic perspectives put forward in the NWRS are :

- The small surplus at Ntshingwayo Dam may be used for additional supplies to Newcastle as an interim measure. The implementation of the Reserve together with growth at Newcastle, are likely to result in additional storage being required in the future.
- No additional afforestation in and transfers from the sub-area should be allowed at locations which may have a limiting impact on the options for additional water supply to Newcastle.

In addition to the above, this ISP suggests the following broad strategies :

- Future water use applications for irrigation above Ntshingwayo and Zaaihoek dams that are not accompanied by the provision of additional yield through the construction of new dams should not be approved. There is potential for additional irrigation water downstream of the Ntshingwayo Dam, supported by releases from this dam.
- A management plan is required to address the mine pollution problems, particularly with the closure and rehabilitation of the mines.
- Water is available in the Ntshingwayo Dam to meet the future requirements of the Newcastle and Dundee areas from the surplus still existing in the system and some of the surplus yield of the Ntshingwayo Dam should be reserved for this purpose. The remainder can be allocated to emerging farmers but the allocable amount will need to be carefully determined depending on where in the catchment it is required.
- Given the surplus in this area, it is possible to redress the inequity of water allocations through the development of emerging farmer irrigation schemes and other poverty eradication initiatives. This irrigation should be sourced from the main stem of the Buffalo River downstream of the Newcastle area and supported by releases from the Ntshingwayo Dam when required. The appropriate authorities need to be made aware of this opportunity to address poverty eradication.

- Additional afforestation is a possibility in this Key Area, but not upstream of Ntshingwayo or Zaaihoek Dams.
- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water should receive more attention.
- There is potential to develop the water resource through the construction of new dams in the Key Area.

## 4.10 MOOI RIVER KEY AREA

### 4.10.1 Introduction

The Mooi River rises in the Drakensberg Mountains and flows parallel to the Bushmans River in a north-easterly direction to join the Thukela River near Muden. The only town of any significance in the catchment is Mooi River. The predominant land use in the catchment is commercial agriculture and there is large-scale irrigation of pastures and summer cash crops. Craigieburn Dam was constructed on the Mnyamvubu River in 1963 and has a capacity of 23.5 million m<sup>3</sup>. The dam supplements water supplies to approximately 2 000 ha of predominantly citrus farming irrigation downstream of the dam and along the Mooi River at Muden.

A water transfer scheme also exists on the Mooi River at Mearns, which can transfer up to 3.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s to the Mgeni River System. The Mooi River has long been recognised as the most feasible resource from which to augment the Mgeni System. The first phase of the two-phase Mooi-Mgeni Transfer Scheme has already been implemented, this being :

- A large weir at Mearns with a capacity of 4,9 million m<sup>3</sup>; and
- A pumped transfer from the weir to the upper reaches of the Mgeni catchment.

The proposed second phase of the scheme, possibly to be implemented soon, will entail :

- Construction of a large dam at Spring Grove; and
- Upgrading the transfer capacity from the Mooi to the Mgeni River.

## 4.10.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Mooi River Key Area is 385 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.

The gross available surface water in the Mooi River Key Area, based on current development levels, is estimated at 73 million  $m^3/a$ , as is summarised in **Table 4.18**. There are significant return flows from irrigation, but the total local yield after allowing for the ecological Reserve is estimated to be in the order of 64 million  $m^3/a$ . There are no transfers into the catchment.

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> /a)
Gross surface water resource	73
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	18
Invasive alien plants	1
Dryland sugarcane	0
Net surface water resource	54
Groundwater resource	2
Return flows	8
Total local yield	64
Transfer In	0
Grand Total	64

# Table 4.18: Water availability in the Mooi River Key Area (prior to the construction of<br/>Spring Grove Dam)

The construction of the Spring Grove Dam is imminent and this will increase the available resource in the Mooi River Key Area by an estimated 61 million m<sup>3</sup>/a (DWAF, 2004c). Table 4.19 shows the revised water resource situation with the Spring Grove Dam in place. Note that with the provision of additional storage at Spring Grove, the impact of the ecological Reserve increases. This is due to the increase in the critical period with the increase in storage within the system with the result that floods and freshets will also need to be released from the dam, resulting in a larger impact on the yield than for a system dominated by run-of-river.

# Table 4.19: Water availability in the Mooi River Key Area (after the construction of<br/>Spring Grove Dam)

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 year assurance (million m³/a)
Gross surface water resource	140
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	24
Invasive alien plants	1
Dryland sugarcane	0
Net surface water resource	115
Groundwater resource	2
Return flows	8
Total local yield	125
Transfer In	0
Grand Total	125

## 4.10.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the Mooi River Key Area is provided in Table 4.20.

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> /a)
Irrigation	49
Urban	1
Rural	1
Industrial	0
Afforestation	1
Total local requirements	52
Transfers Out	22
Grand Total	74

## Table 4.20: Water requirements in the Mooi River Key Area in 2005

The Spring Grove Dam will be constructed to augment the water resource of the Mgeni System, and, once completed, the available yield of the Spring Grove Dam will be transferred to the Mgeni System when required. **Table 4.21** shows the future situation with the additional transfer made possible by the Spring Grove Dam.

### Table 4.21: Water requirements in the Mooi River Key Area after completion of the Spring Grove Dam

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m³/a)
Irrigation	49
Urban	1
Rural	1
Industrial	0
Afforestation	1
Total local requirements	52
Transfers Out	83
Grand Total	135

## 4.10.4 Water Balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available resource for the year 2005 is given in **Table 4.22** while **Table 4.23** gives the reconciliation after the completion of the Spring Grove Dam. The water balance indicates that the Mooi River Key Area has a significant deficit, estimated to be some 10 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. However, it must be borne in mind that this deficit relates to the whole Key Area once the ecological Reserve has been implemented. A more detailed analysis of the Mooi River Key Area carried out as part of the ISP using the Rapid Simulation Model (Mallory, 2003), indicates that users in the upper reaches of the Key Area currently enjoy high assurances of supply from the numerous farm dams while the successful implementation of the Reserve will require curtailment of this irrigation.

Available water	Local yield	64
	Transfers in	0
	Total	64
Water requirements	Local requirements	52
	Transfers out	22
	Total	74
Balance		(10)

## Table 4.22: Water balance in the Mooi River Key Area in 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a)

## Table 4.23: Water balance in the Mooi River Key Area after completion of the Spring<br/>Grove Dam (million m³/a)

Available water	Local yield	125
	Transfers in	0
	Total	125
Water requirements	Local requirements	52
	Transfers out	83
	Total	135
Balance	(10)	

There are also surplus summer flows in the Mooi Key Area which could be allocated provided sufficient controls can be put in place to ensure that abstractions only take place once the ecological requirements have been met.

#### 4.10.5 Reconciliation perspective

Under current operating conditions the water resources of the Mooi River are approximately in balance, the deficit indicated in **Table 4.22** arising largely as a result of provision for the Reserve.

The construction of the Spring Grove Dam should not alter the balance in the Mooi River Key Area because the additional yield made available by the Spring Grove Dam is allocated to the Mgeni System.

## 4.10.6 Water quality

Agro-chemicals from intensive farming activities threaten the quality of the water resource in the Mooi River.

## 4.10.7 Summary, key issues and strategies

The only key issue identified in the Thukela WMA Report (DWAF, 2002b) is that a quantity of 136 million  $m^3/a$  should be reserved for transfer to the Mgeni System. Although additional infrastructure would be required to make this water available (i.e. the construction of Spring Grove Dam), this value is definitely on the high side. The latest analyses of the Mooi-Mgeni transfer indicates that the amount to be reserved, expressed in terms of 1:50 year yield, is about 80 million  $m^3/a$ .

Other strategies derived from this ISP are:

- There are possibly limited quantities of water available in the lower reaches of the Key Area, as well as summer use, from November through to March. Each licence application will need to be carefully evaluated, however, to verify the availability of water at the proposed point of abstraction. This water should be reserved for poverty eradication.
- Additional afforestation in low impact areas is a possibility but would require more analysis.
- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water should receive more attention.
- The impact of diffuse agricultural pollution needs to be investigated and a management plan developed.
- The Reserve has been determined and needs to be implemented in this Key Area. This could coincide with the completion of the Spring Grove Dam.

## 4.11 LOWER THUKELA KEY AREA

## 4.11.1 Introduction

The Lower Thukela Key Area consists of the Thukela River catchment from the Bushmans River confluence down to the river mouth at the Indian Ocean. The area includes the town of Mandini and the Isithebe industrial area, both located close to the river mouth. The existing Middeldrift and proposed Fairbreeze transfer schemes are also located in the lower reaches of this Key Area.

The Middeldrift scheme transfers water to the Mhlathuze catchment upstream of the Goedertrouw Dam. The scheme has a capacity of 1.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s and the pumps are operated whenever the water level in this dam drops below 90% of its full supply capacity.

It is proposed that the Fairbreeze transfer will service the proposed Fairbreeze mine and irrigators along the route of the pipeline. Although an abstraction licence has already been issued for this scheme, investigations are still continuing as to whether it would be more cost effective to supply the mine from the Mhlathuze River directly.

## 4.11.2 Water availability

The MAR of the Lower Thukela Key Area is 434 million  $m^3/a$ .

## Table 4.24: Overview of the water availability in the Lower Thukela Key Area

Resource category	Water available at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m³/a)			
	Supported by upstream releases	Not supported by upstream releases		
Gross surface water resource	207	122		
Subtract : Ecological Reserve	20	20		
Invasive alien plants	1	1		
Dryland sugarcane	0	0		
Net surface water resource	186	101		
Groundwater resource	1	1		
Return flows	3	3		
Total local yield	190	105		
Transfer In	0	0		
Grand Total	190	105		

The gross available surface water resource in the Lower Thukela Key Area based on current development levels is estimated to be 122 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, as is summarised in **Table 4.24**. Although there are significant return flows from the Sappi mill in Mandini, this occurs in the river mouth and has not been taken into account in the water balance. From time to time the quality of the Sappi effluent has been so poor that releases from the Spioenkop Dam have been necessary as a management intervention. This has not been factored into the water resources evaluation carried out for this ISP due to a lack of information on the requirements. This may require more detailed investigation at some point in the future.

## 4.11.3 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements in the Lower Thukela Key Area is provided in **Table 4.25**. The largest use of water from the Lower Thukela Key Area is the transfer to the Mhlathuze Catchment. The impact of this transfer on the available resource of the Lower Thukela Key Area is estimated at 38 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. There is also a small transfer out of this Key Area of about 2 million m<sup>3</sup>/a to meet industrial demands in the Amatikulu River catchment, which forms part of the Usutu to Mhlathuze WMA. The industrial requirements of the Sappi mill at Mandini are also significant with this being the largest single industrial water use in the Thukela WMA. No

The water requirements of the Fairbreeze mine are included in **Table 4.25** even though this mine is not yet operational. The reason for this is that water has already been allocated with 32 million  $m^3/a$  allocated for the mine and a further 15 million  $m^3/a$  (at a lower assurance) allocated for proposed new irrigation along the pipeline route. This new irrigation requirement is included in **Table 4.25** under 'Transfers Out'.

User sector	Water requirement at a 1:50 equivalent assurance (million m <sup>3</sup> / a)
Irrigation	22
Urban	2
Rural	10
Industrial	24
Afforestation	0
Total local requirements	58
Transfers Out	87
Grand Total	145

## Table 4.25: Water requirements in the Lower Thukela Key Area in 2005

## 4.11.4 Water Balance

A reconciliation of the water requirements and resource availability is given in **Table 4.26**. The water balance indicates that the Lower Thukela Key Area is experiencing a deficit, but it must be borne in mind that this allows for the Ecological Reserve and new allocations to the Fairbreeze transfer which has not yet been taken up.

		Not supported by upstream releases	Supported by upstream releases
Available water	Local yield	105	190
	Transfers in	0	0
	Total	105	190
Water requirements	Local requirements	58	58
	Transfers out	87	87
	Total	145	145
Balance		(40)	45

#### Table 4.26: Water balance in the Lower Thukela Key Area 2005 (million m³/a)

## 4.11.5 Reconciliation perspective

The above balances refer to two scenarios. In the first scenario, it is assumed that the surpluses are retained (or used locally) in the Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams. Under these circumstances, there will be a large deficit in the Lower Thukela Key Area. This scenario is shown in this report to demonstrate that water must be released from upstream dams to support the Reserve and various users in the Lower Thukela Key Area, as shown in the second scenario. If the entire surplus yield of the Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams are used to supply a hypothetical user at the outlet of the Lower Thukela Key Area, a surplus of 45 million m<sup>3</sup>/annum could be made available.

In the water balance for the entire WMA, it is assumed that sufficient water will be released from the upstream dams to ensure a balance in the Lower Thukela Keay Area (see **Table 4.27**).

#### 4.11.6 Water quality

Large rural settlements and poor sanitation facilities along the Lower Thukela River could cause water quality problems during low-flow conditions. The water quality problems are currently mitigated by the reasonably large volumes of water that flow down this lower section of the Thukela River from the well-watered tributary sub-catchments upstream.

The most significant water quality impact on the river is caused by the Sappi Paper Mill at Mandini, which requires sufficient river flows to dilute its effluent releases. Also, fibres from this industrial process could be affecting the biota downstream to the river mouth.

Releases from the Spioenkop Dam have been made in the past to dilute Sappi's effluent, but if the surplus in the Thukela WMA is to be allocated then this practice must cease or Sappi must apply for a water use licence for the use of this water.

#### 4.11.7 Key issues and strategies

Strategies derived from this ISP are:

• There is scope for additional use in this Key Area, although it must be borne in mind that new allocations in this Key Area will require releases from the Spioenkop or Wagendrift dam (or both). The whole Thukela catchment therefore needs to be considered when allocating water in the Lower Thukela Key Area. Preference should be given to poverty eradication and the redressing of past inequities, but the surpluses are sufficient to consider large-scale commercial irrigation as well.

- Groundwater should be considered as the first option for rural domestic use. Conjunctive use of ground and surface water should receive more attention.
- A management plan for the effluent from Sappi is required.
- An operating rule will need to be established for the Spioenkop Dam and/or the Wagendrift Dam in order to periodically supplement the flow in the Lower Thukela for the Fairbreeze transfer.

#### 4.12 OVERALL WATER BALANCE

The overall water balance for the whole Thukela WMA is provided in **Table 4.27**. The overall balance depends very much on how the catchment is operated ie where the surpluses are assumed to be utilised and where they are supplied from. **Table 4.27** presents two possible scenarios in which support for the lower Thukela is provided from the Spieonkop, Wagendrift and Ntshinwayo dams. In both scenarios, support to the Lower Thukela Key Area is assumed in order to maintain the balance in this catchment.

## Table 4.27: Reconciliation of allocations and available water for the Thukela WMA for year 2005 assuming support from Wagendrift and Spioenkop (million m³/a).

	A	vailable wate	r	Water requ	Balance		
Key Area	Local yield	Transfers In	Total	Local requirements	Transfers out	Total	
Upper Thukela	506	0	506	114	377+11 <sup>1</sup>	502	4
Little Thukela	8	0	8	38	0	38	(30)
Bushmans	80	0	80	40	29 <sup>1</sup>	69	11
Sundays	8	0	8	32	0	32	(24)
Мооі	64	0	64	52	22	74	(10)
Buffalo	174	0	174	96	55	151	23
Lower Thukela	105	40 <sup>2</sup>	145	58	87	145	0
Total	945	0	945	430	541	971	(26)
Allocable							<b>38</b> <sup>3</sup>

#### Notes:

1. Releases to support the Lower Thukela Key Area.

- 2. Supplied from Spioenkop and Wagendrift dams.
- 3. Since it is not feasible to supply the shortages in the Little Thukela, Sundays or Mooi Key Areas from the surpluses in the Upper Thukela, Buffalo or Bushmans Key Areas, there is at least 38 million m<sup>3</sup>/a available for allocation in the Thukela WMA.

While Table 4.27 indicates that there is water available for allocation in the Thukela WMA, it is unlikely that these surpluses can be used to supply the deficits in the Little Thukela, Sundays or Mooi River Key Areas because it would be impractical and uneconomical to do so. It would be more cost effective to develop new water resources infrastructure in the catchments where the deficits occur, and even this is unlikely to be economically viable, due to the low economic returns from irrigation, which is the sector experiencing the shortage.

**Table 4.27** presents a particular water management scenario, and many other sceanrios can be developed in order to determine the effects of alternative water use patterns or operating rules on the available water resource. In **Table 4.28** two more scenarios are given. In both of these scenarios the Buffallo River Key Area is assumed to contribute to the water requirements

of the Lower Thukela Key Area. In Scearion 1 the surplus water is assumed to be utilised directly from the large dams (Spieonkop, Wagendrift and Ntshingwayo), which is very similar to the scenario given in **Table 4.27.** In Scenario 2, the surplus water is assumed to be utilised at the outlet of the various Key Areas, which results in more utilsable water than Sceanrio 1.

Table 4.28: Reconciliation of allocations and available water for the Thukela WMA for year 2005 assuming support from Wagendrift, Spioenkop and Ntshingwayo dams (million  $m^3/a$ ).

		Scenario 1		Scenario 2			
Key Area	Surplus in dams	Release to Lower Thukela	Available in dams	Surplus in catchment	Release to Lower Thukela	Available in catchment	
Upper Thukela	15	8	7	30	10	20	
Bushmans	40	20	20	40	13	27	
Buffalo	23	12	11	55	17	38	
Total	78	40	38	125	40	85	

## 4.13 COMPARISON WITH THE FIRST EDITION NWRS

#### 4.13.1 Introduction

In this section, the water balances presented for the various Key Areas are summarised into the Sub-area division used in the first edition of the NWRS so that comparison with this first edition can be made easily and differences explained.

