PROJECT TITLE

SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER RESOURCES MONITORING, CRADLE OF HUMANKIND WORLD HERITAGE SITE, GAUTENG PROVINCE, SOUTH AFRICA

REPORT TITLE

WATER RESOURCES STATUS REPORT FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 2018 TO MARCH 2019

PROJECT No.

GT/GDED/092/2017

AUTHORS

R. Bugan, V. Mvandaba, E. Kapangaziwiri, L. Hill and P. McMillan

DATE

3 May 2019

REPORT No.

CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2019/0022/B

GWDMS No. & LIBRARY

277154 in PTA GENERAL

PREPARED FOR

Management Authority
Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site
Gauteng Department of Economic Development

PREPARED BY

Water Resources Competence Area
Natural Resources & the Environment
Council for Scientific and Industrial Research

SUMMARY

The Management Authority (MA) of the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site (COH WHS) appointed the CSIR to serve the water resources monitoring programme of the property following the outcome of bid GT/GDED/092/2017. A continuation of project BIQ005/2008 commissioned to develop a water resources monitoring programme for the property, the monitoring programme has since its inception in 2012 generated thirteen (13) bi-annual status quo reports. This document represents the fourteenth (14th) such report. It covers the timeframe April 2018 to March 2019.

An assessment of impacts on the water resources environment of the COH property takes a holistic view that includes a specific focus on those resources that are at greatest risk from an impact. In the context of the COH property, impacts are necessarily focussed on wastewater sources of which mine water (aka acid mine/rock drainage) rising in the Western Basin, and municipal effluent discharged from Mogale City's Percy Stewart Wastewater Treatment Works, are of primary concern. The outcome of monitoring activities as documented in this report informs the State of Conservation (SOC) of the property. The SOC is a primary concern of UNESCO's World Heritage Centre. The current outcome is summarised as follows.

- Rainfall data from the Sterkfontein Cave station are only available up to 4 December 2018. The total rainfall recorded at the HDS station during the 2018 hydrological year was 863 mm, which is above the 10 year average of 811 mm. The total rainfall recorded at the Sterkfontein Cave station during the 2018 hydrological year was 711 mm. The 7 year average at this station is 688 mm. The total rainfall recorded during the period October 2018 to March 2019 at the HDS station was 449 mm (the 11 year average is 654 mm). This represents the lowest wet season rainfall on record for this station.

- Chemical analyses of rainwater in the south-western portion of the property confirm the very low salinity and generally acidic nature of rainwater in the region. Inter-station differences in sulfate, total alkalinity and nitrate levels are not readily explained on the basis of the current understanding of temporal rainwater quality and distribution in the region.

- The discharge data from station A2H049 has not been updated by the Department of Water and Sanitation since May 2018. Despite 2017 being the wettest hydrological year in the record for the mine area (Western Basin) spanning nine years, with a rainfall of 1067 mm, this did not translate into an abnormal catchment discharge. The 40.6 Mm^3 closely approximates the median value of 41.4 Mm^3 for the last 8 years. The 2017 hydrological year therefore ranks 5th out of 8 after 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2014, and can be classified as an 'average' runoff year. The total 2018 half year (October 2017 to April 2018) discharge of 19.3 Mm^3 is slightly below the median discharge (20.8 Mm^3) for the same period in the last nine (9) years.

- The average annual discharge observed in the Bloubank Spruit system suggests that the mine water control and management measures implemented in the Western Basin have largely been successful in dealing with mine water decant and, as a result, in limiting the impact on the receiving water resources.

- The success of the mine water control and management measures has also manifested in the quality of mine water impacted surface water entering the karst terrane of the COH property, as evidenced in pH values which show a sustained increase from early 2017 up to end 2018, and in SEC values which show a decline in this period.

- The groundwater elevation in the south-western portion of the property (the Zwartkrans Basin), where the allogenic recharge component is greatest, reflects little change. A decline in groundwater level elevations in the central and especially the northern segments is evident. The lake water level in Sterkfontein Cave at the north-eastern discharge end of the Zwartkrans Basin most clearly reflects this decline. The lake water level recorded in September 2018, December 2018 and March 2019 represents the lowest elevations recorded since end-2010.

- During September 2018, flow was measured at six of the ten identified springs in the study area. During March 2019, flow was measured at eight of the ten identified springs. In most cases, the discharge measured in September 2018 and March 2019 was below the average discharge reported by Hobbs (2011). The highest discharges were realised for the Nouklip and Nash springs, respectively yielding 9.90 ML/d and 13.79 ML/d. It is evident that significant variability exists in the discharge measurements. This produces uncertainty associated with the data. It is however interpreted that the lack of rated cross sections for discharge measurements may be the cause of this variation.

- Groundwater in the south-western portion of the property continues to experience a compromised quality reflected in sulfate levels of up to ~2000 mg/L. A comparison of sulfate levels over the period June 2016 to December 2018 indicates that sulfate levels in ambient groundwater have remained stable at the south-western (ingress) end of the impacted zone, and are still increasing at the north-eastern (discharge) end.

- Severe bacteriological contamination from the municipal wastewater treatment works via the Blougat Spruit into the Bloubank Spruit is reflected in total coliform and *E. coli* values that routinely exceed a most probable number (MPN) count of 2419.6 per 100 mL. These counts on occasion reach values of 10's of thousand. It can be argued that the municipal wastewater poses an equally dire threat to the fitness for use of receiving surface water resources as does mine water. This threat extends into the Crocodile River as main stem of the Bloubank Spruit.

