## IN THE WATER TRIBUNAL

IN THE APPEAL OF：

J．BALDIE AND SONS Appellart
and

CHIEF DIRECTOR
THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AIID FORESTRY Responcent

## DECISION

This is an appeal against refúsal of water use：licence，in terms of section 41 of the National Water Act，1998，for the storage of 272500 cubic metres a id the taking of water from the dam for the irrigation of apples and pears．

It was intended that the proposed dam be situated on the Schoemanshoek River， in quaternary catchment 182B，which eventually drains to the Kouga River．

The Respondent did not approve the applicetion on the grounds that the water resources of the Langkloof catchment have been considered to be under s ress．

In support of its reasons, the hydrology departmer t, of the Respondent provided a Hydrological data (for Kouga Dam which is situeted below the Langkloof are a, where the Appellants intended constructing a dam as follows:
(i) Since January 1986 up to July 2006, Kouç a dam only overflowed for 618 days out of 7483 days;
(ii) From 24 November 1996 until 24 September 1993, the dam did ot overflow; and
(iii) No spillage occurred from 11 July 2003 ur til August 2006.

According to the Respondent spillage from Kouya dam is not a regularity with a fixed pattern.

The Appellants do not dispute the hydrologizal data which the Respordent provided except to contend that the catchment in question cannot be inder stress because water is flowing to the sea during winter months.

In our view, the Appellants' contention has 10 merit, taking into accourt the hydrological data which the Respondent provided.

In our view, therefore, the appeal should be dismissed
In the result, we decided as follows:
The appeal is dismissed.


W SINGO (MEMBER)