#### 4.13.2 Water requirements

A summary of the water requirements as documented in this ISP is provided in **Table 4.29** while **Table 4.30** contains the water requirements of the NWRS.

Key Area/	Irrigation	Urban	Rural	Mining and bulk industrial	Power Generation	Afforestation	Total local requirements	Transfers out	Grand Total
Sub-area									
Upper Thukela	87	17	9	0	0	1	114	377	491
Little Thukela	36	1	1	0	0	0	38	0	38
UPPER THUKELA	123	18	10	0	0	1	152	377	529
Bushmans	31	4	3	1	0	1	40	0	40
Sundays	26	1	4	1	0	0	32	0	32
Мооі	49	1	1	0	0	1	52	22	74
MOOI/SUNDAYS	106	6	8	2	0	2	124	22	146
Buffalo	50	24	9	12	0	1	96	55	151
BUFFALO	50	24	9	12	0	1	96	55	151
Lower Thukela	22	2	10	24	0	0	58	87	145
LOWER THUKELA	22	2	10	24	0	0	58	87	145
TOTAL	301	50	37	37	0	4	430	541	971

## Table 4.29: Water requirements/allocations of the Thukela WMA in the year 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a). Key Areas as defined in this ISP report.

Note: The shaded rows refer to sub-areas as defined in the NWRS

Sub-area	Irrigation	Urban	Rural	Mining and bulk industrial	Power Generation	Afforestation	Total local requirements	Transfers out	Grand Total
UPPER THUKELA	71	11	6	0	0	0	88	377	465
MOOI/SUNDAYS	76	13	9	4	0	0	102	34	136
BUFFALO	38	27	11	14	1	0	91	55	146
LOWER THUKELA	19	1	5	28	0	0	53	40	93
TOTAL	204	52	31	46	0	0	334	506	840

# Table 4.30: Water requirements/allocations of the Thukela WMA in the year 2000 as given in the First Edition NWRS (million m³/a).

The two significant differences between the water requirements given in this ISP and the NWRS are the irrigation requirements and the transfers out of the WMA. These differences are motivated as follows:

The irrigation requirements in the Thukela WMA, obtained from various sources, are summarised in **Table 4.31**. A direct comparison between these data is not appropriate since the values reflect development levels in different years and the reliability requirements may not be the same. The irrigation requirements used in this ISP were based on the TWP feasibility study and are hence similar. It is clear that the TWP (and hence the ISP) irrigation requirements are significantly higher than those derived from other studies. However, it should be recognised that the TWP erred on the conservative side (high local requirements) in order to make adequate provision for in-basin use and development.

Table 4.31: 0	Comparison	of irrigation	water requirem	ents from	different sources
---------------	------------	---------------	----------------	-----------	-------------------

Sub-area	Requirement (million m³/a)	Assurance
ISP	353	Average requirement
ISP	301	1:50
TWP Feasibility Study	323	Average requirement
DWAF Registration Database (WARMS)	253	Average requirement
WSAM Version 2	229	1:50
First Edition NWRS	230	1:50
VAPS	231	1:50

The transfers out of the WMA given in this report differ from those of the NWRS for the following reasons:

- The Fairbreeze transfers are included in this report. Even though these transfers have not yet (and might never be) implemented, the licences for the transfers have been issued and hence need to be taken into account.
- The transfer to the Mgeni catchment from the Mooi Key Area is given in the NWRS as 34 million m<sup>3</sup>/a. A detailed analysis of this transfer carried out as part of this ISP has determined the impact of this transfer on the availability of the yield in the Mooi Key Area as only 22 million m<sup>3</sup>/a, taking the ecological Reserve into account, which does limit these transfers somewhat.

#### 4.13.3 Water resource

A summary of the water resource as documented in this ISP is provided in **Tables 4.32** while **Table 4.33** contains the water resource of the NWRS. Note that the water resource given in **Table 4.32** is based on the conservative scenario given in **Table 4.27**.

## Table 4.32: Water resources of the Thukela WMA in the year 2005 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a) per Key Area (ISP) and per sub-area (NWRS) as determined as part of this ISP report

Key Area/	Natural	resource	Usable return	Total local	Transfer in	Grand total
Sub-area	Surface water	Groundwater	flow	yield		
Upper Thukela	478	5	23	506	0	506
Little Thukela	6	1	1	8	0	8
UPPER THUKELA	484	6	24	514	0	514
Bushmans	74	2	4	80	0	80
Sundays	6	1	1	8	0	8
Мооі	54	2	8	64	0	64
MOOI/SUNDAYS	134	5	13	152	0	152
Buffalo	136	6	32	174	0	174
BUFFALO	136	6	32	174	0	174
Lower Thukela	101	1	3	105	0	105
LOWER THUKELA	101	1	3	105	0	105
TOTAL	855	18	72	945	0	945

Note: The shaded rows refer to sub-areas as defined in the NWRS

The water resource of the Thukela WMA, as determined for this ISP using the WRYM setup for the Thukela is substantially higher than given in the NWRS. The main reason for this is that the reduction in yield due to the ecological Reserve, as used in the NWRS (which was based on desktop estimates), is much higher than that recently determined through the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2004b). The latter Reserve has been approved by DWAF and hence is now the accepted Reserve for the WMA.

#### Table 4.33: Water resources of the Thukela WMA in the year 2000 (million m<sup>3</sup>/a) per subarea (NWRS) as given in the NWRS

Sub-area	Natural resource		Usable return	Total local	Transfer in	Grand total	
	Surface water	Groundwater	- TIOW	yleia			
UPPER THUKELA	376	5	13	394	0	394	
MOOI/SUNDAYS	110	3	15	128	0	128	
BUFFALO	107	6	22	136	0	136	
LOWER THUKELA	73	1	3	79	0	79	
TOTAL	666	15	56	737	0	737	

## 4.13.4 Reconciliation of requirements and availability

A reconciliation of the water requirements and available resource as documented in this ISP is provided in **Tables 4.34** while **Table 4.35** contains the reconciliation as given in the First Edition NWRS.

## Table 4.34: Reconciliation of requirements and available resource of the Thukela WMA in<br/>the year 2005 (million m³/a).

Key Area	Available water			Water requ	Balance		
	Local yield	Transfers In	Total	Local requirements	Transfers out	Total	
Upper Thukela	506	0	506	114	377+11	491	4
Little Thukela	8	0	8	38	0	38	(30)
UPPER THUKELA	514	0	514	152	377+11	529	4
Bushmans	80	0	80	40	29	40	11
Sundays	8	0	8	32	0	32	(24)
Мооі	64	0	64	52	22	74	(10)
MOOI/SUNDAYS	152	0	152	124	22	146	6
Buffalo	174	0	174	96	55	151	23
BUFFALO	174	0	174	96	55	151	23
Lower Thukela	105	40	105	58	87	145	0
LOWER THUKELA	105	40	145	58	87	145	0
Total	945	0	945	430	541	971	(26)
Allocable							38

Note: The shaded rows refer to sub-areas as defined in the NWRS

## Table 4.35: Reconciliation of requirements and available resource of the Thukela WMA in<br/>the year 2003 as given in the NWRS (million m³/a)

	Available water			Water requ	Balance		
Key Area	Local yield	Transfers In	Total	Local requirements	Transfers out	Total	
UPPER THUKELA	394	0	394	88	377	465	(71)
MOOI/SUNDAYS	128	0	128	102	34	136	(8)
BUFFALO	136	0	136	91	55	146	(10)
LOWER THUKELA	79	0	79	53	40	93	(14)
Total	737	0	737	334	506	840	(103)

Considering the WMA as a whole, this ISP shows that the Thukela WMA is in deficit, although the deficit is considerably less than that given in the NWRS. However, as discussed in **Section 4.12**, it is not feasible to supply the shortages in the Little Thukela, Sundays and Mooi Key Areas from the surpluses in the Upper Thukela and the Buffalo Key areas. This ISP shows, through more detailed analyses, that it is possible to allocate at least 38 million m<sup>3</sup>/a in the Thukela WMA.
#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1994). Vaal Augmentation Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme: Reconnaissance Phase. Report No. V000/00/0894.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1995). Vaal Augmentation Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme: Pre-Feasibility Phase. Report No. V000/00/0895.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1995). Characterisation and Mapping of the Groundwater Resources, KwaZulu-Natal Province. Reports and Maps, Mapping Units 1,6,8,9 and 11.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1996). Vaal Augmentation Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme: Interim Study. Report No. V000/00/1296.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1997). **The Impacts of Timber Plantations on runoff in South Africa: A Handy Reference Manual**. Compiled by the CSIR.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (1999). **Yields of Major Dams in the Thukela Basin**: Internal DWAF Memorandum; File 14/V100

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2001). **Mooi-Mgeni Transfer Scheme Phase 2. Supporting Report No 6: Water Resource Analysis**.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2002a); **Thukela Water Project Feasibility Study: Main Report**. Report No. V000/00/9600.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2002b). **Thukela WMA – Water Resources Situation Assessment.** Report No: P WMA 7/000/00/0301.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2003a); **Thukela Water Management Area: Overview of Water Resources and Utilisation.** Report No. P WMA 5/000/00/0203.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2003b); **National Water Resource Strategy, First Edition.** 

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2004a); **Thukela Water Project Decision Support Phase. Water Resources and Hydrology Report.** Report No. PB V000-00-127/02.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (2004b). **Thukela Water Project Decision Support Phase. An Overview of the Current Operating Rules**. Report No. PB V000-00-128/02.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, South Africa (2004c). **Internal Strategic Perspective: Mvoti to Mzimkulu Water Management Area**: Prepared by Tlou & Matji (Pty) Ltd, WRP (Pty) Ltd, and DMM cc on behalf of the Directorate: National Water Resource Planning (East). DWAF Report No. P WMA 11/000/00/0304.

KwaZulu-Natal Provincial Cabinet (2002). **Provincial Growth and Development Strategy for KwaZulu-Natal** 

Le Maitre, DC, Versfeld, DB, and Chapman, RA (November 1998). The impact of Invading Alien Plants on Surface Water Resources in South Africa: A Preliminary Assessment.

Mallory, SJL (2003). **Water resources modelling for the National Water Act.** Eleventh South African National Hydrology Symposium, 2003.

Midgley, DC, Pitman, WV and Middleton, BJ (1994). **Surface Water Resources of South Africa 1990**. Volume VI. Drainage Regions U,V,W,X - Eastern Escarpment– Appendices and Book of Maps. WRC Report Number 298/6.1/94.

# PART B: STRATEGY TABLES

# Table of contents

1 WATER BALANCE AND WATER RESOURCES RECONCILIATION	2
<ul> <li>1.1 Surface water availability</li> <li>1.2 Water requirements and use.</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>1.3 WATER BALANCE RECONCILIATION</li> <li>1.4 GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY AND USE</li> </ol>	
2 WATER RESOURCES PROTECTION	
<ul><li>2.1 Reserve and resource quality objectives</li><li>2.2 Water quality management</li></ul>	
<b>3 WATER CONSERVATION AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT</b>	
3.1 Water conservation and demand management	
4 WATERWORKS DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT	
4.1 INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND SYSTEM OPERATION	
5 MONITORING & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	
5.1 Monitoring networks	
6 INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT & SUPPORT	
6.1 Institutional support	
7 INTEGRATION AND CO-OPERATIVE GOVERNANCE	
7.1 Integration and co-operative governance	
8 IMPLEMENTATION	
8.1 Implementation	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATER BALANCE AND WATER RESOURCES RECONCILIATION.         1.1       SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY.         1.2       WATER REQUIREMENTS AND USE.         1.3       WATER BALANCE RECONCILIATION.         1.4       GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY AND USE.         WATER RESOURCES PROTECTION.

### 1 WATER BALANCE AND WATER RESOURCES RECONCILIATION

1.1 Surface water availability		
Management objective Situation assessment/	Ensure reliable estimates of the water resources (surface and groundwater) are available to effectively conduct Integrated Water Resources Management. The factors impacting on the water resources need to be clearly defined and understood. The surface water resources of the Thukela WMA have been the subject of investigation of several studies in the past. This interest in the water resource availability is due to the fact that the Thukela WMA in addition to its own in-basin	
motivation	demands, is also an important source of water for transfers to the Upper Vaal, the Usutu to Mhlathuze and the Mvoti to Mzimkulu WMAs.	
	The latest studies that involved updating the hydrological database and water resource analysis are as listed below:	
	<ul> <li>Vaal Augmentation Planning Study: Thukela – Vaal Transfer Scheme, concluded in <b>1995</b> and superceded by the subsequent studies listed below. (<b>DWAF</b>, <b>1995</b>)</li> </ul>	
	• Mooi, Mkomazi Hydrology Update, completed in the year <b>1997</b> ( <b>DWAF</b> , <b>1999</b> ).	
	• Thukela Water Project Feasibility Study, concluded in the year <b>2000</b> ( <b>DWAF</b> , <b>2000</b> ).	
	Thukela Water Project – Decision Support Phase.	
	These reports are summarised in <b>Annexure B</b> .	
	The hydrological data produced by the Thukela Water Project Feasibility Study are considered to be at a sufficient level of confidence to make reliable estimates of the water resource availability at a large system resolution. It is however recognised that increased resolution hydrology and water resources models would be required in future, particularly to assist in the management of allocations for licensing.	
	Information on the water resource availability, for the purpose of compiling a water balance for the Thukela WMA, originates from system analyses that were carried out as part of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study. The purpose of the analyses was to quantify the yield capability for the two scenarios, with and without the ecological Reserve. (See the <b>Reserve and Resource Quality Objectives Strategy 2.1</b> for more details on the Reserve). The analysis methodology that was applied to obtain the water balances is presented in detail in <b>Section 4.2</b> of <b>Part A</b> of the document.	
	The water resource availability was determined for seven Key Areas (see <b>Figure 3.1</b> ) as presented in a series of tables that are given in <b>Section 4</b> of <b>Part A</b> of this report. The Key Area availability data was further aggregated into four larger areas, which correspond approximately to the "Sub-area" definition that was used in the NWRS. These Sub-areas are also shown in <b>Figure 3.1</b> , and the comparisons between the ISP data and the data from the NWRS supporting reports ( <b>DWAF</b> , <b>2003</b> ) is presented in <b>Section 4.13</b> .	
	Groundwater availability is covered separately as part of <b>Strategy 1.4.</b>	

Strategy	A mechanism of documenting existing information and makin studies so that resources are channelled at improving information are needed in the Thukela WMA. This is especiall water resources developments are planned in the medium terr	ng it available to future rather than recreating y important since major n in the WMA.
	The resolution of the water resources assessment model the Thukela WMA should be refined (where required) to be balance conditions in sub-catchments. Such a model will the assessing water reconciliation options, water-use licence appli- term, for compulsory licensing.	hat is available for the able to assess water- ypically be required for cations and, in the long
	Severe drought events can have significant impacts on the av WMA and it is therefore required to consider revising or exter time series database when droughts occur in future. Other changes in the land use would also warrant the updating of general strategy is to monitor prevailing conditions over time perceived reason to update the hydrology, to first undertake a assessment and then decide whether or not a full-scale study is required.	vailability of water in the ending the hydrological factors such as major of the hydrology. The e and, when there is a reconnaissance impact to update the hydrology
	It would also be advisable to update the hydrology and implementation of Compulsory Licensing, particularly if the hy extended by ten years or more.	d models prior to the drology records can be
	The Regional DWAF office, as the <i>de facto</i> CMA, should alway all water availability assessment initiatives in the WMA and s results and procedures of assessments to the relevant local planning forums to ensure consistency in methodology and to updated data and information.	ys be an integral part of hould communicate the stakeholders and other facilitate the sharing of
		Deen en eikiliter en d

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
Develop or assist in the development of spatially fine resolution hydrology data and water resources models of the Thukela WMA to enable appropriate assessments of water resources at detailed catchment level, in particular where the water resources are stressed in catchments like the Little Thukela and Sundays rivers, or where there are large demands on the water resource, for example the Buffalo Key Area. The refinement of the model should be undertaken incrementally, focusing first on catchments where problems are experienced by the users or where water-use license applications necessitate that such analysis be undertaken.	D: NWRP & R Office Priority: High
Assess the prevailing conditions on a continuous basis to detect significant changes (e.g. severe drought or land-use changes) in order to commission	D: NWRP
reconnaissance assessment to determine the need to update the hydrology. Once there is evidence to show that the availability is affected, appropriate hydrological studies should be commissioned.	Priority: Medium

1.2 WATER REQUIREMENTS AND USE		
Management objective	Ensure that reliable information on the water requirements in the Thukela WMA are available and are continuously updated as new information becomes available or if there are changes in the current water use patterns. This includes ensuring that water requirement scenarios are maintained and updated for planning and management of the water resource of the WMA.	
Situation assessment/ motivation	<i>t</i> / With reference to the water requirement (see <b>Section 4</b> of <b>Part A</b> of this report), it is evident that of the total estimated water requirement of 521 million m <sup>3</sup> /annum within the water management area, 58% is for irrigation, 10% for urban purposes and 7% for mining and bulk industrial use as well as rural use. Although a significant quantity of water is intercepted by afforestation, the location of the afforestation areas relative to the existing major dams in the WMA is such that it has little impact on the yield from the existing dams.	
	In addition to the local water requirements, discussed above, there are large inter- basin transfers out of the WMA. The amount of water transferred out of the WMA varies from year to year depending on the requirements of the Vaal, Mhlathuze and Mgeni systems to which water is transferred. The maximum quantity that can be transferred, as an average over the historical flow sequence is:	
	Thukela-Vaal transfer: 530 million m <sup>3</sup> /annum	
	• Thukela-Mhlathuze transfer: 40 million m <sup>3</sup> /annum	
	• Thukela-Mooi transfer: 45 million m <sup>3</sup> /annum	
	<ul> <li>Zaaihoek transfer: 55 million m<sup>3</sup>/annum</li> </ul>	
	The estimated impact of these transfers on the available yield in the Thukela WMA is 541 million m <sup>3</sup> /annum.	
	There remains some uncertainty with respect to the water requirements from the irrigation sector. As an example, the registration database (version as on November 2003) indicates that the total volume of water used for irrigation is 253 million m <sup>3</sup> /a, which is much less than indicated in the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (Hydrological Support) (see <b>Table 2.1 in Part A</b> ). The registration and verification of the water use data, as captured in the registration database, has however not been completed and it was decided to accept the estimates from the Thukela Water Project Feasibility Study (DWAF, 2002a) for the irrigation sector. This decision is further supported by the knowledge that the aforementioned study was undertaken at a relatively high level of detail.	
	The water use and water requirement projections for the main urban centres and some of the rural users have been reviewed and updated where required, as part of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2002d). This source of data was considered to be of an acceptable level of confidence and was therefore used in the ISP.	
	The reader is also referred to <b>Section 4</b> ( <b>Part A</b> ) of this report for detailed information on the water requirements in each of the seven Key Areas.	
	The benefit of comparing the actual water use with projections (undertaken as part of the Thukela Reserve Determination Study, (DWAF 2004a) was illustrated in the large discrepancies that were observed for certain consumption centres. That task made it possible to identify those problems and that realistic water requirement scenarios could be compiled. There is currently, however, not a process or a database system in place to undertake similar comparisons on a continual basis.	