- The macroinvertebrate monitoring survey reveals the substantial difference in biotic condition between the largely natural / moderately modified Skeerpoort River and the severely impacted Bloubank Spruit system. This is best evidenced by the C and B ecological Category of the Skeerpoort River sites versus the E/F Category of the Bloubank Spruit sites. The Skeerpoort River results are similar to those reported in previous external studies, indicating little change. The Bloubank Spruit results are also similar to the September 2018 results. A comparison with previous results indicate a greater deterioration at the upstream site versus the marginal deterioration at the downstream site.

It is concluded that the water resources monitoring results documented in this report continues to confirm and consolidate the conceptual hydrophysical and hydrochemical model developed for the COH property in the situation assessment report. The inclusion of macroinvertebrate monitoring results adds to the rigour and substance of the water resources monitoring programme.

CONTENTS

FIGURES

TABLES

ANNEXURES

SYMBOLS, ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

~	approximately
>	greater than
<	less than
%	per cent (parts per hundred)
%ile	percentile
°C	degree(s) Celsius
Δh	change in head
a_h	hydrological year
aka	also known as
AMD	acid mine drainage
amsl	above mean sea level
ASPT	average score per taxon
bc	below collar
bs	below surface
C_5	concentration exceeded 95% of the time (5%ile)
C_{95}	concentration exceeded 5% of the time (95%ile)
ca.	circa (about)
COH WHS	Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site (aka ‘the property’)
CoV	coefficient of variation
CPOM	coarse particulate organic matter
CSIR	Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
DWS	Department of Water & Sanitation [formerly the Department of Water Affairs (DWA)]
EC	electrical conductivity
EoP	end-of-pipe
FFG	functional feeding group
FPOM	fine particulate organic matter
HDS	high density sludge
IHAS	integrated habitat assessment system
kg	kilogram(s)
km	kilometre(s)
L/d	litre(s) per day
L/s	litre(s) per second
L/s/km	litre(s) per second per kilometre
m	metre(s)
MA	Management Authority
meq/L	milliequivalent(s) per litre
mg/L	milligram(s) per litre
ML/d	megalitre(s) per day
mm	millimetre(s)
m^3/s	cubic metre(s) per second
Mm^3	million cubic metre(s)

Mm^3/a	million cubic metres per annum
MPN	most probable number
mS/m	milliSiemens per metre
n	count
n.s.	not specified
pp	pages
Q_{50}	discharge exceeded 50% of the time (50%ile or median)
REGM	Randfontein Estates Gold Mine
RU	Rand Uranium (earlier owner of the original REGM)
SASS	South African Scoring System
SD	standard deviation
SDM	synoptic discharge measurement
SEC	specific electrical conductance (electrical conductivity or EC @ 25°C)
SOC	State of Conservation
SRP	strategic research project
SS	Sibanye-Stillwater (formerly SibanyeGold and current owner of the original REGM)
TCTA	Trans-Caledon Tunnel Authority
TDS	total dissolved solids
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Science and Cultural Organisation
WHC	World Heritage Committee (could also denote World Heritage Centre)
WWTW	wastewater treatment works

1 INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

The Management Authority (MA) of the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site (COH WHS) appointed the CSIR to serve the water resources monitoring programme of the property (**Figure 1**) following the outcome of bid GT/GDED/092/2017. Since its inception in 2012, the monitoring programme has to date generated thirteen (13) bi-annual status quo reports (Hobbs, 2012; 2013a; 2013b; 2014a; 2014b; 2015a; 2015b; 2016a; 2016b; 2017a; 2017b; Hobbs et al., 2018; and Bugan et al., 2018). This document represents the fourteenth (14th) such report. It covers the period April 2018 to March 2019.



Figure 1 Definition of the study area in regard to the regional geology, surface water drainages, quaternary catchments and other geographic locations for orientation

2 TIMELINE OF KEY EVENTS

An updated timeline of key events since the start of mine water decant in 2002 and incorporating the reporting period, is presented in **Figure 2**. The most recent landmark event on the timeline is the completion of a State of Conservation (SOC) report (DEA, 2018) submitted to UNESCO's World Heritage Centre (WHC) for examination by the World Heritage Committee. The outcome of this examination will set out the concerns of the WHC for the property, and which need to be addressed and responded to in the monitoring programme going forward. Progress with the resolution of the WHC's specific concerns will be documented in forthcoming State of Conservation reports.



Figure 2 Timeline of key events relevant to the project and this report

3 RAINFALL

3.1 Quantity

The monthly precipitation record for the period October 2008 to March 2019 at the Sibanye-Stillwater (SS) [formerly Sibanye Gold (SG)] rainfall station HDS on the divide at the water treatment plant in the mine area, and station SC at the Sterkfontein Cave ~13 km to the north, is shown in **Figure 3**. Data from the Sterkfontein Cave station are only available up to 4 December 2018. The total rainfall recorded at the HDS station during the 2018 hydrological year was 863 mm, which is above the 10 year average of 811 mm. The total rainfall recorded at the Sterkfontein Cave station during the 2018 hydrological year was 711 mm. The 7 year average at this station is 688 mm. The total rainfall recorded during the period October 2018 to March 2019 at the HDS station was 449 mm (the 11 year average is 654 mm). This represents the lowest wet season rainfall on record for this station. The wet (summer) season precipitation record in the mine area is compared to that at Sterkfontein Cave in **Figure 4**. These comparisons reveal the following:

- the total wet season rainfall of the 2018 and 2019 hydrological years (October to March) at the HDS station was 741 mm and 449 mm respectively;
- the total rainfall recorded at the HDS station during the 2018 hydrological year was 863 mm;
- the total rainfall recorded at the Sterkfontein Cave station during the 2018 hydrological year was 711 mm;



Figure 3 Monthly precipitation in the mine area (station HDS) from October 2008 to March 2019, and the contemporaneous record for the Sterkfontein Cave station from June 2010 to December 2018

The common monthly rainfall record for the HDS and Sterkfontein Cave stations is presented in **Figure 5**. The data set (n = 103) excludes months of no rainfall (n = 18) at both stations in order to remove the false correlation created by common null values, and shows a good correlation (R^2 = 0.84, p

<0.01). The Sterkfontein Cave station experiences ~21% less rainfall on a monthly basis than station HDS. Using the linear regression equation presented in **Figure 5** the wet season rainfall (October 2018 to March 2019) at the Sterkfontein Cave station would be approximately 367 mm (the 8 year average is 590 mm).



Figure 4 Total wet season (summer) rainfall in the mine area (HDS station) in the past eleven hydrological years, also showing the comparison with that for the available contemporaneous Sterkfontein Cave record; MSP denotes mean summer precipitation



Figure 5 Correlation of monthly rainfall at Sterkfontein Cave with that at the HDS mine water treatment plant in the mine area for the period of common record June 2010 to November 2018

3.2 Quality

The chemical composition of rainwater in the south-western portion of the property is reflected in the samples obtained from 4 totalling rainfall stations. The stations are operated and maintained by the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). They have a rainfall equivalent capacity of ~450 mm, and are therefore typically emptied every 2 to 4 months depending on season. These circumstances dictate that the chemistry of the collected rainwater represents a mixture of that contributed by the various precipitation events in the period of collection. The results are therefore not representative of specific events, a factor that cautions against the typicality of the laboratory-determined pH values. The most recent water chemistry results are presented in **Table 1**. These mainly confirm the very low salinity and generally acidic nature of rainwater in the region. Inter-station differences in sulfate, total alkalinity and nitrate levels are not readily explained on the basis of the current understanding of temporal rainwater quality and distribution in the region.

Table 1 Composite rainwater chemistry in the south-western portion of the property in the period late-September to late-October 2018

Variable/analyte	Unit	Rainfall Station			
		HDS[1]	**GP00303**[2]	**GP00301**[3]	**SC**[4]
Specific electrical conductance*	mS/m @ 25°C	18	13	14	8
pH*	$-\log_{10}\alpha_{H+}$	5.4	7.4	5.4	5.8
Calcium	mg Ca/L	6.7	3.2	3.4	3.4
Magnesium	mg Mg/L	1.4	0.9	1.1	1.6
Sodium	mg Na/L	1.7	1.2	1.7	1.1
Potassium	mg K/L	3.8	3.4	3	4
Chloride	mg Cl/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
Sulfate	mg SO_4/L	32	20	21	15
Total alkalinity	mg $CaCO_3$/L	2.6	16	2.7	2.8
Nitrate + nitrite	mg N/L	11	5.8	13	4.7

* Laboratory values

[1] At the high density sludge plant in the mine area

[2] At monitoring borehole GP00303, Vlakplaats 160IQ, Tarlton

[3] At monitoring borehole GP00301, Sterkfontein 173IQ

[4] At Sterkfontein Cave

4 SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY

4.1 Physical Hydrology

4.1.1 Surface Water Discharge

The DWS gauging station A2H029 measures the discharge from the Bloubank Spruit system, and is located about 700 m upstream of the system's confluence with the Crocodile River (**Figure 1**). The 46-year discharge record from 1972 to 2018 for this catchment (Quaternary A21D) provides the monthly statistics reported in

Table 2. The record is extended and updated as frequently as possibly when data are obtained from the station and processed by the DWS. The discharge data from station A2H049 has not been updated by DWS since May 2018 and therefore the data and analysis presented below is the same as that presented and discussed by Bugan et al. (2018).

The discharge per hydrological year shown in **Figure 6** indicates that the 2017 hydrological year produced a modest discharge of 40.6 Mm^3. This is similar to the median value of 41.4 Mm^3 for the previous 8 years. Only discharge records for the first (October to December 2017) and second (January to April 2018) quarters of the 2018 hydrological year are currently available. **Figure 6** shows total annual discharge in Mm^3 only for complete hydrological years up to 2017. The total 2018 1st quarter discharge (9.6 Mm^3) is marginally greater than the median discharge (9.3 Mm^3) for the same period in the last nine (9) years, and the total 2018 half year discharge of 19.3 Mm^3 is slightly below the median discharge (20.8 Mm^3) for the same period in the last nine (9) years.