The verification of lawful water use needs to be undertaken. This can be done
incrementally starting with priority areas with known supply problems. The Little
Thukela and Sundays Key Areas should receive the highest priority since these areas
are stressed.

#### Strategy

The water requirement projections for the urban areas are available, however, these do not include information regarding the potential impact of water conservation and demand management interventions. Collaboration with towns and district municipalities need to be pursued to factor these initiatives into their planning.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
The catchments requiring verification of existing lawful use should be prioritised and the verification should proceed accordingly. If it is found that	Regional Office
the verified water use differs substantially from the data used in the models, appropriate adjustments will have to be made and the water balance and management strategies revised.	Priority: High
Develop a water-use database and initiate a process to capture the actual water-use data at regular intervals. Comparisons of the actual water use	Regional Office
data with the water requirement projections should be undertaken in order to advise where adjustments are required and more detailed investigations should be initiated.	Priority: Medium

1.3 WATER BALANCE RECONCILIATION		
Management	To attain an equitable and sustainable balance between water requirements and available	
obiective	water resources by progressively implementing integrated water resource management	
	measures.	
Situation	The Thukela WMA has surplus water available in the Upper Thukela, Buffalo and/or	
assessment/	the Lower Thukela Key Areas (the latter as a result of possible support from	
motivation	upstream Key Areas). This surplus water varies from 38 to 100 million m <sup>3</sup> /a	
	depending on where in the WMA the water is required and the source from which it is	
	to be supplied. If supplied directly from the Spioenkop and Ntshingwayo dams then	
	the surplus yield is only about 38 million m <sup>3</sup> /a while if Spidenkop and Ntshingwayo	
	control of the Ruffale River respectively, then the surplus yield could be as high as	
	100 million $m^3/a$ . Fither the Wagendrift or Spicenkon dam are required to support the	
	large allocations and ecological requirements of the Lower Thukela	
	The Upper Thukela, Sunday and Mooi Key Areas are in deficit due to over-allocation	
	or over-development of irrigation. Reported water shortages in the upper Buffalo	
	River could be due to the incorrect operation of the Skurwepoort diversion, which	
	diverts water to the Ngagane water treatment plant. A minimum flow of 1 m <sup>3</sup> /s must	
	be allowed to flow over this weir but it appears as if this minimum flow is not always	
	maintained.	
	It is not considered feasible to use the surpluses in the Upper Thukela and Buffalo	
	Key Areas to eliminate the shortages in the Little Thukela Sundays or Mooi River	
	Key Areas. Local resources should rather be developed or compulsory licencing	
	applied in areas where there are large deficits.	
	Factors that could have a significant influence on the water balance:	
	. With reference to the large differences in the water requirement for the irrigation	
	Whith reference to the large differences in the water requirement for the imgation     sector from various data sources, as discussed in the Water Paguirements and Use	
	Strategy 1.2 the surpluses could be significantly higher if the actual irrigation water	
	requirements are lower than estimated for the balance calculations	
	• After water transfers to the Vaal catchment, irrigation is the largest water user in the	
	upper I hukela and the rest of the WMA and could benefit from WC&DM. The	
	vvc&Divi strategy is discussed separately in Strategy 4.	
	Three dams have been proposed for development in the WMA, primarily for	
	increased transfers of water into the Vaal and Mgeni catchments. These are Jana	
	Dam on the Thukela River and Mielietuin Dam on the Bushmans River for the	
	transfers to the Vaal, and Spring Grove Dam on the Mooi River for transfers to the	
	Mgeni System. The three dams have the potential of increasing the yield of the WMA	
	by 598 million m <sup>2</sup> /annum. These dams will however not relieve the water-stressed	
	situation in the Little Thukela or the Sundays River catchment.	

Strategy
Water transfers out of the WMA should continue up to the quantities reserved in the NWRS. Additional transfers will only be possible through the provision of additional infrastructure. The in-basin requirements must always receive priority when planning and implementing transfer schemes and water users within the WMA must not be put in a worse position due to new transfer schemes.

In allocating the surpluses in the Thukela WMA, the benefit to the previously disadvantaged must be maximised.

#### Sundays and Little Thukela Key Areas

The deficits in the Sundays and Little Thukela Key Areas will not be addressed directly at this stage. The situation first needs to be understood better and the water use in these Key Areas verified. The ecological Reserve is to be implemented incrementally in these catchments, firstly by not issuing any further water-use licences, unless accompanied by the provision of storage, from which contributions to the Reserve will need to be made. Full implementation of the Reserve will probably require compulsory licencing to reduce irrigation abstractions in these Key Areas.

#### Upper Thukela Key Area

Licences may be issued for the surplus which is available in the Spioenkop Dam. The relevant authorities need to be informed of this opportunity and priority given to poverty eradication projects. However, new allocations upstream of the Driel Barrage should be restricted to domestic use or high-value industrial use and should be limited as far as possible. New allocations for irrigation should rather be made from other areas in the WMA where water is readily available. Farm dams in general should be discouraged upstream of the Driel Barrage but may be constructed to supply water for the limited domestic and industrial allocations referred to above.

#### Bushmans Key Area

There is a large surplus in the Bushmans Key Area which could be allocated to emerging farmers, but this decision will need to be carefully analysed in the light of the new allocations to the Fairbreeze mine and the ecological Reserve of the lower Thukela, which will require support from either the Wagendrift or the Spioenkop Dam, or both.

#### Buffalo Key Area

The location of the surpluses in the Buffalo Key Area need to be verified before making large allocations. The existing lawful water use, especially those of irrigators along the main-stem of the Buffalo River, also needs to be verified.

The operation of the Skurwepoort diversion weir needs to be investigated to ascertain whether shortages downstream of this weir are as a result of over-abstraction.

In addition to the readily available yield of the Ntshingwayo Dam, there is potential to increase the yield of the Buffalo Key Area through the construction of new dams. New dams in the Buffalo Key Area would be considered by DWAF and is the preferred option for irrigators seeking large abstractions, except upstream of Ntshingwayo and Zaaihoek dams.

#### Mooi Key Area

The construction of the Spring Grove Dam on the the Mooi River has been approved by the Minister of DWAF and should commence soon. The yield of this dam will be transferred to the Mgeni System. The Mooi River Key Area is slightly in deficit and no new allocations should be made to irrigators in the upper reaches of the Key Area. There may be scope for additional allocations from run-of-river in the lower reaches of the Key Area, especially for summer irrigation, but this would need to be confirmed through more detailed analyses.

There are already a large number of farm dams in the Mooi River Key Area and additional farm dams should be discouraged.

#### Lower Thukela

A reconciliation of the water requirements and the available water resource of the Lower Thukela Key Area indicates a large deficit, but this reconciliation allows for the allocation to the Fairbreeze mine which is not yet being abstracted. This allocation will be supported by releases from the Spioenkop or Wagendrift dam, or both. By utilising all the surplus yield from the Wagendrift and Spioenkop dams, as much as 45 million m<sup>3</sup>/annum can be made available in the Lower Thukela for allocation.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
Initiate a study into the Sundays and Little Thukela Key Areas in order to gain	D: NWRP
a better understanding of these catchments. Then develop a strategy to deal	
with the apparent over-allocation in these catchment.	Priority: Medium
Monitor and update the water balance situation in the WMA and incorporate it	R Office
in the annual operating rules updates.	
	Priority: Medium
Liaise and co-operate with the provincial Department of Land Affairs and	R Office
Department of Agriculture to identify areas of water availability and suitable	
soils for resource-poor farmers and poverty eradication initiatives, where	Priority: High
water can be allocated without negative impacts on the existing lawful use.	

1.4 GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY AND USE		
Management objective	Determine the potential for groundwater development in providing water to the rural poor and to ensure that groundwater use is given the same prominence as surface water so as to remove the perception that it is a poor alternative to surface water resources. This will require a significant improvement in the knowledge of groundwater potential and its spatial distribution in the WMA.	
Situation	There are no major primary aquifers in the Thukela River WMA and groundwater is	
assessment	held in secondary aquifers.	
	The last detailed assessment of the groundwater for the WMA was carried out by DWAF in 1994 under the auspices of the Characterisation and Mapping of Groundwater in KwaZulu-Natal Study. This study included a comprehensive census of the then existing boreholes. There has been significant groundwater development during the interim period, particularly related to rural water supply schemes. In addition, the latest WSDP's and the WSA initiatives have resulted in substantially more groundwater information becoming available. This all points towards the need for the DWAF (1994) mapping study to be updated. The information on the geology, aquifers and lithology documented in this study is still valid. The study estimates that a total volume of 41 million m <sup>3</sup> /a could be made available through groundwater development, however, the spatial distribution of this potential is not evenly distributed throughout the WMA.	
	The quality of the groundwater in general is good and suitable for domestic use without treatment apart from disinfection. However, localised groundwater pollution problems have been reported in the vicinities of abandoned coal mines in the Buffalo and Sundays river catchments.	
	More than 90% of remote rural dwellers are reliant on groundwater in the form of springs and boreholes. Most groundwater schemes provide a rudimentary rural domestic service particularly to those communities in the Lower Buffalo and Lower Thukela catchments. Information on these schemes and the communities they service is poor or totally lacking. Furthermore, the distances from households to the boreholes, wells or springs tend to be large necessitating carrying of water in containers and resulting in very low levels of consumption.	
	The uThukela District Municipality, with support from DWAF, is implementing the Thukela Emergency Water Supply Scheme which has been ongoing for almost 2 years. This involves the drilling of boreholes and equipping them with either hand pumps or electric motors where yields are higher. Reticulation is also being supplied in certain areas where high-yielding boreholes can support the requirements.	
	Groundwater supplies to some schemes in the peri-urban areas like Blauwbosch (near Osizweni) are being replaced with surface water supplies. The reasons for this are that the groundwater resources are proving to be inadequate and the water quality is poor. This option may be practical in and around towns that were influenced by the apartheid era land tenure planning principles, but conjunctive use could be a more appropriate solution.	
	The widely dispersed settlements and steep terrain in most of the rural areas within the WMA has resulted in groundwater being the most cost-effective development option for water supply to the rural sector. However, the failure of many existing boreholes and groundwater schemes has resulted in the perception that groundwater is an unreliable source. These failures are often a result of the following :	
	Lack of monitoring;  Insufficient maintenance:	
	<ul> <li>The WWA has resulted in groundwater being the most cost-effective development option for water supply to the rural sector. However, the failure of many existing boreholes and groundwater schemes has resulted in the perception that groundwater is an unreliable source. These failures are often a result of the following :</li> <li>Lack of monitoring;</li> <li>Insufficient maintenance;</li> </ul>	

- Incorrectly sited boreholes;
- General lack of understanding of groundwater and its management;
- Limited number of groundwater specialists in the country; and
- Boreholes cannot be visually inspected.

Tools for detailed assessments are complicated; Given the generally widespread availability of groundwater, albeit in small quantities, this resource should be considered as a priority to supply small or dispersed users such as rural communities or resource-poor farmers. Surface water resources should be considered only if groundwater is proved to be inadequate or non-feasible. Careful consideration also needs to be given to the conjunctive use of both ground and surface water resources for slightly larger users such as small villages and towns.

**Strategy** The significant potential of groundwater resources in the WMA presents an opportunity to utilise it as a resource in areas where surface water resources are already under stress. After careful investigation, and recognising the interaction between surface and groundwater, it may be possible for groundwater to be developed for purposes such as irrigation in the Little Thukela catchment (for example), if the impacts are not negative.

Political perceptions of groundwater being a poor alternative resource to surface water have developed over time and are characterised by inappropriate management of groundwater schemes. It is of fundamental importance that these perceptions are reversed and that the value of groundwater be communicated to political role players. Furthermore, technical staff with the District Municipalities, as the mandated WSAs, need to be made aware of the potential of groundwater and its value. This should be accompanied by the general promotion of groundwater use in this WMA and others in KwaZulu-Natal endowed with abundant surface water resources.

The DWAF (1994) groundwater characterisation and mapping study needs to be updated. This initiative should focus on the acquisition of appropriate data regarding groundwater development over the past 10 years. Specific focus should be on registered groundwater use, groundwater utilisation plans in WSDPs and poverty eradication projects. A system of maintaining such a study as current is essential with developers and authorities being engaged to pass on additional groundwater information and data as it becomes available.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
The DWAF (1994) groundwater characterisation and mapping study to be updated to reflect the additional groundwater data and information that has	D: HI
become available over the last 10 years. Areas of high groundwater potential and rural settlements should be identified to highlight areas where groundwater use may be sustainable.	Priority: High
Actively encourage and promote the use of groundwater in rural water supply	RO
schemes in all forums and to all institutions.	
	Priority: High
Engage with groundwater specialists, WSAs and WSPs to obtain	RO
groundwater data and information on a continuous basis as schemes are	
developed and water supplies provided.	Priority: Medium
Ensure that all DWAF funded and/or implemented schemes have considered	RO
groundwater as a resource and that, if not recommended, then should be	
proven to be unsustainable.	Priority: High

### **2 WATER RESOURCES PROTECTION**

2.1 Reserve and resource quality objectives		
Management	The aim of this strategy is to determine the	
objective	Quality of water; and	
	Quantity and assurance of supply of water	
Situation assessment	which are needed to protect basic human needs and the structure and function of ecosystems so as to secure ecologically sustainable development and to ensure economic utilisation of the allocable resource. The Thukela River catchments were identified during the Vaal Augmentation Planning Study (DWAF, 1995) as a strategic water resource for augmentation of the water supply to the Vaal River Supply Area sometime in the future. A study was initiated in 1998 to determine the transferable yield from the Thukela through the Drakensberg Pumped Storage Scheme. The transferable yield was determined using the estimate of the ecological requirement done in 1996. The level of confidence for this ecological flow requirement was considered to be low to medium. Because of the magnitude of the potential development and the requirements of the NWA of 1998 a comprehensive Reserve determination was considered necessary. Furthermore there have been a number of licence applications received in the Thukela River catchment some such as	
	for the Fairbreeze mine, for large quantities of water.	
	The initial Recommended Reserve for the upper catchment of the Thukela River would impact on the transferable yield of the existing Thukela Vaal Transfer Scheme by approximately 250 million $m^3/a$ . A comprehensive Reserve study was initiated in 2001 and has recently been completed. Based on this comprehensive Reserve, the reduction in transferable yield of the existing transfer scheme will be only 35 million $m^3/a$ . The reduction in available yield in the whole WMA is estimated at 190 million $m^3/a$ .	
	The main issues regarding the Reserve and Resource Quality Objectives for the Thukela River are as follows:	
	The Reserve determinations of the Little Thukela, the Mooi and to a limited extent the Sundays River catchments indicate a significant reduction in assurance of supply to existing users, especially irrigators, in these catchments. Without additional regulation in these catchments, implementation of the Reserve will have a significant economic impact.	
	If the Reserve is not implemented in the above-mentioned catchments, the contributions of these tributaries to the ecological water requirements in the main stem will be reduced and the main stem will have to contribute more than its fair share to the Reserve.	
Strategy	The ecological Reserve is to be implemented incrementally, starting with those catchments which are not stressed. This will initially entail developing operating rules for the major dams in the catchment, namely, Spioenkop, Wagendrift, and Ntshingwayo dams. Once the water resources situation in the Sundays and Little Thukela Key Areas area better understood, steps towards implementing the Reserve in these catchments can be taken. This might necessitate Compulsory Licensing to correct the apparent over-allocation in these Key Areas.	