Table 2 Statistical analysis of Bloubank Spruit monthly discharge data gauged at station A2H049 in the period October 1972 to April 2018 (latest data as at April 2019)

Variable	Month											
	Oct	**Nov**	**Dec**	**Jan**	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**Jun**	**Jul**	**Aug**	**Sep**
Count (n)	44	44	45	45	46	46	46	44	45	45	44	44
Minimum	0.682	0.815	0.711	0.721	0.706	0.828	0.886	0.847	0.894	0.939	0.890	0.770
5%ile	0.792	0.867	1.044	1.097	0.902	1.075	1.190	0.998	0.964	0.961	0.921	0.803
Mean	1.903	1.909	2.305	2.749	2.705	3.050	2.459	2.297	2.103	2.086	1.961	1.823
Median	1.684	1.745	2.092	2.513	2.296	2.550	2.060	1.925	1.797	1.695	1.676	1.574
95%ile	3.799	2.952	4.488	5.320	6.280	7.729	5.309	4.882	4.115	4.058	3.658	3.508
Maximum	4.211	4.577	5.900	12.079	10.619	11.351	6.081	5.373	5.166	4.754	4.055	4.342
SD	0.930	0.834	1.099	1.909	1.910	2.187	1.296	1.197	0.976	0.944	0.876	0.882
CoV (%)	48.9	43.7	47.7	69.5	70.6	71.7	52.7	52.1	46.4	45.3	44.7	48.4

- *All units are Mm^3 unless otherwise indicated.*
- *Analysis excludes months with missing and station rating exceedance data, but includes unaudited (recent) and estimated data*



STATISTICS

Variable	Whole record	1973 to 2009	2010 to 2017
Count	43	37	8
Minimum	10.787 Mm^3/a	10.787 Mm^3/a	33.394 Mm^3/a
5%ile	11.890 Mm^3/a	11.651 Mm^3/a	33.812 Mm^3/a
Mean	26.304 Mm^3/a	23.074 Mm^3/a	43.737 Mm^3/a
Median	24.339 Mm^3/a	19.335 Mm^3/a	41.429 Mm^3/a
95%ile	54.144 Mm^3/a	37.093 Mm^3/a	57.541 Mm^3/a
Maximum	66.851 Mm^3/a	66.851 Mm^3/a	59.125 Mm^3/a
SD	13.160 Mm^3/a	10.499 Mm^3/a	9.942 Mm^3/a
CoV	50%	46%	23%

Figure 6 Graph of Bloubank Spruit annual discharge gauged at station A2H049 for the period October 1972 (a_h 1973) to September 2017 (a_h 2017)

The instantaneous monthly flow pattern at station A2H049 for the complete available record period October 1972 to April 2018 is shown in **Figure 7**. The record reveals a consistent instantaneous low flow, or base discharge, in the order of 0.8 to 1 m^3/s since 2010. This is driven in roughly equal proportions by autogenic sources in the form of high-yielding karst springs, and allogenic sources in the form of treated/neutralised mine water from the Western Basin with a subordinate contribution of municipal wastewater effluent from the Percy Stewart Wastewater Treatment Works.

Despite 2017 being the wettest year in the last ten years, it only produced the 3rd greatest instantaneous discharge (~2.2. m^3/s) after the 4.1 m^3/s of 2014 and the 2.8 m^3/s of 2010 (**Figure 7**), implying that the rainfall associated with this discharge was rather spread out over the hydrological year rather than concentrated in a few months, to significantly impact on the observed discharge.

For the 2018 hydrological year, based on the currently available records from October 2017 to April 2018 the highest mean monthly instantaneous discharge was about 1.45 m^3/s.



Figure 7 Long-term monthly hydrograph of the Bloubank Spruit at station A2H049 for the period October 1972 to April 2018 (latest data as at March 2019)

4.1.2 Surface Water Fluxes

The magnitude of surface water loss to predominantly the karst aquifer is quantified by the difference in measured streamflow discharge at stations F11S12 (Brickworks Dam), at the lower end of the Tweelopie Spruit, and at MRd, about 3.9 km further downstream on the Riet Spruit (**Figure 10**). **Figure 10** shows the location of the surface water quantity and quality monitoring stations.

The record of these measurements (**Figure 8**) shows a recent loss of 29.1 ML/d (December 2017). This is similar in magnitude to the losses experienced in Period 2 of the record, and exceed by 40% the greatest loss experienced in Period 3. The correlation with the Period 2 data set is confirmed in **Figure 9**. It is also notable that the December 2017 discharge (50.6 ML/d) at station F11S12 (the surface 'inflow') is the greatest measured in the complete record. This was assumed to have been an indication of an increased discharge from the mine water treatment plant. Equally notable was that the December 2017 discharge (21.5 ML/d) at station MRd (the surface 'outflow') was also significantly high, again emphasising the increasing importance of the treated/neutralised mine water contribution as an allogenic component of downstream surface flow in the Bloubank Spruit system recognised by Hobbs (2017a).

The updated records for December 2018 and March 2019 indicate losses of 5 ML/d and 7.8 ML/d (**Figure 8**) respectively, the apparent result of reduced measured flows at F11S12. This could most likely be attributed to possible decreased discharge from the mine water treatment plant and the fact that 2018 has been a hydrologically drier year than 2017 as confirmed by the reduced instantaneous mean monthly discharge (**Figure 7**). Compared to the losses reported in October 2018 (Bugan et al., 2018), the recently estimated losses are significantly more and are better comparable to the losses recorded for June 2018. Similar to the June and October 2018 estimated losses, the recent records show correlation with the Period 3 data set (**Figure 9**).

Two observations that were noted during monitoring in March 2019 do however introduce a degree of uncertainty to the flows reported. Firstly, a recreational dam (unknown capacity) was constructed (end December 2018/early January 2019) upstream of the F11S12 gauging site and regrowth of vegetation (reeds) has altered the hydrological conditions at the site. Secondly, two of the five culverts at MRd were overgrown with vegetation (grasses) and therefore flow was diverted to the remaining three culverts. Additionally, overgrowth of vegetation is also evident on the sides of the river. Thus partial blockage of the culverts may be occurring below the bridge leading to a reduced flow velocity. A control discharge measuring site, about 4 m upstream of the culverts was identified for flow measurement. At this site all the water is contained within the channel and a discharge value of 3.32 ML/d was obtained, which is ~2.1 ML/d more than the discharge measured at the culverts. This variance in the flow measured confirms the impact of the increased vegetation and possible blockage of the flowing culverts on the rate of flow measured at the gauging site. Future flow records will require the vegetation to be removed ahead of the monitoring runs so as to acquire a more representative discharge for the site.

4.2 Chemical Hydrology

4.2.1 Mine Water Impact

4.2.1.1 Tweelopie Spruit / Riet Spruit

The chemistry of surface water in the Tweelopie Spruit continues to be monitored on a weekly basis by Sibanye-Stillwater at five localities (**Figure 10**) from where it leaves the mine property down to its confluence with the Riet Spruit at Glen Almond north of the Krugersdorp Game Reserve (KGR), a distance of ~6.6 km. The monitoring of the variables pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and sulfate (SO_4) dates back to May 2004. The results for two of these stations, namely the (upstream) Hippo and (downstream) Brickworks (F11S12) dams, are presented in **Figure 11** (pH), **Figure 12** (SEC), **Figure 13** (SO_4), **Figure 14** (Fe), **Figure 15** (Mn) and **Figure 16** (U). The ‘upstream’ and ‘downstream’ positions of these stations renders the results of the other three stations superfluous for the purposes of this report.



Figure 8 Graph of streamflow and influent losses to the karst aquifer in the lower Riet Spruit valley



Figure 9 Correlation of streamflow at stations F11S12 and MRd in the lower Riet Spruit valley, with vertical error bars denoting ±10% at F11S12 and horizontal bars ±5% at MRd



This map is based on the published 1:50 000 scale topocadastral maps 2527DC Hekpoort (4th edition, 1996), 2527DD Broederstroom (5th edition, 2001), 2627BA Randfontein (5th edition, 2002) and 2627BB Roodepoort (6th edition, 2002). State Copyright of these sources is herewith acknowledged in their use.

Figure 10 Locality map of surface water quantity and quality monitoring stations

The patterns revealed in **Figure 11** to **Figure 16** reflect the temporal variation and trend in the respective variable values in surface water as it flows through the KGR. The period(s) of most severe and sustained mine water impact have previously been discussed in Hobbs (2014b). Of relevance to the period covered by this report is the recovery of the mine water treatment and management measures to ‘operationally optimal’ levels (period E - present in the figures). This follows the excursion in the 2017 summer of mine water discharges to poorer (suboptimal) levels because of copious decant volumes. The recovery is most pronounced in the pH values (**Figure 11**), which show a sustained increase up to end 2018, and the SEC values (**Figure 12**), which show a decline in this period.

The difference between pH values recorded at the Hippo Dam and F11S12 stations is particularly distinct since the 2017 summer. The difference amounts to approximately between 1.5 and 2 pH units, being lower at the downstream F11S12 station. This is unequivocal evidence of hydrolysis in the stream reach between the two stations even under circumstances where the discharge from the mine area comprised mainly treated/neutralised mine water with very low iron levels (**Figure 14**). The pH pattern at the downstream F11S12 station shows a decreasing trend since late 2018, the reason for which is not readily explained by the currently available data. An increase in the sulphate concentration, and resultantly also the SEC values, is evident in the most recent data from (January 2019 – present) from the upstream Hippo Dam station. Manganese (**Figure 15**) remains the only other of the graphed variables that shows a distinct excursion in the most recent period.

A statistical analysis of the data associated with each of the periods of record A–B, B–C, C–D, D–E and E– defined by the divisions recognised in **Figure 11** to **Figure 16** is presented in **Table 3**. The result provides a quantitative measure of the variable-specific differences between each period at each station as well as between stations. The excursions to a poorer quality discharge associated with the B–C and the D–E periods are reflected in the median values of all the variables (with the possible exception of iron) at both stations. This observation suggests that the very wet 2017 hydrological year did not manifest a similarly adverse impact on the quality of mine water discharges to the environment as was associated with the 2010, 2011 and 2014 hydrological years. The most likely driver of these circumstances is the mine water control and management measures implemented in the Western Basin, the recent efficacy of which is reflected in the median and 95%ile values in period E– that in most cases show the lowest values across the five periods of analysis.

4.2.1.2 Bloubank Spruit

The statistical overview of synoptic surface water chemistry data for DWS flow gauging station A2H049 at the lower end of the Bloubank Spruit system presented in previous reports such as this, has been amended to bring out substantial temporal changes in variable-specific values. The revised overview presented in **Table 4** eliminates the favourable bias imposed by the long-term whole record data set on the statistics for the much shorter more recent period of mine water impact. For example, the whole record median SO_4 value of 85 mg/L (see Hobbs, 2017b) is similar to the 84 mg/L for the period August 2002 to January 2010, and substantially less than the 266 mg/L of the period since January 2010 (**Table 4**). Further validation for this amendment is provided by **Figure 21**.