I.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
Develop operating rules for the major dams in the WMA to meet the ecological Reserve.	Regional Office
Design and implement a monitoring programme to ensure compliance with the ecological Reserve.	Priority: High

2.2 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT			
Management objective	Ensure a sound and reasonable balance between development impacts and the protection of the resource, both surface and groundwater and ensure that the water in the system remains fit for use.		
Situation assessment/ motivation	The approach to water resource protection in the NWA includes consideration of water quantity and water quality. Water quality management deals with point sources (such as discharges from sewage treatment works or industrial sites) and diffuse sources (such as settlements without a sewage system) of pollution by discharges of waste or water containing waste into water resources. In addition, because of their potential to impact on surface and groundwater resources the Department is, in terms of Section 20 of the Environmental Conservation Act and by agreement with the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, responsible for overseeing the management of sites where waste is disposed onto land.		
	Decisions about the nature and extent of resource pollution which can be permitted are guided by a hierarchical decision-making framework. This takes account of the balance between the need to protect water resources for sustainable-use, and the need to allow activities which support social and economic development to continue.		
	The highest priority in the decision-making framework is to prevent water pollution through waste prevention and reduction, recovery, treatment and final safe disposal. It is however acknowledged that in many cases the discharge of pollutants into water resources is unavoidable, and in these cases the emphasis is on minimising the pollution and its effect on the water resource. Where pollution has already caused degradation of the water resource, or where contaminated land areas pose a threat to water quality, remediation will be effected where it is necessary and practical.		
	Each application for authorisation to discharge waste into a water resource is preceded by an assessment of the probable impacts of the discharge on the water resources and other water users. For hazardous wastes, the aim is to prevent discharge wherever possible or, if it is not possible, to minimise the extent of the discharge and its impacts. For non-hazardous wastes, the receiving water quality objectives approach will continue to be used. The approach assumes that the water environment has a definite and quantifiable capacity to assimilate non-hazardous waste discharged into it without violating predetermined water quality objectives. Protection of the assimilative capacity, which will be different for each management class, must be equitably shared among all water users.		
	Wherever possible, best management practices, relating to the treatment and recovery of waste, will be incorporated into licence conditions – source-directed controls – to prevent the water resource becoming polluted.		
	Whilst the overall intention is to prevent further degradation of the quality of the country's water resource, and to effect improvements, where possible, limited and short-term degradation of the water quality of a specific water resource could be allowed if it can be demonstrated with confidence that the degradation will not be irreversible, and that pollution costs are not externalised to other users of the water resource.		
	There are a number of water quality problems in the Thukela WMA. The point-source pollution problems are well understood by the Regional Office while the non-point pollution sources are less well understood. The issues in the WMA include the following:		

Poor veld management and overgrazing, especially in the upper Thukela; Groundwater pollution in the Colenso and Ladysmith area; • Sporadic non-compliance of effluent discharge do occur in Estcourt, Newcastle • and Mandini; Domestic discharge into Wakkerstroom vlei; • The discharge of effluent from the Sappi paper mill at Mandini has previously necessitated releases from Spioenkop Dam to dilute this effluent; Releases from Ntshingwayo Dam have also been made to dilute industrial spills in • the Newcastle area; High COD and suspended solids in the Lower Thukela; • The mining and industrial activities in the upper Buffalo catchment have resulted • in degradation of the water resource from a quality perspective. However, mines in the Buffalo catchment are aiming for zero effluent discharge to remedy the situation; Closed mine decants in the Sundays and Buffalo catchments; The Regional Office is running the mine rehabilitation programme, which has • been successful. Resources are the limiting constraints in terms of expediting the programme to ensure that all closed mines are rehabilitated; Dense settlements with poor sanitation especially in the upper Buffalo catchment • resulting in high e. Coli counts; Significant irrigation return flows in the upper Buffalo catchment; • • New developments for the leisure industry in the Little Thukela catchment, and Diffuse impacts in the Mooi River. • The Regional Office is under-resourced and therefore tend to be effective only in pollution control as opposed to water quality management. The point pollution sources are well understood and well handled although the local authorities do not always comply. However, they know what is expected of them and the standards that must be achieved. The shortage of financial resources required to operate, upgrade and maintain infrastructure is the major cause of non-compliance. A sound and reasonable balance between development impacts and the protection of the resource, both surface and groundwater, needs to be maintained. Co-operation between DWAF's Head Office, their Regional Office, provincial organs Strategy of state, the Thukela Water Partnership and local authorities regarding water guality monitoring and management needs to be improved.

Co-operative Governance is required between the Regional Office and Local Authorities and Local Authorities must accept responsibility for the quality of effluent arising from state-owned infrastructure in their jurisdiction. A financial assistance programme for local authorities is required for the operation, maintenance and upgrade of waste-water works.Diffuse pollution sources, from agricultural land and dense settlements, require assessment to quantify their impacts especially in the upper Thukela, Buffalo and Mooi River areas.

The closed mine rehabilitation programme should continue and required resources made available to ensure that potential water quality problems are contained.

Strategy continued

The Regional Office is doing well in managing point source pollution in the WMA and their efforts should be maintained and improved. However, the shortage of human resources in the Regional Office may discredit these efforts if this shortage is not urgently addressed.

The water quality situation in the WMA should be continuously monitored and necessary measures implemented to reduce negative impacts. Causes of problems should be understood for the effective design of solutions. The solutions may include poverty eradication measures, land-care activities to reduce sedimentation and improved sanitation.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
The water quality situation in the WMA should be continuously monitored and necessary measures implemented to reduce negative impacts. Causes of	D: WDD & RO
solutions may include poverty eradication measures, land-care activities to reduce sedimentation and improved sanitation.	Priorty: High
Diffuse pollution sources, from agricultural land and dense settlements, require assessment to quantify their impacts especially in the upper Thukela, Buffalo and Mooi River areas.	
The closed mine rehabilitation programme should continue and required resources made available to ensure that potential water quality problems are contained.	
The Regional Office is doing well in managing point source pollution in the WMA and their efforts should be maintained and improved. However, the shortage of human resources in the Regional Office may discredit these efforts if this shortage is not urgently addressed.	
Co-operation between DWAF's Head Office, their Regional Office, provincial organs of state, the Thukela Water Partnership and local authorities regarding water quality monitoring and management needs to be improved.	
Co-operative Governance is required between the Regional Office and Local Authorities and Local Authorities must accept responsibility for the quality of effluent arising from state-owned infrastructure in their jurisdiction. A financial assistance programme for local authorities is required for the operation, maintenance and upgrade of waste-water works.	
Set up formal liaison meetings with provincial organs of state and the Thukela Water Partnership to agree on the roles and responsibilities for water quality monitoring and intervention.	

Review water quality monitoring in the WMA and ensure that it is sufficient to manage impacts of diffuse agricultural activities and dense settlements, and incorporate the findings into the Monitoring Strategy.	
Progressively work towards customising the general authorisations framework for catchment specific water quality related authorisations.	
Develop an integrated water quality management plan for the catchment.	
Sappi (Mandini) should be encouraged to develop a long-term waste management strategy and plan. They should be monitored accordingly and held accountable for variations.	
The diffuse pollution sources, processes and impacts due to intensive agriculture and land degradation in the Mooi River, Little Thukela and Upper Thukela should be understood and monitored.	
Appropriate role players should be identified and encouraged to develop a catchment management plan for the Drakensberg foothills to manage land degradation.	
Role players in the Sundays River catchment should be engaged to develop a sanitation and solid waste management plan to deal with the human pollution problems in the more densely populated rural areas.	
Role players in the Sundays and Buffalo River catchments should be engaged to develop a management plan to deal with the mine pollution problems, particularly at closure and during rehabilitation.	

### **3 WATER CONSERVATION AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT**

3.1 WATER CONSERVATION AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT		
Management objective	Ensure effective and efficient utilisation of the resource by the various water use sectors. This will help delay the need for compulsory licensing in the WMA and development of storage infrastructure.	
Situation assessment/ motivation	The principles of Water Conservation and Demand Management (WC&DM) are enshrined in the National Water Act. DWAF has developed a national water conservation strategy and sectoral strategies.	
	In the Thukela WMA, irrigation is by far the largest water user. Based on the findings of a WC&DM study undertaken in the Mhlatuze catchment, large potential water savings can be achieved in the irrigation sector. Although the capital costs required to achieve this are significant, the cost per cubic metre of water saved would be the lowest. Installation of efficient irrigation systems and improved scheduling would save water. The areas of high rainfall in the upper Thukela and those close to the coast would benefit the most from improved scheduling. An investigation in the Thukela WMA may be required to confirm or determine the potential savings from the irrigation sector.	
	The Thukela WMA is infested with invasive alien plants in the upper Thukela, Bushmans, Mooi, upper Buffalo and Sundays River catchments. The removal of the alien plants should be one of the WC&DM options.	
	The WMA does not have large towns and cities and is not heavily industrialised. However, the towns of Ladysmith, Newcastle, mines and Mandini /Isithebe industries could achieve savings if they implement WC&DM measures.	
	The stressed catchments such as the Little Thukela and Sundays River can benefit from the implementation of WCDM. However, WC&DM can only be successful if water users co-operate. Benefits of WCDM initiatives should be communicated and sold to the users through local institutions serving the users.	
	The stressed catchments in the Thukela WMA should apply WC&DM to alleviate this stress. DWAF should encourage WC&DM in stressed catchments as a priority. The focus should mainly be on irrigation in those parts of the Thukela WMA where deficits are reported.	
	Engage and monitor water users to ensure that they utilise the existing available water resources in a more effective and efficient manner.	
	Improve on current knowledge of the extent and impact of invasive alien plants.	
Strategic Approach	Effluent reuse is a possible WC&DM measure in the Thukela WMA. The reuse of effluent should be supported if the initiative comes from the water users, but it is not necessary to actively pursue this option. This strategy will probably change once the catchments experience more water stress.	
	Strategies of what to do with saved water and how to reward users who practice WC&DM should be developed on an area by area basis, taking into cognisance the water balance of the area.	
	The largest use of water derived within the WMA is transfers out of the WMA. Future water requirements should not be taken out of the WMA if WC&DM is not implemented in the receiving catchments. Steps should be taken to limit transmission losses of transfers.	

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
The effect of WC&DM on projected water requirements and return flows must be undertaken with specific focus on the domestic, industrial and mining	D: WU
sectors.	Priority: Medium
A database and/or computer model will be required to monitor and update demand projections and to assess the success of WCDM initiatives.	D: NWRP
Existing water uses should be monitored to ensure that wastage is minimised.	RO
New licences should only be issued on receipt of an acceptable plan indicating a reasonable level of water use efficiency.	
Given the various interests in the Thukela Water resources, the roles and responsibilities of implementing and regulating WCDM need to be established.	D:WU
Ensure that the transfers from the Thukela are not increased before WC&DM has been implemented in the areas using water from the WMA.	
Undertake the necessary planning required to assess the impact of invasive alien invasive plants (and their removal) in the Upper Thukela, Bushmans, Mooi, upper Buffalo and Sundays River (Little Thukela and Upper Thukela to receive priority).	

### 4 WATERWORKS DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT

4.1 INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND SYSTEM OPERATION		
Management objective	Ensure provision of adequate infrastructure to support sustainable growth and development within the WMA and the requirement for transfer out of the WMA and to optimise system operating rules to ensure that an equitable balance in the quantity and quality of water supplied is achieved and inefficiencies are minimised.	
Situation assessment/ motivation	The infrastructure in the WMA is described below per sub-area. The reader is also referred to the Thukela River operating rules report produced for the Thukela Reserve Determination Study (DWAF, 2002d).	
	The Thukela-Vaal transfer scheme consists of Woodstock Dam, Driel Barrage, Jagersrust Balancing Dam and Kilburn Dam.	
	Woodstock Dam is located 7 km upstream of the Driel Barrage. It is used to regulate storage for the Driel Barrage from where water is transferred to the Vaal River catchment via Eskom's Drakensberg Pumped Storage Scheme. Woodstock Dam has two sluice gates, each with a maximum release capacity of 200 m <sup>3</sup> /s. The net storage capacity of the dam is 373 million m <sup>3</sup> .	
	In order to transfer water to the Vaal River Catchment, water is pumped from the Driel Barrage to the start of a trapezoidal canal, from where it gravitates to the Jagersrust Balancing Dam before it is pumped to Kilburn Dam and from there over the escarpment to Sterkfontein Dam. The trapezoidal canal has an approximate maximum capacity of 20 m <sup>3</sup> /s. The entire canal has recently been refurbished and is in a very good condition. The net storage capacity of Driel Barrage is 8.7 million m <sup>3</sup> . Currently only 6 m <sup>3</sup> /s is being transferred from Driel Barrage to Jagersrust Balancing Dam. This reduced transfer is due to the implementation of the Lesotho Highlands Project and the reduced demand projections in the Vaal River Catchment. However, the full transfer capacity can be utilised at any time if the need arises.	
	Kilburn Dam is the lower reservoir in the Eskom pumped storage scheme. It has an active storage capacity of 27 million m <sup>3</sup> .	
	Spioenkop Dam, built in 1973, is currently under-utilised and has a capacity of 280 million m <sup>3</sup> . The dam was built to regulate flow downstream of the Driel Barrage. The dam also supplies water to Ladysmith and supports water requirements for downstream farmers mainly between the dam and Winterton. Occasionally releases are made from Spioenkop Dam to dilute poor quality effluent release by SAPPI (at Mandini) into the lower Thukela River. However, SAPPI do not have an allocation from the Spioenkop Dam. There are also direct abstractions from the dam for irrigation. A licence for further transfers out of the lower Thukela (in addition to the transfer to the Mhlathuze WMA) has been issued and this will require intermittent support from Spioenkop Dam.	
	The proposed Jana Dam, which is part of the Thukela Water Project, is on the Thukela River. Water will be pumped from Jana Dam to Kilburn Dam for transfer to the Vaal catchment via Eskom's existing pumped-storage scheme. It is important that the optimal long-term benefits be derived from the development of the Thukela River, and that both the national and local interests be appropriately addressed.	

	The Wagendrift Dam on the Bushmans River was built in 1963 to supply irrigation of approximately 3 000 ha situated between the dam and the Thukela River. The dam has a full supply capacity of 56 million m <sup>3</sup> . The dam releases 2 m <sup>3</sup> /s for environmental and irrigation requirements if the dam is not spilling. The Weenen Water User Association oversees the irrigation schemes in the area and as a result makes requests for more water at times.
	dam is on the Mnyamvubu River, a tributary of the Mooi River, 30 km west of Greytown. The dam regulates water supplies for the irrigation of approximately 2 000 ha, dominated by citrus farming along the Mooi River. The dam releases 0.3 m <sup>3</sup> /s for downstream irrigation requirements. Additional water is released for farmers on the Mooi River on request. The dam can release a maximum of 4 m <sup>3</sup> /s.
	Ntshingwayo Dam (previously known as Chelmsford) was completed in 1961 and raised in 1982. It has a capacity of 199 million m <sup>3</sup> . The dam was built to provide an assured supply of water to the town of Newcastle, Eskom's thermal power station and irrigation farmers downstream. Releases are sometimes made to dilute factory spillages that end up in the river system.
	Zaaihoek Dam was built in 1988 with a capacity of 193 million m <sup>3</sup> . The dam is situated on the Slang River, a tributary of the Buffalo River, and is used to transfer water to the eastern Vaal system in general and specifically to the Majuba Power Station. Zaaihoek Dam also supplies limited demands downstream of the dam by releasing water into the Slang River.
Strategy	Development of water resource infrastructure for in-basin requirements is likely to comprise the construction of farm dams especially in stressed catchments, as discussed in the allocation strategy.
	The WRYM has been configured for the Thukela system to provide support for infrastructure planning and the Reserve determination. The model could also be used for ongoing operational purposes.
	The efficiencies of the transfers made to outside the WMA and releases made from in-basin dams for downstream use are not well understood.
	The site of the proposed Mielietuin Dam, which is part of the Thukela Water Project, is situated on the Bushmans River near Estcourt. The proposal is to pump water into the existing Drakensberg Transfer Scheme infrastructure in the upper Thukela for transfer into the Vaal catchment.
	A water transfer scheme exists on the Mooi River at Mearns which can transfer up to $3.2 \text{ m}^3$ /s to the Mgeni River System. Recently a large weir was constructed at Mearns to provide balancing storage. A new dam, referred to as the Spring Grove Dam, is proposed on the Mooi River upstream of the Mearns weir. This dam, together with increased transfer capacity, will increase the transfer of water into the Mgeni River by up to 4.5 m <sup>3</sup> /s. Latest estimates indicate that the Spring Grove Dam is required by 2007. Construction of this dam is therefore imminent.
	Optimise system operating rules to ensure that an equitable balance in the quantity and quality of water supplied is achieved, inefficiencies are minimised, drought operating rules are determined and that agreed assurances of supply are adhered to. The developed system should also be used to determine impacts and yield of proposed farm dams which will assist with licence applications.

Transfers out of the WMA are managed by DWAF Head Office. There is a need to ensure that future plans for transferring water to the Vaal catchment are well communicated to the KZN provincial government in particular and the rest of the stakeholders to avoid unnecessary negative perceptions. There will also be a need to understand the system and transfer efficiencies when communicating the proposed plans.

The development of major water resources infrastructure in the Thukela has been reserved for transfers into the Vaal catchment. The proposed major water resources infrastructure will and should accommodate the projected needs of the KZN stakeholders, including the Reserve. The need and timing of the development should consider these needs of the WMA.

	Management actions	Responsibility and priority
The efficiencies	of the releases for downstream use and transfers out	D: NWRP
of the WMA n	eed to be assessed and measures put in place to	
minimise losses	and improve efficiencies.	Priority: High
Undertake syste	ems analyses periodically to update the infrastructure	D: OA
development pro	ogramme.	
		Priority: Medium
Provide regular	updates of the proposed development plans to the	Regional Office
KZN provincial	overnment and Thukela WMA stakeholders	
		Priority: Medium

### **5 MONITORING & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT**

5.1 Monitoring networks		
Management objective	To design and implement an effective monitoring network (quality and quantity) and information management systems to ensure effective and efficient management of the water resources	
	South Africa no longer has water to spare and many catchments are now stressed, with more water allocated to users than is reliably available. There is intense and growing competition for water. The true cost of supply and value of water as a resource is now being recognized, and users are starting to pay more realistic, rather than nominal charges. There are considerable inequities in the way water has been allocated in the past both in terms of people and the environment, requiring that some reallocation will have to take place.	
	In order to licence, allocate and manage this increasingly scarce and increasingly valuable resource requires reliable data on volumes available and volumes used. This demands accurate monitoring of rainfall, streamflow and water use. The rain gauging and streamflow monitoring networks in most catchments are inadequate for the accurate estimation of resource availability and use. This strategy is required to ensure that systems are put in place at National, Water Management Area, and catchment level to allow for the effective and efficient management of the resource.	
	Monitoring is an activity usually undertaken by the institution most requiring the information. With different institutions requiring a lot of varied information, a lot of inefficiency and duplication of effort results. This also results in a wide range of data gathered, different standards, and often inaccessibility to other interested parties. Information needs to be correctly processed, brought to a widely acceptable standard, and stored within databases which provide security to the owner but which can also be shared both in terms of input and access.	
	The importance of accurate information for management has been stressed in the National Water Resource Strategy. It is apparent from the NWRS that both National and Departmental monitoring systems are spatially inadequate and often operate largely in isolation of each other. Whilst the Department is actively working to structure its systems into a single 'Monitoring, Assessment and Information System' (MAIS) it is clear that <b>this</b> strategy will need to address networks and funding, staff capacity, and co-operative relationships with other organizations. This strategy will also need to ensure that all activities are compatible with the national information system.	
	Monitoring the water resource	
	Monitoring, for the purposes of this strategy, applies to all aspects of the water resource, particularly:	
	Hydrology - rainfall, climate, and streamflow	
	Geohydrology – groundwater	
	Inflows and outflows (transfers)	
	Abstraction (water users and dam uses, dam levels, operational releases, losses etc)	

Situation	Sedimentation.	
motivation	Supporting information includes:	
	• Small farm dams (numbers, capacity, use) – this will also require monitoring	
	Water Quality (surface water, groundwater)	
	Waste water outflows	
	River Health (function and impact of the ecological Reserve)	
	<ul> <li>Land use change (agricultural cropping, forestry, alien invasives) – data available from other sources, but this needs monitoring</li> </ul>	
	Return flows.	
	The situation in the WMA is captured in the following points, which also highlights the gaps.	
	There is no groundwater monitoring in the WMA. Groundwater monitoring is very expensive and resources are limited. There is a great potential for groundwater use in the Thukela WMA for rural water supply and accurate information is required. Groundwater could also be used in the stressed catchments of the Little Thukela and Sundays River to alleviate stress.	
	Streamflow monitoring is undertaken on the major rivers of the WMA. However, the accuracy and reliability of the gauges is often not adequate.	
	Water levels in all of DWAF's dams are monitored as are the releases from the dams.	
	There is no biomonitoring or river health monitoring programme in the WMA.	
	Rainfall gauging is undertaken throughout the WMA and is considered to be mostly adequate. The ease of acquisition of rainfall data is reasonable from all monitoring institutions.	
	Local Municipalities monitor the quality and quantity of effluent discharges from their sewage treatment plants, with DWAF responsible for compliance monitoring.	
1.	The pollution potential of abandoned mines, which are not yet on the rehabilitation programme are not monitored.	
	There is a lack of understanding of the impacts of diffuse pollution sources from the agricultural sector in the WMA. Problems often occur downstream of these areas and the exact source cannot be pinpointed. This is the case in the upper Mooi River.	
	Monitoring of the estuary and wetlands is insufficient.	
	There are a large number of farm dams in the WMA, especially in the Mooi River catchment. The capacities of many of the farm dams, especially the smaller ones, have never been determined.	

The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry needs a strategy to:

- Improve monitoring networks so that the resource can be accurately quantified for allocations and management accounting purposes
- Improve on efficiencies in the gathering of information, particularly through institutional co-operation in data capture and management
- Set and maintain standards for the capture, processing and management of information
- Ensure that data is accessible to stakeholders without compromising data security.

Key elements of the strategy:

- To motivate **nationally** regarding the importance of monitoring and the essential need for better networks at national, WMA, and catchment level. The strategy is to ensure that those institutions responsible for the allocation of funding fully understand that to allocate, manage and sell the water resource means that local managers need to know **what and how much** they have to allocate, manage and sell.
- Co-ordination and co-operation across agencies at a **regional** level.
- Organisational co-operation and efficiency. As an organisation the Department can only operate at optimum efficiency through close co-operation and sharing of relevant data capture and information management with its partners.

#### Strategy

- Assessment of information requirements (surface water, groundwater etc) at the scale of decisions (WMA and at catchment scale).
- Meetings and negotiations with co-operating partners. Assess what information has been gathered, how it is processed and stored. Develop a plan for the sharing of mutually useful information.
- Together with co-operating partners develop a set of principles which outline the basis for monitoring and information capture. Typically these could cover: accuracy, completeness, time scales and time frames, information sharing.
- Prepare a set of standards for data capture and the processing of information.
- Design a monitoring system to meet needs. This design should offer phased implementation, based on priorities. Priorities should be broken down to critical monitoring points within specific fields of concern, so that the most urgent areas can be attended to first. Apply the cost: benefit principle.
- Motivate and seek funding to meet requirements.
- Develop and train staff.
- At regional level the implementation of this monitoring strategy will be tasked to a small team drawn from across the traditional hydrological disciplines in the region.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
Initiate assessment to establish the detailed status quo of water resource	Regional Office
monitoring in the WMA, design and implement a monitoring system to meet	
the needs of the WMA and national requirements.	Priority: High
Develop a collaborative and co-operative relationship between DWAF and	D: NWRP, Regional
other organizations, as well as individuals that have monitoring networks, and	Office
develop a consolidated monitoring and information management strategy.	
	Priority: Medium
Secure funding and prioritize implementation.	D: NWRP
	Priority: High

### 6 INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT & SUPPORT

6.1 INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT		
Management objective	To form a CMA for the Thukela WMA in line with the requirements of the NWA and NWRS, and to provide a dynamic institution to effectively and efficiently deal with	
Situation assessment/	CMAs are statutory bodies with jurisdiction in a defined WMA whose functions and responsibilities include:	
motivation	<ol> <li>- development of a CMS, which may not be in conflict with the NWRS while giving effect to its provisions and requirements</li> </ol>	
	<ul> <li>management of water resources, and co-ordination of water related activities of water users and other water management institutions within WMAs.</li> </ul>	
4.	The institutional environment is complex. Not only is there a large range of institutions involved but there also continues to be very significant changes in the institutional landscape. Nowhere is this more evident than at local government level. A major demarcation process has just been completed which has resulted in significant changes in boundaries and in the establishment of a number of completely new institutions. This will create significant challenges but also opportunities. Although many of the institutions are not without their problems, the number and range could also be a positive factor. In this regard, the existing Water User Associations (Irrigation Boards) and Catchment Management Fora can also play a role.	
	The presence of suitable institutions is extremely important as it relates directly to the capacity within the area to address catchment management in a meaningful way. The proposed CMA will inevitably need this capacity to ensure that it can execute the challenges and activities that it will be facing. In addition, the nature of the CMA model relies implicitly on a co-operative and collaborative approach so the capacity of the various potential partner institutions is of considerable interest. The institutions in the WMA lack capacity in water resources management aspects, and others are relatively too new to deal with integrated water resource management issues. It is also of note that there does appear to be a broad consensus around the philosophy of catchment management, even though there is some concern with respect to the precise role and functions of the new institution.	
	The final draft of the proposal for the Thukela Catchment Management Agency (CMA) has been submitted to DWAF and is under review. The salient recommendations in the proposal are :	
	An annual operating budget of some R 30 million will be required (current Rand terms);	
	The main income sources would be the DWAF under-development subsidy (R 18 million), transfers out (R 5.5 million), the DWAF trading account (R 3.5 million), the irrigation sector (R 0.3 million increasing to R 2.2 million), urban and industrial sector (R1 million increasing to R 2 million) and seed funding from DWAF (R 5 million reducing to zero);	
	A staff compliment that is expected to grow from about 40 to over 100 (it is likely that a core staff complement will be retained and much work will be outsourced); and	

	The programme for implementation is that approval is expected later in 2003, initial establishment will be completed by 2005 and the CMA will be fully resourced by 2013. The water resources management issues and strategies for the Thukela WMA will change and evolve over time. The support to the WMA stakeholders will best be served by a CMA. The reconciliation of water requirements, sources for projected water requirements for towns and villages, resource-poor farmers and other issues, which may not be critical at the moment, will be dealt with by or through the representative CMA.		
Strategy	Institutional support measures need to be considered for the CMA. The Regional Office, which is the current <i>de facto</i> CMA needs to prepare for gradual hand-over of responsibility to the CMA.		
	Provision of current and projected water requirements to major urban and industrial areas within the Thukela WMA is not a constraint due to available resources and current infrastructure. The CMA will have to strive to maintain this balance.		
	The Regional Office has to facilitate the formation of Water ensure that they operate within the requirements of the Nation importance is that the WUAs are representative of their users.	User Associations and al Water Act. Of critical	
Management actions		Responsibility and priority	
Proceed with the formation of the Thukela WMA		R Office	
		Priority: High	
Develop gradual delegation of authorities to the CMA		R Office	
		Priority: High	
Promote appropriate racial, gender and community representation on advisory committees. Forums, Water User Association and governing Board		R Office	
of the CMA		Priority: High	

## 7 INTEGRATION AND CO-OPERATIVE GOVERNANCE

7.1 Integration and co-operative governance			
Management	Contribute to poverty eradication and redressing of water allocation inequities in the		
objective	Thukela WMA. Poverty eradication and inequity redress have been identified by the		
	South African government as a priority and it has urged all organs of state to address		
Situation	This strategy deals mainly with the creation of the environment for implementation of		
Situation	noverty and inequity redress initiatives. Actions and area-specific measures for		
assessment	poverty alleviation are dealt with under the allocation strategy		
mouvation			
	The Thukela WMA is one of the most impoverished in South Africa, with all Districts' average income being below the national average. "In terms of a composite poverty index, the Msinga District is the most impoverished in the whole country" (CMA proposal document for the Thukela WMA). There are also significant disparities within the WMA in terms of income, education and access to services, as is common in many parts of South Africa. Most of the population is located in rural areas. The most impoverished areas are generally situated in rural parts of the WMA but certain peri-urban areas also have significant problems. The National Water Act dictates that addressing past inequities must be a primary consideration of the CMAs. In addition, it is relevant to note that the areas in question are often the most vulnerable with respect to water quality problems, the most susceptible to flooding, the least well endowed with safe sanitation (resulting in severe faecal pollution) and the most susceptible to poor agricultural practices. All of these facts emphasize the importance of these communities being represented on appropriate structures and also being the focus of significant upliftment and capacity building efforts.		
	Local and regional authorities are very active in trying to stimulate the local economy. Significant advances have been made in supplying infrastructure in recent years, which indicates that increased water requirements for basic human needs will not have a significant impact on the resource.		
	Agro-processing has received attention but initiatives remain elusive. Small, Medium and Micro Enterprise development has also been promoted with very little success. The basic problem seems to hinge around the skills and knowledge base required to get things moving.		
	With this in view, integrated development plans in the Thukela catchment focus mainly on the eradication of poverty, HIV/AIDS and skills development.		
	Water use authorisations and licences issued to date in the Thukela WMA for in- basin requirements have not been to the previously disadvantaged individuals and communities. The policy for water allocation in the WMA is hence to allocate or reserve available water to redress inequities as a priority, following the Reserve requirements.		

	The Department is co-operating with other departments to ensure that the management of water resources can contribute to the Integrated Rural Development Programme and the Urban Renewal Strategy, with particular emphasis on interventions to eradicate poverty. One such co-operation has been the drafting of the National guidelines for integrated management of agricultural water use by the Department of Agriculture. The thrust of the guidelines is captured in the following quote by the <b>Minister of Agriculture and Land Affairs, Ms Thoko Didiza:</b>			
	marginalised agricultural water users, we believe that the "Fruits of our Water" will be strong, well-nourished children, confident and profitable farmers, and significant growth in our rural economies. This growth will be supported through reliable and affordable agricultural service provision by SMMEs and strong links with national and international markets."			
	The guidelines purport a two-fold, stepped objective for the revitalisation of the agricultural water-use sector:			
	- improved food security through own production (' <i>food first</i> '), and;			
	<ul> <li>mainstreaming historically disadvantaged farmers in the local, national and international economy through active support for business and market development.</li> </ul>			
	DWAF is further exploring its role in building capacity for productive water use through the DFID funded water and Forestry Support Programme.			
	The key strategy relating to co-operative governance is to develop streamlined mechanisms for co-ordination of effort. These mechanisms must contribute to the shift towards local government (District Councils) as the point of delivery. Co-ordination is to be focused on the following:			
	1. Financial support mechanisms at interdepartmental, departmental, community, project and production levels;			
Strategy	2. Institutions and representation at all levels, encompassing both governmental and civil society stakeholders; and staffing, capacity building and training.			
	A fresh view of the socio-economic factors affecting the water use by the rural poor is needed. The Department has initiated a cultural assessment to look at this issue in the country and the findings could be tested in the Thukela WMA due to its water availability and potential to stimulate the economic development in the WMA rural communities. The identification of communal land alone without assessing socio-economic drivers will not help stimulate productive water use. However, it should direct the stakeholders to areas of potential beneficiaries.			
	The information on the availability of water in the WMA should be proactively provided to other government Departments and agencies involved in servicing the needs of the WMA. This could be achieved through the use of the Co-ordinating Committees for Agricultural Water Use (CCAW), WUAs, provincial water liaison committee, IDPs and WSDP processes.			
	Funding requirements for poverty initiatives and inequity redress should be integrated. A wide range of support initiatives exist within the various line departments which enable funding to be released for various purposes that are broadly developmental and targeted at resource-poor agriculture. These include:			

poverty and inequity redress initiatives.

Infrastructure and agricultural input support of R200 to R3 000 per household administered through the Special Programme for Food Security, administered by the Department of Agriculture: A sliding-scale farm establishment subsidy offering between R20 000 and • R100 000 as the beneficiary's own contribution increases, as a start-up grant for farmers provided with land under the land restitution for agricultural development (LRAD) programme, or farmers wishing to directly purchase land. This programme is administered by DLA; Capital subsidies for bulk water supply development by Water User Associations of up to R10 000 per hectare to a maximum of R50 000 per farmer, administered by DWAF; Individual irrigation equipment subsidies under the Irrigation Improvement Scheme of up to R7 500, administered by the PDAs: Support for the development of Community Production Centers (CPCs) under the Community Based Public Works Programme, administered by PWD; and Support (both training and finance) for small, medium and micro enterprise development from the Department of Trade and Industry. The Department should consider advertising, through appropriate means, where areas with excess water are situated and call for resource poor farmers, previously disadvantaged individuals, institutions involved in poverty eradication initiatives to apply for water allocations. **Responsibility and** Management actions priority Co-operate with other departments involved in poverty alleviation and inequity R Office redress, and proactively provide them with water availability information for different areas to influence development in the appropriate areas. Priority: High Develop capacity building programmes for individuals, communities and D: WU WUAs for productive use of water. Priority: High

Proactively advertise areas and quantities of water available suitable for R Office

Priority: High

### 8 IMPLEMENTATION

8.1 Implementation			
Management objective	To ensure that the approaches put forward by the Department through this ISP are adopted and implemented in the Thukela WMA. This will require willpower, funding and capacity.		
Situation Assessment	The ISP is an internal document developed by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. The ISP sets out the approaches which the Department is taking towards water management in the Thukela WMA – and lists suggested actions towards achieving good management of the water resources.		
	The wider public has had no direct input into the writing of this ISP – yet it is recognised that the approaches suggested have a significant impact on the people of the Thukela WMA. Whilst the approach to date in developing this ISP may seem non-participatory, it must be remembered that this is not a Catchment Management Strategy – but DWAF setting out how it sees the situation, and the steps which it views as most appropriate in dealing with that situation. Interactions with the public have been an important influence in developing the approaches adopted.		
	This ISP is not a closed document but is to be made available to the wider public for comment and input. This makes the ISP an inherently transparent document – opening out the thinking and planning of the Department. Although DWAF makes no commitment to adopt every comment made, these will be taken seriously and the ISP will be updated and improved as newer and better perspectives are formed. Once the CMA has been established it will be required to develop a CMS, and this will require full public participation. It is to be hoped that the ISP will be taken as useful baseline information and, indeed, that the approaches adopted here are found to be acceptable to, and adaptable by, the new dispensation.		
Strategy	ISPs for each WMA are guided by the NWRS – and decisions affecting national resource distribution and use, as presented in the NWRS, are binding on each ISP. This ISP does, however, make a number of corrections and improvements which serve as knowledge updates to the NWRS, particularly as regards catchment water balances and the availability of water for purposes of allocation. The ISP is signed off by the Manager: NWRP and approved by the Department's Water Resources Functional Management Committee. It is also published on the Departmental website. It therefore has the status of an official document containing current best available knowledge with regard to water resource use and availability.		
	The ISP should be updated as and when new information becomes available and will serve as the primary source document for decision-making, within the framework provided by the NWRS.		
	The implementation of the ISP is an enormous task and will have to be tackled in a stepwise fashion. Much of what is in this document describes the day-to-day functions of the Department – but there are many new tasks, functions, and actions set out in response to DWAF's visions for the future.		