Table 4 reflects statistics for a ‘pre-impact’ period (August 2002 to January 2010) and a ‘post-impact’ period (February 2010 to May 2018). The available dataset has not been updated by the DWS since May 2018. None of the variables/analytes reported for either the ‘pre-impact’ or the ‘post-impact’ periods exceed the respective SANS (2015a; 2015b) health-related limits for potable water, where specified, even at the C_5 (95%ile) level and, in the case of pH, also at the C_{95} (5%ile) level.



Figure 11 pH pattern of Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period September 2004 to March 2019



Figure 12 Specific electrical conductivity pattern of Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period September 2004 to March 2019



Figure 13 Sulfate pattern of Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period September 2004 to March 2019



Figure 14 Iron pattern of Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period June 2009 to March 2019



Figure 15 Manganese pattern in Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period June 2009 to March 2019



Figure 16 Uranium pattern in Tweelopie Spruit surface water in the period June 2009 to March 2019

Table 3 Summary statistics of period-related surface water chemistry variability in the Tweelopie Spruit

Variable	Statistical Parameter	Hippo Dam					F11S12 (Brickworks Dam)				
		A—B(1)	B—C(2)	C—D(3)	D—E(4)	E—(5)	A—B(1)	B—C(2)	C—D(3)	D—E(4)	E—(5)
pH (–$\log_{10}\alpha_{H+}$)	n	176	129	83	57	200	173	128	83	57	207
	5%ile	3.6	2.8	5.9	3.2	6.7	3.9	2.7	5.3	3.0	5.4
	Mean	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Median	7.2	3.2	7.2	4.9	8.0	6.9	3.0	7.0	5.0	6.7
	95%ile	9.3	5.7	7.6	7.1	8.8	7.4	3.9	7.4	7.4	7.5
	SD	1.5	1.0	0.8	1.6	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.9	1.7	0.6
	CoV (%)	22.0	30	11	32	10.2	14	14	13	32	9.8
SEC (mS/m)	n	175	129	83	57	200	172	128	83	57	207
	Mean	374	391	350	376	326.3	268	332	281	329	264.3
	Median	379	393	354	377	324	283	330	276	323	290.7
	95%ile	426	438	395	417	368	329	378	350	391	290
	SD	32	33	34	28	24.9	48	29	34	34	316.7
	CoV (%)	9	8	10	7	7.6	18	9	12	10	16.5
SO_4 (mg/L)	n	176	128	82	56	200	171	128	83	56	207
	Mean	2448	2846	2520	2585	2124.1	1636	2264	1879	2137	1824.8
	Median	2460	2815	2525	2541	2100	1760	2240	1870	2075	1810
	95%ile	2828	3220	2770	2950	2491.5	2015	2593	2148	2640	2050
	SD	262	226	193	231	213.4	349	245	268	274	147.6
	CoV (%)	11	8	8	9	10	21	11	14	13	8.1
Fe (mg/L)	n	33	129	83	57	183	33	128	82	57	167
	Mean	4.7	168.4	2.5	8.9	0.1	0.3	72.9	0.47	4.9	0.0
	Median	0.4	163.0	0.03	0.10	0.0	0.2	64.0	0.08	0.04	0.0
	95%ile	13.8	365.2	3.1	52.6	0.4	0.8	186.3	1.00	25.7	0.1
	SD	18.8	116.2	13.10	19.5	0.1	0.3	57.7	1.9	12.2	0.0
	CoV (%)	399	69	528	220	185.8	94	79	407	2518	111.7
Mn (mg/L)	n	34	129	83	57	200	33	128	83	57	167
	Mean	18.1	62.7	16.5	17.3	6.5	10.3	50.3	14.4	16.1	3.6
	Median	9.8	65.0	11.0	16.0	6.5	2.7	50.0	10.0	14.0	2.2
	95%ile	74.0	95.0	56.1	32.6	7.3	46.2	76.0	45.0	30.4	10
	SD	27.6	23.5	18.0	9.1	0.5	19.4	17.6	15.8	9.9	3.8
	CoV (%)	153	38	109	53	8.2	188	35	110	61	104.5

(1) 09/2006 – 01/2010 (2) 02/2010 – 07/2012 (3) 08/2012 – 02/2014 (4) 03/2014 – 03/2015 (5) 04/2015 – 05/2018

Table 4 Synoptic overview of Bloubank Spruit water chemistry at station A2H049 in the periods August 2002 to January 2010 and February 2010 to May 2018 (latest data as at 21 May 2018)