Strategy	It is recognised that it is quite impossible to immediately launch into, and achieve, all that is required by this ISP. Funds and capacity are real constraints. The approach is to take the ISP and to use it as instruction, guidance, and motivation in the development of yet clearer management and action plans. These must be built into Departmental Business Plans, and budgeted for as part of Departmental operating costs. This will necessarily be in a phased manner as dictated by available resources, but it is important that the ISP be used to leverage maximum funds, maximum capacity, and to bring optimum management to the WMA.
	The position with regard to the 'Authority of Information Contained in the ISP' is further set out in Para. 1.3.4 of Chapter 1 of this ISP document.

Management actions	Responsibility and priority
Publish the ISP to be accessible for public input and comment (consider hard-copy and web-based options). Copies will be presented to key stakeholders on request. It is not the intention to have a major drive for public input, but merely to create opportunity for input.	Regional Office.
Develop material which help to take the ISP to Provincial, District and Local Government authorities. Also to support the Water Services Development Plan, organised agriculture, emerging farmers, and others. Material should be useful in preparation of the Provincial Growth and Development Strategy and other regional and provincial planning activities.	to be ongoing until the Thukela CMA is established and the ISP is superceded by a CMS)
There are many actions in the ISP which do require public involvement – and it is important that the thinking with regard to, for example, the use of groundwater, and the importance of WC&DM, is delivered forcefully to local authorities, other direct water users such as agriculture, and the wider public.	Priority: Very High
Collate and consider all comment in revising and improving the ISP.	
The ISP should be open to continuous improvement, with updating on a regular basis.	
All Regional Office water resource management staff, Working for Water, local and district Municipalities, and other major stakeholders should have access to, or copies of, the ISP.	
Approaches set out in the ISP need to be accepted and adopted by both national and regional staff. Where there is resistance to ideas then this needs to be resolved in an open climate of debate and understanding. Modification of the ISP is not ruled out.	
The practicalities of implementation demands must always be considered.	
Most actions in this ISP have been assigned to the Region. It is critically important that the tasks outlined are prioritised, budgeted for, and built into regional and national business plans and budgets.	

FIGURES


















## ANNEXURES

# ANNEXURE A: SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS WATER RESOURCE PLANNING STUDIES

ANNEXURE B: REGISTERED DAMS IN THE THUKELA WMA

## ANNEXURE A : SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS WATER RESOURCE PLANNING STUDIES

LIST OF STUDIES	1
OVERVIEW OF STUDIES UNDERTAKEN	4
2.1 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME - RECONNAISSANCE PHASE	4
2.1.1 Base conditions	4
2.1.2 Water Requirements	5
2.1.3 Water Resources	5
2.1.4 Water Quality	5
2.1.5 Environmental Aspects	8
2.1.6 Development Options at Reconnaissance Level	8
2.1.7 Economic Analysis at Reconnaissance Level	8
2.2 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME : PRE-FEASIBILITY PHASE.	8
2.3 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME : INTERIM PHASE	9
2.4 Thukela Water Project: Feasibility Phase	9
2.5 Other Relevant Water Resource Related Planning Studies	9

## 1. LIST OF STUDIES

This annexure lists the issues raised and recommendations made in previous water resource planning studies pertaining to the Thukela WMA. These issues and recommendations were used to compile the first draft of the strategy tables that were discussed and revised during the two workshops. **Table 1.1** presents a chronological list of the DWAF studies undertaken in the WMA.

Table 1.1: List of study i	reports.
----------------------------	----------

No.	Document reference	Report Title			
	Vaal Augmentation Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme (1993 – 1994) – Reconnaissance Phase				
1	V000/00/0894	Vaal Aumentation Planning Study : Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme - WST consortium : Reconnaissance report			
2	Recon A.1	Base Conditions			
3	Recon A.2	Water Demands			
4	Recon A.3	Streamflow hydrology : Volumes 1 & 2			
5	Recon A.4	Water Quality			
6	Recon A.5	Environmental Aspects			
7	Recon A.6	Development Options			
8	Recon B.1	Basic data: Volume 1			
9	Recon B.2	Basic data: Volume 2			
10	Recon B.3	Basic data: Volume 3			
	Vaal Augn	nentation Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme (1994 – 1996) – Pre-feasibility Phase			
11	V000/00/0894	Vaal Augmentation Planning Study : Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme - WST consortium : Pre-feasibility Report			
12	Pre-feas A.1	Design			
13	Pre-feas A.2	Environmental Aspects			
14	Pre-feas A.3	Costing and Economics			
15	Pre-feas A.4	System Analysis			
16	Pre-feas A.5	Regional Economics			
17	Pre-feas A B.1	Basic data			
١	/aal Augmentatio	n Planning Study: Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme (1995 – 1996) – Interim Study (Pre-feasibility Phase)			
18	V000/00/1296	Vaal Aumentation Planning Study : Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme - WST consortium : Interim Phase Report			
19	-	Various Interim Phase supporting documents, which amongst others include:			
20	V000/00/0195	Tugela River (Pre-feasibility) IFR Workshop Site visit document			
21	V000/00/0295	Tugela River (Pre-feasibility) IFR Workshop Starter document			
22	-				
		Thukela Water Project Feasibility Study (1997 – 2001) – Feasibility Phase			
23	V000/00/0198	Klip dam site: Geological Pre-feasibility report			
24	V000/00/0298	Jana dam site: Geological Pre-feasibility report			
25	V000/00/9600	Executive Summary: overview brochure			
26	V000/00/9700	Main Feasibility Report			
27	V000/00/3199	Engineering Module Report			
28		13 Engineering Supporting Reports			
29	V000/00/6099	Evaluation of Alternative Sources of Water for Ladysmith-Emnambithi			
30	V000/00/5599	Water Resources Module Report			
31		3 Supporting Water Resources Reports			
32	V000/00/0089	Public Involvement Programme Module Report			
33	V000/00/9000	Decision Register (12 public involvement programme files)			
34	V000/00/6200	Environmental Module Report			
35		18 Environmental Supporting Reports			
36	V000/00/8799	Regional Development			
37	V000/00/9900	Legal & Hydro-political Aspects			
38	V000/00/9100	Economical & Financial Viability Report			

No.	Document reference	Report Title
		Other studies
39	V000/00/0284	Sentraal -Tugela - wateroordragskema : voorlopige ondersoek na 'n gesamentlike skema met Eskom
40	C000/00/6486	Vaal River System Analysis - BKS
	V000/00/0586	Tugela - Vaal subsystem analysis (1986)
41	V000/00/0686	Hydrology of the Tugela Basin: volume A: :text

No.	Document reference	Report Title		
42	V000/00/0686	Hydrology of the Tugela Basin: volume B: appendices		
43	V000/XX/0180	Moontlike water ontwikkeling in die Tugela opvanggebied vir KwaZulu - Dept. Samewerking & Ontw		
44	V000/00/0184	Vloei - en netto verdampingsrekords vir terreine en damme in die Sentraal - Tugelaskema		
45	V000/00/0385	Investigation into the irrigation potential of the Tugela catchement area -MBB		
46	V000/00/0191	Streamflow gauges in the Mhlatuze, Mfolozi and Tugela basins -WLPU		
47	V000/xx/0195	Thukela basin investment strategy: Preliminary feasibility study - Deloitte & Touche		
48	V100/xx/0187	Upper Tugela study		
49	V100/XX/0287	Base line data study - KwaZulu : Dept. Economic Affairs		
50	V100/xx/0287 V100/xx/0387	Volument & II		
51	V100/xx/0188	Ladvemith flooding : Progress report no. 1 - Technical working group		
52	V100/xx/0100	A proposed structure plan for the Upper Tugela Location and Adjacent black occupied areas - A' Bear. Davis.		
_		Krone		
53	V100/xx/0388	Role of Wetlands and landuse in flood attenuation: Klip River above Ladysmith -Institute of Natural Resources		
54	V100/xx/0488	Ladysmith flooding: Recommended solution - Technical working group: Volumes 1 & 2		
55	V100/xx/0566	Waaybaak aymaad, ataraga achama : Easaibility rapart, Eakam		
56	V100/xx/0189	Braamboek pumped - storage scheme : Feasibility report - Eskom		
57	V100/07/DE01	Ladvemith flood control scheme ' Oedusizi Dam (Social assessment and public involvement) - WST		
57	V100/00/0196	consortium: Summary Report		
58	V100/00/0296	Volume 1 : Report		
59	V100/00/0396	Volume 2 : Appendices		
60	V100/xx/0196	Provincial growth and development strategy for KwaZulu - Natal - Development Planning Committee of KwaZulu - Natal		
61	V100/00/0496	National water management program: Development of the water resources of the Tugela River		
62	V200/xx/0181	Tugela - Vaal III : Dartingtondamterrein, Hlatikulurivier : Eerste geologiese verkenningsverslag - Geologiese opname		
63	V200/06/1975	Tugela - Vaal III : Gienfern Dam site: First geological reconnaissance report - geological survey		
64	V200/09/1976	Lugela - Vaal III : Prosperity Dam site : First geological reconnaissance report - Geological survey		
66	V200/00/0183	Verkenningeveraleg : Membeniedemterrein (Pergville) : Ferste face _ SPK		
67	V200/00/0283	Contingency plan to sugment water resources of the Umgeni Diver (into Medi Diver)		
68	V200/xx/0183	Umgeni WSS : Mearns Dam site ( Mooi River district) : First geological feasibility report - Geological survey		
69	V200/00/0184	Quantities and cost for tunnels		
70	V200/00/0185	Intergrated catchment management : Guidelines & recommendations based on pilot study in Mooi & Mgeni catchments		
71	V200/00/0594	Mooi River transfer sedimentation report - Sigma Beta		
72	V200/00/2559	Mooi - Mgeni : Spring Grove dam site: first Engineering geological reconnaissance report - geological survey		
73	V200/xx/0294	RDP Msinga water supply - Anderson, Vogt & Partners		
74	V200/00/0694	Mooi - Mgeni : preliminary water quality assessment - Keeve Steyn + Ninham Shand		
75	V200/00/0565	Mooi - Mgeni : Wellington tunnel: First engineering geological feasibility report - geological survey		
76	V200/xx/0394	Initial impact assessment of proposed Mearns weir, transfer tunnel and receiving stream (Mpofana) - Lee Henderson		
70	V200/00/0794	Mool - Mgeni : Initial natural environmental assessment (final draft) - Lee Henderson		
70	V200/XX/0494	ROIP : Mooi - Maeni feasibility - G - L Munro		
19	V200/00/0994	1: Proposed Mearns and Spring Grove Dams		
80	V200/00/0694	2: Proposed Dartington Dam		
81	V200/00/0794	3: Receiving stream - Mpofana /Lions		
82	V200/00/0894	4: Proposed transfer tunnel / pipeline		
83	V200/00/0795	Mooi river IFR workshop 15-18 May 1995		
84	V200/xx/0195	Mooi River IFR workshop : Site visit document		
85	V200/xx/0295	Mooi River instream flow assessment - Ninham Shand		
86	V200/00/0895	Flood hydrology of the proposed Spring Grove , Dartington & Mearns dam sites		
87	V200/xx/0395	Mooi-Mgeni transfer : Planning analysis - BKS		
00 00	V200/XX/0495	Mooi - Maoni - Receiving rivers impact management study: workshop - SKK		
00	V200/XX/0090	Maughan - Brown Mani Kapani - Brown Mani Mani transfer foosibility study - Kopyo Stove		
90	V200/00/1295	iviou-ivigeni itanster teasioliity study - Keeve Steyn		
01	V200/00/10995	3 . River Eligilieening		
02	V200/00/1095	4.2 · Initial environmental assessment · Appendices		
93	V200/00/1195	4.3 · Moni River storage ontions · pre-feasibility study		

No.	Document reference	Report Title
94	V200/00/1295	Mooi-Mgeni transfer feasibility study - Keeve Steyn
	V200/00/1795	4.4 : Instream flow requirements
95	V200/00/1895	5 : Social environment and value resources
96	V200/00/1295	Mooi-Mgeni transfer feasibility study - Keeve Steyn
	V200/00/1995	6 : Water quality
97	V200/00/2095	7 : Costing
98	V200/00/2195	8.1 : Water balance analysis : Text
99	V200/00/2295	8.2 : Water balance analysis : Appendices
100	V200/00/2395	9.1 : Water resources analysis : Text
101	V200/00/2495	9.2 : Water resources analysis : Appendices
102	V200/00/2595	Mooi-Mgeni : Addendum to social environment & value of resources
103	V200/00/0196	Overseas economic cooperation fund: Japan (Questionnaire on Mooi - Mgeni project)
104	V200/0295	Towards integrated management of the Mgeni catchment
105	V300/00/0170	Will Water Affairs be able to supply Newcastle at the crucial times (1970)
106	V300/xx/0177	Preliminary hydrogeological assessment of channel alluvium in the Buffelsrivier to the west of Nqutu - Loxton Hunting
107	V300/xx/0277	Buffelsrivier (Ngutu) WVS - Chunnett, Myburgh & Vennote
		Uitvoerbaarheidstudie : Finale verslag
108	V300/xx/0178	Uitvoerbaarheidstudie : Addendum tot finale verslag
109	V300/04/0279	Chelmsford Dam: Capacity determination
110	V300/00/0179	Uitkering van Slang - na Vaalrivier
111	V300/00/0183	Contingency plan to augment the water supplies of Newcastle
112	V300/00/0283	Buffalo: Engineering geological reconnaissance report on Weir / canal alternatives for Newcastle 0 George , Orr
113	V300/xx/0183	Moontlike bronne vir watervoorsiening aan volksrust - de Wet, Shand
114	V300/00/0383	Langtermn vloeirkords vir terreine in die Buffels - en Sondagsrivier
115	V300/00/0483	Samestelling van langtermynvlorirekords vir drie punte in die Sondagsrivier (Natal)
116	V300/00/0185	Langtermyn vloeirekords vir die Buffels - en Ngaganerivier
117	V300/02/0184	Voorgestelde Slangrivier SWS
118	V300/02/0285	Uitbreiding van Slangsrivier - SWS : Oorplasing van water na die Vaalrivier
119	V300/28/2116	Slang River GWS : Uitkyk balancing reservoirs : First engineering geological report for design - Geological Survey
120	V300/00/0386	Vaal River system analysis: Buffalo - Vaal subsystem analysis - BKS
121	V300/xx/0190	Ngagane regional water supply system : Planning update - Umgeni Water
122	V300/xx/0195	Nqutu regional WSS: Project preparation assistance study (water supply model)
123	V400/00/0170	Map of Lower Tugela dam sites
124	V400/xx/0183	Feasibility report on Mvumase irrigation scheme - Bosch & Associates
125	V400/00/0184	Vloei - en netto verdampingsrekords vir terreine en damme in die Sentraal - Tugelaskema
126	V400/00/0186	Vloei - en verdampingsrekords vir die Smaungu terrein in die Sentraal - Tugelaskema
127	V400/xx/0186	Additional Tugela pumping Western Natal region : Feasibility costing - A. Hepburn (Escom)
128	V400/xx/0187	Madadeni / Osizweni bulk water supply : Urban water requirements - Goulty Moller & Associates
129	V500/00/0174	Simulasie v. vloeirekords by terreine op Assegaairivier en verlenging v. vloeirekords op riviere wat in Swaziland invloei
130	V500/xx/0281	Feasibility study of 1200 MW Mvumase pumped storage scheme on Lower Tugela - Escom
	V500/xx/0181	Volumes 1 & 2
131	V500/xx/0187	Lower Tugela basin development : Executive summary of overall feasibility report-Escom
132	V500/20/UB01	Tugela - Mhlathuze River GWS : Pre-feasibility study on the Middledrift community water supply - Stewart, Scott
<u> </u>		
133	V600/01/0172	Feasibility study of water supply scheme for the Newcastle - Platberg colliery, Elandslaagte farmers association et al. From the possible Slangdraai (Waterfall) Dam, Sundays River (Natal)
134	V600/00/0183	Samestelling van langtermynvloeirkords vir drie punte in die Sondagsrivier (Natal)
135	V700/00/0192	Wagendrift Dam: Yield analysis

## 2. OVERVIEW OF STUDIES UNDERTAKEN

#### 2.1 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME - RECONNAISSANCE PHASE

This study was initiated in response to the future need to augment water supplies to the Vaal River System. Further development of the water resources of the Thukela River Catchment was proposed as an option.

#### 2.1.1 Base conditions

This review was directed at compiling information relating to water utilization/resources. Information was collated by examining reports pertaining to existing and planned developments involving utilization of water resources, namely water supply, transfer schemes, pumped storage, urbanization, nature reserves, mining, irrigation and afforestation in the Thukela Basin. Although this was mainly a desktop study, it included questionnaires to local authorities and visits to major water users. This report presented the following information that has bearing on the ISP:

*Land Use :* Drakensberg forms the higher lying, high rainfall areas. Mainly nature areas, holiday resorts and to a limited extent forestry are the main land uses being practiced in the Drakensberg mountains. Main industrial centres that place a demand on the water resources and that have an impact on water quality in the receiving streams were identified at Newcastle, Dundee, Estcourt, Ladysmith, and Mandini (SAPPI). Water usage was expected to double between the years 1990 and 2010. (*A smaller growth in demand has been experienced between 1990 and 2002*). A domestic population/water demand growth rate of 3% was predicted for the same time period. Numerous mines (mainly coal mines) have closed down. Water quality related problems are described below.

**Agriculture** : Government Water Control Areas: 7700ha of irrigation (quotas  $51x10^6 \text{ m}^3/a$ ). Thukela / Spioenkop = 1543 ha; Bushmans / Wagendrift = 1593ha; Ngagane / Chelmsford = 238 ha; Mnyavubu / Craigieburn = 2157 ha; Buffalo = 3049 ha. Other irrigators (Water Boards and Private irrigation): Total 86 000ha (=  $655x10^6 \text{ m}^3/a$ ). (Not sure if this irrigation area is entirely being used today) This reconnaissance study noted that the whole catchment (especially in homeland areas) was totally overstocked with domestic animals and that the telltale signs of soil erosion were evident.

**Afforestation :** In 1990, the upper parts of Thukela catchment (mainly the Biggersberg to Newcastle stretch) contain about 20 000 ha of forestry. The Thukela-Mhlatuze watershed also allows afforestation. DWAFs management aim was not to allow large scale afforestation and to try and limit the impact of this sector on the MAR to a streamflow reduction of 10% of the MAR. In view of potential expansion it was found that 82000ha of suitable forestry land exists in the Thukela Basin and a further 65000ha of marginal could also be utilized.

**Ecology**: The Thukela River Mouth was cited as a concern in this report. The authors were concerned about the impact of the various planned abstraction weirs in the lower Thukela River on the migration of marine/riverine fauna. Maintenance of sufficient low flows to ensure that the mouth remains open for most of the time was also mooted. Minimum discharges to dilute Sappi effluent was also noted as a major concern (request that a minimum flow of greater than 4m<sup>3</sup>/s be maintained 95% of the time).

*Large Dams :* Ten dams in catchment with a combined firm yield of 950x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup> /annum. Upper dams (Woodstock, Driel Barrage and Kilburn) reserved for transfer. Chelmsford (Ngagane Regional GWS and CA) problems with allocations to irrigators. Spioenkop (Tugela GWS and CA) - u/s abstractions allowed and compensation releases of 1 to 2m<sup>3</sup>/s allowed.

*Other Regional Schemes and Transfers :* Ngagane, Biggersberg, Emnanbithu, Nqutu managed by Uthukela Regional Council (more recently some have been handed over to the Thukela Water Partnership).

**Major transfers :** Tugela Vaal Transfer Scheme – some concern by Thukela Basin residents that they don't see the benefit of this transfer and that local development may be hindered in future. (More recently, it may be possible for the proposed Thukela Catchment Management Agency to charge a water resource levy on water transferred to the Vaal River System. Furthermore, it has been mentioned that local water users take precedence over Vaal River users when it comes to the allocation of water resources in the Thukela River Basin). Slang / Zaaihoek (Buffalo GWS): Majuba Power Station takes priority on the water in the Zaaihoek Dam. (It has been noted that the large dead storage in the Zaaihoek Dam is not being utilized). It was noted that there could be a water transfer from the lower Thukela River to Richards Bay and environs in future. Also a further transfer to the Mgeni River System from the Mooi River. The possibility of the Waaihoek or Braamhoek Pumped Storage Scheme was also mentioned.

#### 2.1.2 Water Requirements

The water requirements were discussed in detail in these reports (updated values are now available and are reflected in this ISP). Indications are that the in-basin requirement projections made in the earlier studies were very conservative. Lower demands are now being experienced and projected.

#### 2.1.3 Water Resources

The Mean Annual Runoff at the Thukela River Mouth was estimated at 3865x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup> /annum. (More recent studies [Pitman and McKenzie(1996)] showed a 0.4% decrease in the MAR estimates).

#### 2.1.4 Water Quality

A comprehensive assessment of the water quality issues in the whole Thukela River catchment was conducted during these feasibility studies. Dr Herold provided the following general recommendations regarding water quality management in the catchment: Suitable relationships and other prediction tools need to be developed to estimate :

- Future quality of effluent discharged to streams in the Thukela Catchment;
- The present and future salt export from operating, abandoned unrehabilitated and abandoned rehabilitated coal mines;
- The diffuse source export of problematic pollutants from industrial areas; and
- Diffuse wash-off of pollutants from urban areas (especially informal/semi formal settlements).

Short term monitoring programme recommended to meet requirements for estimating diffuse source pollution loads. Conjunctive use of dams (existing and new) to meet needs of water use and simultaneously solving existing downstream water quality problems should be considered.

The following specific issues were highlighted:

**Upper Tugela R (V110 tertiary catchment) :** Spioenkop Dam grossly under-utilised. No significant water quality problems. The rapid development of the Action Homes settlement above Spioenkop could cause eutrophication and excessive organic load problems in the dam in future. Similarly the relatively high density of rural population in the area above the Woodstock Dam. (Recently Rand Water has conducted studies and rehabilitation projects in this area to limit soil erosion and sedimentation of the Woodstock Dam). It was believed that this area was 75% overstocked with livestock (ie carrying capacity 2 ha per Livestock Unit (LSU) vs actual 3.5ha per LSU on the ground in the early 1990s).

*Little Tugela (V130) :* Informal settlements in upper subcatchment and formal irrigation scheme in lower parts. Overstocking (ie double the livestock carrying capacity).

*Klip River (V120) :* Ladysmith-Ezakheni pollution sources - high coli and total coliforms , high phosphates. Rapid growth in informal settlements: Driefontein , Watermeet and Peace Town = high organic loads. Overgrazing as well (double carrying capacity).

*Middle Tugela / Bloukrans River (V140) :* Overgrazing: 80% over carrying capacity. TDS, Sulphate and Phosphate on Bloukrans somewhat elevated *(possibly due to Cornfields Tembahlile settlements).* 

**Bushmans River (V700 tertiary catchment) :** 60% overstocked. High industrial component (60%) in Estcourts sewage effluent results in poor final effluent quality. Noted as a major issue – please see tables later in this report.

**Sundays/Tugela River (V600)** : Urban development - Glencoe - Sithembile, Ekuvukeni, Waaihoek and Uitkyk. Sithembile = frequent blockages of sewers with spillages into Uithoekspruit and hence into the Wasbankspruit. High rural population density (56 per km<sup>2</sup>) could contribute to the occasional high phospate concentrates observed in Sundays River (up to  $450\mu g/l$ ) and the Wasbankspruit ( $1320\mu g/l$ ). Natural drainage from geological formations and especially from coal mine workings also contain appreciable amounts of nitrates and phosphate. Two dormant and six closed coal mines are located in the Wasbankspruit and Sundays River catchments. Evidence of salination of Upper Sundays River at gauging point V6H004 with sulphate concentrations reaching 214mg/l (compared with 18mg/l further upstream at V6H006). Large informal settlements in vicinity of Tugela Ferry = organic pollution. Livestock - 140% overstocked = soil erosion very evident.

*Mooi River (V200) :* Mooi Textiles has own sewage treatment works. (*Factory now closed*). Livestock numbers exceed carrying capacity by 70%. Several Piggeries in catchments.

**Upper Buffalo (V310) :** Half of urban population in Thukela catchment centred in Newcastle, Volksrust, Dannhauser and Charlestown areas. Iscor, Karbochen; AECI, and Coal mines are main industrial and mining activities. Operational coal mines (Durnacol [*now closing*], CBR and Witklip); 8 dormant and 6 closed coalmines in this area. Ncandu, Ngagane and Buffalo (immediately upstream of its confluence with Ngagane) are the rivers most severely impacted by urban, industrial or mining developments. High salinity from acid mine drainage. Mercury contamination of groundwater (in vicinity of AECI factory adjacent to Ngagane River). Organic and faecal eutrophication - raw sewage spillage from western portion of Madadeni township. Sodium and Flouride pollution (near Ngagane Buffalo confluence, associated with Iscor). Taste and problems associated with chlorinated phenols (observed in the Horn and Ngagane rivers at Iscors raw water intakes). Catchment overstocked by 40% (ie 2 vs 5ha per LSU) = soil erosion and high turbidity.

**Middle Buffalo River (V320) :** The main water scheme is the Biggarsberg Regional Water Services, which obtains raw water from McHardy Dam (on the Sterkstroom), Verdruk Dam and Mpati Dam and Tayside weir (on the Buffalo River). The main urban centers receiving water from the scheme are Madadeni and Osizweni (located adjacent to the Buffalo River immediately downstream of the Ngagane confluence), Utrecht to the Dorpspruit), Dundee / Sibongile, Hattingspruit and Washbankspruit (located in the Mzinyashane River catchment). The catchment has a large rural population of 171 000, with a relatively high population density of 43 per km<sup>2</sup>. Consul Glass (located near Dundee in the Mzinyashane River catchment) is the most significant industrial development. Six operating coal mines (Welgedact Utrecht and Umgala sections, Bergaskool and Welgedact Lignite in the Dorpspruit catchment, and Carnarvon and Springlake in the Mzinyashane River catchment)(*closed or not?*); eighteen dormant coal mines (one in the Dorpspruit catchment, twelve in the Mzinyashane River catchment and five on other smaller tributaries entering the Buffalo River); and seventeen closed coal mines (two in the Dorpspruit catchment, twelve in the Mzinyashane River catchment and three on smaller tributaries of the Buffalo) are located in the Middle Buffalo catchment.

The Middle Buffalo River is about 70% over stocked with livestock. Mzinyashane River system is the most severely affected by acid mine drainage from coal mining activates. The eutrophication of streams downstream of coal mining areas is thought to be attributable to the relatively high nitrate and phosphate concentrations present in the acid mine drainage. It has been reported that organic pollution downstream of Dundee resulting in replacement of the normal fauna by worm-midge (Tubifex-Chironomus). High COD levels (up to 362 mg/l) in Dundee's treated sewage effluent samples recently (1993) collected by the DWAF's Dundee office indicates that this situation could still persist. Nutrient rich effluent from the Madadeni and the Osizweni STWs together with runoff from these urban areas and the densely populated informal development that has sprung up between these two urban areas contributes to the reported severe eutrophication of the Buffalo River during low flow conditions between the Ncandu confluence and de Jagersdrift (which is upstream of the road bridge on the R33 Dundee - Vryheid road near the Buffalo - Eerstelingspruit confluence. High turbidity also occurs in the Buffalo River. The Buffalo River at Vaalbank weir (hydrological station V3H015) immediately downstream of the Dorpspruit is severely salinized, with peak TDS concentrations reaching 700mg/l. High sulphate concentrations point to acid mine drainage sources in the Dorpspruit catchment and from upstream sources in the V310 catchment. However, equally high sodium and chloride concentrations indicate significant contributions from other sources as well. High sodium concentrations (up to 144mg/l together with episodes of high fluoride concentrations (up to 4,4mg/l) point to Iscor as the likely source.

**Lower Buffalo River (V330) :** The Nqutu Regional Water Services abstracts raw water from the Buffalo River near hydrological station V3H001, just downstream of the Bloed River confluence. The rural population density is high, at 79 per km<sup>2</sup>. The catchment appears to be about 107% over stocked with livestock. General degradation of catchment vegetation, leading to soil erosion and high river turbidity, and organic pollution are the most prominent impacts on surface water quality.

*Lower Tugela / Nsuzi River (V400) :* Kranskop is the only significant urban development in the Nsuzi catchment. The rural population density of 60 per km<sup>2</sup> is relatively high. The livestock numbers are about 85% higher than the sustainable carrying capacity of the catchment. Turbidity and organic pollution problems can be anticipated.

Lower Tugela River (V500): The main urban centres are Sundumbili, Mandeni, Tugela Rail and smaller villages supplied with water by the Tugela Development Services Board (at that stage – now the district municipalities). Sappi Kraft's Mandeni Paper Mill and Isithebe Industrial Estates are the main industrial developments. The rural population density is the highest in the Tugela Basin (101 per km<sup>2</sup>). Livestock densities are the lowest in the Tugela basin and appear to be well within the carrying capacity of the catchment. Afforestation covers about 15% of this sub-catchment area. Sappi's plant effluent discharged to the Tugela River is characterized by high BOD, COD, OA, suspended solids, sodium levels, colour problems and high temperatures of up to 46°C. Problems have been experienced with the drop in dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Tugela River downstream of Sappi's effluent discharge. During drought times water has to be released from Spioenkop Dam to provide additional dilution of the lower Tugela River to counteract the drop in DO. Mandeni Sappi themselves have complained of severe turbidity problems at their raw water intakes. Sappi has also indicated that during a flood event in October 1993, the suspended solids present in the Tugela River reached 3,5% to 4,0%, resulting in siltation of the sedimentation tanks and the total shutdown of the paper mill. Chloride peaks of he order of 100mg/l during the height of the last drought were also reported to have caused problems for the plant. The Polmon database revealed high electrical conductivity (up to 1700 mS/m), sodium, and COD levels in the Mandeni River upstream of Sappi's second discharge point, presumably attributable to pollution sources at lsithebe Estates.

#### 2.1.5 Environmental Aspects

The following environmental concerns were also raised in a specially dedicated report on the evaluation of various dam sites in the whole catchment and associated schemes :

- Existing Tugela-Vaal Transfer Scheme Canal from Driel Barrage insufficient consideration of social impacts during construction – unhappiness with local inhabitants.
- The possibility of tapping water from the TVTS systems. Policy at the time not to allow this (ie expensive water).
- Water resources need to be well managed to encourage and support recreation, conservation and tourism (resorts).
- Black fly infestations may occur in the Thukela if the river system is not managed properly.
- Biological translocations of fish and other noonoos through the TVTS into the Vaal River System.
- Wetlands need protection.
- Land tenure planning and associated pressure on natural resources must be conducted well in order to avoid soil erosion and silting of the rivers and dams of the Thukela catchment.

#### 2.1.6 Development Options at Reconnaissance Level

In planning the potential further development of the water resources of the Thukela River Basin, 73 dam sites were identified and investigated. During the Reconnaissance study these number of dam sites were reduced to 26 and eventually 17.

#### 2.1.7 Economic Analysis at Reconnaissance Level

An economic analysis further reduced the 17 sites to 4 scheme layouts (with approx 3 dam sites in each layout). These recommendations were then referred to a more intensive and focussed Pre-feasibility Study.

#### 2.2 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME : PRE-FEASIBILITY PHASE

At the end of the Reconnaissance Phase it was found that water delivered via the so-called southern tributary component of the TVTS to the Vaal River System would be cheaper than water from other VAPS alternatives (ie Lesotho Highlands Project further phases; the Orange-Caledon River scheme; and the Umzimvubu Transfer Scheme). Furthermore, the TVTS was the only scheme that could be implemented in time to meet the 2006 Vaal River System augmentation deadline that existed at that time.

The Pre-feasibility Phase study was then conducted and in turn identified the two most attractive schemes which could be developed separately or in combination. The Southern Tributary Transfer Scheme (STTS) could deliver 12,8 m<sup>3</sup>/s for transfer via the existing Drakensberg Pumped Storage Scheme. The Northern Tributaries Transfer Scheme (NTTS) could deliver 22,0 m<sup>3</sup>/s via a transfer pumping station at Chatsworth (Braamhoek). The NTTS was found to be less economical than the STTS option.

#### 2.3 VAAL AUGMENTATION PLANNING STUDY : TUGELA-VAAL TRANSFER SCHEME : INTERIM PHASE

At the end of the Pre-feasibility Phase, a number of uncertainties about the layout of the STTS still existed and it was decided to address these in a so-called Interim study to ensure that a properly defined option could be taken through to the Feasibility Phase that was scheduled to follow.

During this process it was decided that a combination of the STTS and the NTTS be recommended. The so-called Thukela Water Project was formulated (ie a major dam on the mainstem of the Thukela near Ladysmith at the Jana or Klip sites; a major dam on the Bushmans River just upstream of the Weenen Nature Reserve [Mielietuin site] and a canal aqueduct from the two selected dam sites back up to the existing Kilburn Dam.

#### 2.4 THUKELA WATER PROJECT: FEASIBILITY PHASE

A full Feasibility Study was conducted into the viability of the Thukela Water Project between 1997 and 2000. Initially it was found that the Jana Dam site was the most technically and economically viable. Some environmental concerns (ie destruction of the natural valley environment) still existed. The Jana and the Mielietuin dams were then investigated at a reasonably detailed level and the aqueduct options (canal or pipeline) considered at a normal feasibility level.

The results emanating from this study indicated that the TWP was indeed viable from all perspectives. The deadline of the next augmentation of water supplies to the Vaal River System has subsequently shifted out from 2006 to beyond 2020 for various reasons. DWAF is now conducting a low key Thukela Water Project Decision Support Phase, which is wrapping up any loose ends associated with the project and packaging the project for easy start-up when it is indeed required somewhere in future (could be 2025 or much later depending on whether the Lesotho Highlands Water Project Phase II is to supercede the Thukela Water Project or not).

#### 2.5 OTHER RELEVANT WATER RESOURCE RELATED PLANNING STUDIES

Numerous other reports on studies within the WMA have been perused. Issues from these studies have been highlighted in **Table 2.1**.