Variable	**Statistical Parameter**														**SANS (2015a)**[(1)]
	Period August 2002 to January 2010							**Period February 2010 to May 2018**							
	n	**5%ile**	**Mean**	**Median**	**95%ile**	**SD**	**CoV (%)**	**n**	**5%ile**	**Mean**	**Median**	**95%ile**	**SD**	**CoV (%)**	
pH ($-\log_{10}\alpha_{H+}$)	251	7.7	—	8.1	8.4	0.2	2	202	7.5	8.2	8.2	8.5	0.3	3.7	5.0–9.7
SEC (mS/m)	232	51.1	61.2	62.3	66.8	5.0	8	199	61.5	93.4	90.1	126.0	23.2	24.8	<170
TDS (mg/L)	137	347.6	438.5	448.9	479.3	41.5	9	134	474.7	695.8	674.9	980.1	171.9	24.7	<1200
Ca (mg/L)	172	40.1	51.3	52.1	57.7	5.31	10	191	54.3	96.3	90.7	153.7	33.0	34.3	n.s.
Mg (mg/L)	171	23.3	30.2	30.4	34.9	4.8	16	192	28.4	44.2	42.8	59.7	10.6	24.0	n.s.
Na (mg/L)	185	19.1	27.5	27.7	34.0	4.7	17	166	28.3	41.7	40.5	58.4	10.1	24.3	<200
K (mg/L)	173	1.4	2.4	2.4	3.4	0.7	27	169	2.9	4.1	4.0	5.7	1.0	24.4	n.s.
Cl (mg/L)	175	29.2	36.2	36.3	43.5	4.8	13	198	31.3	38.8	38.5	45.3	5.3	13.6	<300
SO_4 (mg/L)	191	63.4	85.8	83.9	110.0	15.1	18	189	96.8	284.0	247.8	475.0	132.7	46.7	<500
HCO_3 (mg/L)	185	146.1	188.1	190.2	216.1	21.1	11	189	242.4	422.2	404.4	621.6	121.6	28.8	n.s.
NO_3+NO_2 (mg N/L)	214	3.294	4.740	4.414	7.085	1.190	25	193	3.5	5.6	5.4	8.3	1.6	27.7	<11
PO_4 (mg P/L)	247	0.043	0.189	0.158	0.451	0.131	69	197	0.005	0.097	0.048	0.261	0.124	127.8	n.s.
Si (mg/L)	247	4.93	5.84	5.83	6.69	0.60	10	198	4.95	5.64	5.60	6.59	0.61	10.86	n.s.
Fe (mg/L)	69	0.006	0.035	0.014	0.120	0.056	163	61	0.004	0.019	0.012	0.072	0.024	126.3	<2
Mn (mg/L)	69	0.001	0.049	0.002	0.146	0.226	459	61	0.001	0.162	0.003	0.05	0.855	527.8	<0.5
Al (mg/L)	65	0.001	0.060	0.014	0.091	0.262	437	60	0.003	0.019	0.009	0.057	0.026	136.8	<0.3

(1) Standard health-related limit for consumption of 2 L/d over 70 years by a 60 kg person

4.2.2 Municipal Wastewater Impact

The Blougat Spruit is the conduit for municipal wastewater effluent into the COH property. The reticence of local government to provide water quality data for wastewater released to the Blougat Spruit from the municipal wastewater treatment works (WWTW) has been documented previously (e.g. Hobbs, 2016a; 2016b; 2017a). Water samples collected ~1 km downstream of the WWTW end-of-pipe (EoP) provide a measure of the bacteriological contamination in the Blougat Spruit from this facility. This impact extends into the Bloubank Spruit in its passage through the south-eastern portion of the property.

The severity of the bacteriological contamination is reflected in total coliform and *E. coli* values that routinely exceed a most probable number (MPN) count of 2419.6 per 100 mL. These counts on occasion reach values of 10’s of thousand. It can be argued that the municipal wastewater poses an equally dire threat to the fitness for use of receiving surface water resources as does mine water. This threat extends into the Crocodile River as main stem of the Bloubank Spruit.

4.3 Salt Load

The combination of flow and hydrochemical data allows for a re-assessment of the total dissolved solids (TDS) (**Figure 17**) and SO_4 (**Figure 18**) load pattern and trend manifested at station A2H049. The flow and hydrochemcial data from station A2H049 has not been updated by DWS since May 2018 and therefore the data and analysis presented below is the same as that presented and discussed by Bugan et al. (2018). The long-term monthly trend in the TDS load delivered by the Bloubank Spruit (**Figure 17**) indicates an increasing salt load since early-2007. The text box in **Figure 17** lists the median and 95%ile values associated with different periods of record. The period February 2010 to July 2012 reveals a significant increase in the median and 95%ile values. This is readily attributable to the very high salt loads experienced in the 2011 hydrological year. Similar conditions prevailed in the subsequent period (August 2012 to March 2018) as indicated in **Figure 17** (text box). An evaluation of the subregional and regional temporal salt loads delivered to Hartbeespoort Dam is presented by Hobbs (2017c).

The long-term monthly trend in the SO_4 load delivered by the Bloubank Spruit (**Figure 18**) mimics the TDS load pattern (**Figure 17**) in the period since early-2010. This is unsurprising under circumstances where SO_4 comprises ~62% of the major ion concentration in mine water. Of interest is the observation that the most recent period (August 2012 to March 2018) exhibits a substantially higher median value of 1017 t/m compared to the 526 t/m of the preceding period (February 2010 to July 2012). These circumstances indicate that the most recent period experienced consistently higher sulfate loads than previously, but with lower maximum values, i.e. less variability. This is confirmed in **Figure 19** and **Figure 20**, which reflect more recent SO_4**:**TDS ratio values in the range 45 to 50%.