Document No.	Title	Issue
V000/00/0284	Sentraal -Tugela - wateroordragskema : voorlopige ondersoek na 'n gesamentlike skema met Eskom	Operation of Tugela Vaal Transfer Scheme of strategic importance. Security of supply to the Vaal needs to be assured.
V000/00/0686	Hydrology of the Tugela Basin: volume A: :text	Calibration of gauging weirs and hence the reliability of base data needs to be improved.
V000/00/0385	Investigation into the irrigation potential of the Tugela catchement area -MBB	Study claims that there is 340 000ha of irrigable land in the catchment (not clearly shown on map). Also claims that 146 500ha will be utilized by 2020 and that this would amount to a system utilization of 644*10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> /annum (based on 4354 m <sup>3</sup> /ha/annum).
V000/xx/0195	Thukela basin investment strategy: Preliminary feasibility study - Deloitte & Touche	Stated that there was a USA loan offer of US\$500 million in 1994 for investing in the Thukela region. Strategy proposed by the consultant was to follow the Tennessee Valley example and concentrate on boosting manufacturing for export, mining and agriculture, and agro- industry. It also suggested that a form of water transfer levy be imposed on the TVTS to plough something back into the Thukela River catchment.
Various reports	Ladysmith flooding	Various options to attenuate flooding in Ladysmith: ie Mt Pleasant Dam (Qeduzisi); use of wetlands and small dams; land use; canal system through Ladysmith.
V100/xx/0189 V100/xx/0195	Waayhoek and Braamhoek pumped - storage schemes : Feasibility report - Eskom	Eskom proposals that could tie in with the proposed Northern Tugela Transfer Scheme described above.
V200	Various Reports on the Mooi river and transfer to the Mgeni	All the various transfer options considered. Priority was given to the Mgeni above the proposed transfer to the Vaal River.
V300/xx/0177	Preliminary hydrogeological assessment of channel alluvium in the Buffelsrivier to the west of Nqutu - Loxton Hunting	Alluvial groundwater scheme proposed to supply Nqutu.
V500/xx/0281 V500/xx/0181	Feasibility study of 1200 MW Mvumase pumped storage scheme on Lower Tugela - Escom Volumes 1 & 2	Not sure what has become of these planning proposals.

#### Table 2.1: Issues raised in other Thukela WMA studies.

## ANNEXURE B: REGISTERED DAMS IN THE THUKELA WM/

Quaternary	Dam Name	Purpose	Capacity (million	Full supply
catchment		-	m3)	area (km2)
V11C	KILBURN	Hydro Power	35.56	1.95
V11C	KILBURN-OMGEWINGS NO.3-	Leisure	0.318	0.06
V11F	SHAMROCK	Irrigation	1.37	0.52
		Domestic Water		
V11J	DRIEL BARRAGE	Use	8.694	2.99
		Domestic Water		
V11J-	WOODSTOCK	Use	380.4	29.15
V11L	ACTON VALLEY	Irrigation	1.04	0.5
V11L	FAIRFIELD	Irrigation	0.24	0.12
V11L	ROODEBULT	Irrigation	0.22	0.05
		Domestic Water		
V11L	SPIOENKOP	Use	279.63	15.38
V11L	ZUURLAGER DAM NO 1	Irrigation	0.25	0.1
V12A	FOUR WINDS	Irrigation	0.09	0.03
V12E	BRINLEY	Irrigation	0.15	0.11
V12E	LOWER ARCADIA NO 3	Irrigation	0.054	0.02
V12F	ARCADIA BIG	Irrigation	0.126	0.02
V12F	BROOKFIELD	Irrigation	0.1	0.03
V12F	KRANTZKLOOF	Irrigation	0.1	0.02
V12F	MARIAS HEUWEL NO. 1	Irrigation	0.064	0.03
V12F	MARIAS HEUWEL NO. 2	Irrigation	0.051	0.02
		Domestic Water		
V12F	WINDSOR	Use	0.77	0.83
V13B	BELL PARK	Irrigation	7.5	1.05
V13B	CLYDESDALE BIG	Irrigation	0.242	0.09
V13B	CLYDESDALE TREE	Irrigation	0.05	0.03
V13B	DRAKENSBERG SUN	Leisure	0.38	0.08
V13B	ERASMUS	Irrigation	0.2	0.02
V13C	DANKBAAR DAM NO 3	Irrigation	0.2	0.04
V13C	GLENSIDE DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.137	0.04
V13C	GROOTDRAAI	Irrigation	0.6	0.16
V13C	NOODHULP	Irrigation	0.135	0.05
V13C	NTSINGINSHANI	Irrigation	0.4	0.1
V13C	PIVOT	Irrigation	1.53	0.39
V13C	TEVREDE DAM NO 2	Irrigation	0.6	0.12
V13C	VAALBANK	Irrigation	0.2	0.02
V13D	GLEN GRAY	Irrigation	0.433	0.12
V13D	HONGERSPOORT N0.1-	Irrigation	0.075	0.02
V13D	HONGERSPOORT NO.2-	Irrigation	0.175	0.05
V13D	HONGERSPOORT NO.3-	Irrigation	0.125	0.04
V13D	MEERSIG RIVER	Irrigation	0.23	0.09
V13D	VALHALLA	Irrigation	0.24	0.05
V13D	VENTERSSPRUIT	Irrigation	0.07	0.06
V13D	VENTERSSPRUIT SILT TRAP	Irrigation	0.08	0.05
V13E	GRUNAU DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.15	0.06
V13E	GRUNAU DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.15	0.06
V13E	MARA STRYDPOORT	Irrigation	0.67	0.37
V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 1-	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 2-	Irrigation	0.08	0.03

V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 3-	Irrigation	0.09	0.03
V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 4-	Irrigation	0.15	0.04
V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 7-	Irrigation	0.18	0.05
V13E	RUSTENBURG NO. 8-	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
V13E	THE GRANGE	Irrigation	0.15	0.05
V14A	DOORNKOP TOP	Irrigation	0.485	0.2
V14C	EMPANGWENE	Irrigation	0.43	0.16
V14D	SUNNYSIDE	Irrigation	0.25	0.03
V20A	BRAMLEIGH FARM	Irrigation	0.223	0.05
V20A	DRAYTON	Irrigation	0.13	0.05
V20A	Dummy dam	Irrigation	2.1	2.14
V20A	EXCELSIOR	Irrigation	0.063	0.03
V20A	INVERMOOI LAER	Irrigation	0.175	0.08
V20A	INVERMOOI UPPER	Irrigation	0.15	0.07
V20A	RIVERSIDE TOP	Irrigation	0.09	0.04
V20A	SILVERDALE	Irrigation	0.108	0.04
V20A	SOUTAR'S HILL	Irrigation	0.086	0.03
V20B	AIRSTRIP	Irrigation	0.2	0.08
V20B	BRAKVLEI	Irrigation	0.345	0.09
V20B	BURN	Irrigation	0.18	0.08
V20B	DEFENCE	Irrigation	0.45	0.24
V20B	DEFENCE PUMP	Irrigation	0.185	0.05
V20B	EAST MESHLYNN HOUSE	Irrigation	0.075	0.06
V20B	EAST MESHLYNN TOPS	Irrigation	0.062	0.03
V20B	EREMIA	Irrigation	0.2	0.06
V20B	GRANCHESTER	Irrigation	0.266	0.08
V20B	KANGATONG	Irrigation	0.22	0.1
V20B	MESHLYNN BIG	Irrigation	0.6	0.06
V20B	MESHLYNN KLOOF	Irrigation	0.073	0.04
V20B	MOERAS VLEI	Irrigation	0.15	0.07
V20B	PROSPERITY	Irrigation	0.1	0.04
V20B	REDCLIFFE	Irrigation	0.15	0.08
V20B	REY	Irrigation	0.18	0.07
V20B	RONDAVEL	Irrigation	0.13	0.09
V20B	SOLITUDE	Irrigation	0.16	0.06
V20B	SOURVELDT	Irrigation	0.56	0.19
V20B	SPRINGVALE	Irrigation	0.15	0.08
V20B	STRAWBERRY	Irrigation	0.09	0.03
V20B	SWYTHERNBY	Irrigation	0.35	0.11
V20B	ТЕМВИ	Irrigation	0.06	0.03
V20B	TRENT LODGE	Irrigation	0.06	0.02
V20C	FOREST LODGE	Irrigation	1.15	0.43
V20C	HLATIKULU	Irrigation	0.085	0.05
V20C	LITTLE FALLS	Leisure	0.115	0.06
V20C	POTATOES	Irrigation	0.05	0.03
V20C	STAGSTONES	Irrigation	0.22	0.08
V20C	TIGERHOEK DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.07	0.02
V20C	ZONK LAKE	Irrigation	0.45	0.3
V20D	AVON DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.15	0.05
V20D	BALLINA	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
V20D	BROEDERSHOEK	Irrigation	0.107	0.03
V20D	CARSHALTON	Irrigation	0.136	0.06
V20D	CRIEFF	Irrigation	0.182	0.07
V20D	CROMPTON	Irrigation	0.068	0.04

V20D	CROMPTON FOLD	Irrigation	0.07	0.03
V20D	DADS	Irrigation	0.45	0.06
V20D	DALCRUE	Irrigation	0.191	0.08
V20D	DANESFORT NO. 2-	Irrigation	0.2	0.08
V20D	DANESFORT NO. 4-	Irrigation	0.22	0.1
V20D	D'LORO	Irrigation	0.15	0.06
V20D	Dummy Dam	Irrigation	3.6	1.9
V20D	ELLISDALE	Irrigation	0.18	0.07
V20D	FOUNTAINVALE	Irrigation	0.097	0.05
V20D	HYTHEDGE	Irrigation	0.245	0.12
V20D	INCHBRAKIE	Irrigation	0.365	0.1
V20D	KILMASHOGUE	Irrigation	0.065	0.03
V20D	LAKESIDE	Irrigation	0.095	0.06
V20D	LIBERTY HALL	Irrigation	0.12	0.04
V20D	LOCHWOOD	Irrigation	0.08	0.03
V20D	OAKDENE	Irrigation	0.08	0.03
V20D	RIFLE RANGE	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
V20D	ROSETTA DAM II	Irrigation	0.08	0.02
V20D	SHELTERED VALE	Irrigation	0.13	0.05
V20D	SHERMILK	Irrigation	0.07	0.03
V20D	SHERWOOD DAM NO 1	Irrigation	0.189	0.12
V20D	STRATHEARN	Irrigation	0.15	0.05
V20E	BRAYHILL TOP	Irrigation	0.114	0.03
V20E	CRAIG NEVIN PUMP	Irrigation	0.05	0.01
V20E	DOORNKLOOF	Irrigation	0.18	0.06
V20E	GOOD HOPE	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
V20E	HADLOW	Irrigation	0.075	0.03
V20E	HAINAULT DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.18	0.08
V20E	HAINAULT DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.085	0.03
V20E	HILL	Irrigation	0.09	0.04
V20E	HONEYDEW DAIRIES	Irrigation	0.3	0.18
V20E	INVERNESS	Irrigation	0.17	0.07
V20E	ISLAND	Irrigation	0.185	0.11
V20E	KELVIN GROVE	Irrigation	0.201	0.09
V20E	LANGHOLM NO.1-	Irrigation	0.055	0.03
V20E	LANGHOLM NO.2-	Irrigation	0.055	0.02
V20E	LITTLE LOCH	Irrigation	0.42	0.06
V20E	LORD KENSINGTON BOTTOM	Irrigation	0.1	0.08
V20E	MAPLESTON	Irrigation	0.095	0.03
V20E	NEW DELL DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.22	0.08
V20E	NEW DELL DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.075	0.03
V20E	NIEKERKSFONTEIN	Irrigation	0.06	0.02
V20E	NORMANS	Irrigation	0.15	0.04
V20E	OAKLANDS	Irrigation	0.25	0.02
V20E	OAKSPRINGS	Irrigation	0.25	0.08
V20E	OATESDALE DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.07	0.02
V20E	DATESDALE DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.064	0.02
V20E	DATESDALE DAMINO. 3		0.06	0.02
V20E			0.75	0.24
V20E	PLAINS	Irrigation	0.065	0.02
		Ingation	0.236	0.08
		Irrigation	0.9	0.38
		Ingation	0.15	0.04
VZUE	SHAKKOW	irrigation	0.15	0.05

V20E	SINKWAZI	Irrigation	0.07	0.03
V20E	SUMMER HILL STUD	Irrigation	0.2	0.05
V20E	WARLEY COMMON	Irrigation	0.34	0.18
V20F	CRAIGIE BURN	Irrigation	23.43	2.07
V20F	DAIRY	Irrigation	0.252	0.07
V20F	GREENWICH	Irrigation	0.445	0.16
V20F	MOUNT ALIDA TOP	Irrigation	0.13	0.04
V20F	ROY'S	Irrigation	0.23	0.12
V20H	DOUBLE DIAMOND	Irrigation	0.165	0.05
V20H	Dummy Dam	Irrigation	4.94	2.4
V20H	WATERFALL	Irrigation	0.167	0.06
		Domestic Water		
V31A	MARTINS	Use	0.295	0.02
		Domestic Water		
V31A	ZAAIHOEK	Use	192.986	12.43
		Domestic Water		
V31B	MAHAWANE	Use	2.1	0.51
V31C	DUMFIRMLINE	Irrigation	0.656	0.2
		Domestic Water		
V31E	CHELMSFORD	Use	198.438	34.43
	DURBAN NAVIGATION	Domestic Water		
V31E	COLLIERIES DAM NO. 2	Use	0.091	0.09
	DURBAN NAVIGATION	Domestic Water		
V31E	COLLIERIES DAM NO. 3	Use	0.363	0.25
	DURBAN NAVIGATION	Domestic Water		
V31E	COLLIERIES DAM NO. 4	Use	0.399	0.2
	DURBAN NAVIGATION	Domestic Water		
V31E	COLLIERIES DAM NO. 7	Use	0.213	0.09
	DURBAN NAVIGATION			
V31E	COLLIERY NO. 7 MINE SLURRY	Outflow	0.163	0.07
	DURBAN NAVIGATION			
V31E	COLLIERY NO. 7 MINE SLURRY	Outflow	0.258	0.12
V31E	FAURE DAM 2	Irrigation	0.18	0.03
V31E	FAURE DAM NO 1	Irrigation	0.053	0.02
V31E	HAIG	Irrigation	0.22	0.06
V31E	STERKFONTEIN	Irrigation	0.197	0.09
V31F	KINGSTON-ROOI	Irrigation	0.249	0.08
V31G	ALBERTSE DAM NO. 4	Irrigation	0.3	0.05
V31G	BOYSKRAAL DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.16	0.04
	DURBAN NAVIGATION	Domestic Water		
V31G	COLLIERIES DAM NO. 1	Use	0.545	0.16
		Domestic Water		
V31G	HATTINGSPRUIT DAM	Use	1.89	0.55
V31G	LANGLEY DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.25	0.04
V31G	LANGLEYDALE DAM NO. 3	Irrigation	0.3	0.02
		Domestic Water		
V31J	BOSCHOEK WEIR	Use	0.255	0.1
V31K	AMCOR	Leisure	0.48	0.28
V32C	ISANDSPRUIT IRRIGATION	Irrigation	0.123	0.08
V32D	BESPROEIINGS	Irrigation	0.25	0.1
V32D	KWAGGASDRIFT NO. 1	Irrigation	1	0.25
V32D	KWAGGASDRIFT NO. 2	Irrigation	0.2	0.1
V32E	ASHDENE DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.225	0.07
V32E	ASHDENE DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.054	0.04

		Domestic Water		
V32E	DONALD MCHARDY	Use	2.5	0.71
V32E	ELLIES GLEN	Irrigation	0.08	0.02
		Domestic Water		
V32E	KLIPRAND	Use	0.24	0.11
		Domestic Water		
V32E	LOWER MPATE	Use	0.128	0.02
		Domestic Water		
V32E	PRESTON	Use	0.268	0.13
		Domestic Water		
V32E	TOM WORTHINGTON	Use	1.9	0.55
		Domestic Water		
V32E	UPPER MPATE	Use	0.264	0.05
		Domestic Water		
V32E	VERDRUK	Use	0.809	0.29
V32G	A.F.C. MUHL MEMORIAL	Irrigation	0.75	0.34
V32G	HEYWOOD	Irrigation	0.236	0.11
V32G	WATER IRRIGATION	Irrigation	0.1	0.03
V40E	DOUGVALE	Irrigation	0.18	0.05
V50B	SILVERSTREAM	Irrigation	0.08	0.01
V50B	SILVERSTREAM DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.13	0.03
V50D	CROWN HILL	Irrigation	0.089	0.03
V50D	DUMBARTON	Irrigation	0.075	0.03
V60B	MIELIETUINHOEK	Irrigation	0.9	0.25
V60B	MON REPOS	Irrigation	0.05	0.02
	QUAGGAS KIRK DAM NO 1			
V60B	(KALLIE SE DAM)	Irrigation	0.321	0.06
V60B	QUAGGAS KIRK DAM NO. 2	Irrigation	0.09	0.02
V60B	UP GEORGE	Irrigation	0.155	0.03
V60B	WAAGSTUK	Irrigation	0.25	0.1
V60B	WATERFALL)	Irrigation	10.3	2.4
V60D	DAVELSVLAKTE DAM NO. 1	Irrigation	0.135	0.03
V60D	STRUISVOGELPOORT	Irrigation	0.023	0.01
V60D	VLEIPOORT	Irrigation	0.508	0.31
V60E	VALHALLA DAM NO 1	Information	0.09	0.03
V60E	VALHALLA DAM NO 2	Irrigation	0.062	0.03
V60E	VERMAAKSKRAAL	Irrigation	0.188	0.06
V60K	ALLENDALE LOWER	Irrigation	0.21	0.07
V70C	MERCURY	Irrigation	0.12	0.05
V70C	WAGENDRIFT	Irrigation	58.36	5.08
V70E	BAYHILL OAK	Irrigation	0.228	0.06
V70F	HILLANDALE	Irrigation	0.063	0.02
V70F	MTSHEZANA	Irrigation	0.51	0.15
V70F	OAKLANDS DAM NR. 2	Irrigation	0.15	0.04
V70G	MERTHLEY	Irrigation	1.138	0.74
V70G	TWYFELFONTEIN NO. 1-	Irrigation	0.122	0.02
V70G	TWYFELFONTEIN NO. 2-	Irrigation	0.153	0.02