The closer inspection in **Figure 21** of the SO_4 data recorded at station A2H049 indicates a trebling of the SO_4 concentration (from ~120 mg/L to ~380 mg/L) between mid-2010 and mid-2014, followed by a period of comparatively consistent rising concentrations from 360 to 415 mg/L to the end of the record. These circumstances are confirmed by the load and concentration statistics presented in the text boxes



Figure 17 Long-term (June 1979 to March 2018) monthly TDS load pattern and trend in the Bloubank Spruit at station A2H049



Figure 18 Long-term (June 1979 to April 2018) monthly SO_4 load pattern and trend in the Bloubank Spruit at station A2H049



Figure 19 Long-term (June 1979 to March 2018) trend in the SO_4:TDS ratio at station A2H049



Figure 20 Pattern and trend of the SO_4:TDS ratio at station A2H049 since the start of mine water decant in the Western Basin in mid-2002



LOAD STATISTICS

Period	50%ile (t/m)	95%ile (t/m)
post-July 2002(1)	151	244
post-January 2010(2)	526	2053
post-July 2012(3)	1017	1886

(1) August 2002 to January 2010
(2) February 2010 to July 2012
(3) August 2012 to March 2018

CONCENTRATION STATISTICS

Period	50%ile (mg/L)	95%ile (mg/L)
post-July 2002(1)	84	100
post-January 2010(2)	135	335
post-July 2012(3)	351	474

(1) August 2002 to January 2010
(2) February 2010 to July 2012
(3) August 2012 to March 2018

Figure 21 Monthly SO_4 concentration and load pattern and trend in the Bloubank Spruit at station A2H049 since mid-2002

5 GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY

5.1 Physical Hydrogeology

5.1.1 Monitoring Framework

An inspection of the more recent potentiometric response in DWS monitoring boreholes located downstream of the mine area is presented in **Figure 22.** The boreholes are grouped into a southern, a central and a northern segment to distinguish between their relative locations in the downstream receiving hydrogeologic environment. This distinction is brought out by the use of absolute groundwater level elevations that describe a decrease from south to north both within and between the respective segments.

5.1.2 Subregional Groundwater levels

The groundwater hydrographs presented in **Figure 22** reflect little change in the southern segment in the reporting period. A decline in groundwater level elevations in the central and especially the northern segments is evident. The lake water level in Sterkfontein Cave at the north-eastern discharge end of the Zwartkrans Basin most clearly reflects this decline as shown in **Figure 23**.



Figure 22 Distribution of DWS monitoring boreholes with groundwater hydrographs (right); brown arrow denotes principal direction of impacted groundwater flow, and blue arrow direction of natural karst groundwater flow

5.1.3 Sterkfontein Cave Water Level

The international significance of Sterkfontein Cave as the flagship fossil site on the property focuses attention on any perceived impact to this site. The substantial rise of ~3 m in the cave water level through 2010 to early-2012 drew attention to the hydrostatic behaviour of the cave water level, and is discussed in detail by Hobbs and de Meillon (2017).

The cave water level response in the last 13 years is illustrated in **Figure 23**. The hydrograph shows that the fluctuation since mid-2010 has amounted to ~1 m, varying in the elevation range 1439 ± 0.5 m above mean sea level (amsl). It is postulated that the cave lake will maintain this position into the future because of sustained greater discharge in the upper tributaries of the Bloubank Spruit (the Tweelopie/Riet Spruit system and the Blougat Spruit) driving allogenic groundwater recharge of mine water and municipal wastewater, respectively, in the Zwartkrans Basin. The groundwater level recorded in September 2018, December 2018 and March 2019 represents the lowest elevations recorded since end-2010.



Figure 23 Groundwater level response pattern and trend in borehole SF1 that serves as a proxy for the lake water level in Sterkfontein Cave; shaded area denotes magnitude of fluctuation since mid-2010

5.1.4 Discharge from the Dolomitic Springs

The discharge from the dolomitic springs in the COH WHS is measured every six months. The bi-annual measurement frequency targets the end of the wet (typically March/April) and dry (typically September/October) seasons, as appropriate measurement times. This is to assess the variation of the possible contributions of the spring discharge to the flow in the river systems, to add to the limited currently available spring discharge database and to allow for the identification of any future impact. During September 2018, flow was measured at six (**Table 5**) of the ten identified springs. During March 2019, flow was measured at eight (**Table 5**) of the ten identified springs. At some sites no suitable cross-sectional area for measurement could be identified in the vicinity of these springs. It should however be borne in mind that only a portion of the cross-section was gauged at the Tweefontein and Nash springs during March 2019, i.e. only a portion of the width of the stream generated by the discharge from the springs. These areas were identified as suitable ‘temporary’ sites that can provide a rough estimate of the discharge from these springs. The following observations were made about the discharge measurements and results:

- The Zwartkrans spring occurs along the Bloubank Spruit. It should be noted that at the time of discharge determination of this spring, there was continuous direct abstraction from the spring by nearby residents. This therefore implies that the actual contribution of the spring to the river flow, and the consequent discharge determined, may have been compromised, leading to a possible under-estimation.
- In addition to the gauging site that was monitored in September 2018 at Plover’s Lake, a control site was identified further (~10 m) downstream where the flow of the stream converges into a small culvert. It has been observed that the rate of flow is highly impacted by the natural vegetation (reeds) which occur within and along the stream, resulting in reduced discharge at the established gauging site. At the control site the total rate of flow was measured to be 0.047 m^3/s (4.09 ML/d), which is double the discharge measured at the gauging site. It is therefore proposed that future monitoring could be conducted at both these sites to establish a more representative discharge for the Plover’s Lake springs.
- Due to the upstream section of the Kromdraai River at the Olera Farm gauging site being inaccessible, the flow of the Kromdraai River at this point was measured by way of a float using a sealed plastic bottle. The rate of flow was measured over a distance of three 10 m sections (giving a total length of 30 m) and was observed to be ~0.19 m/s. Further downstream at the Ekuthuleni property, the rate of flow averaged at 0.22 m/s. An estimation of the discharge associated with the spring is provided in **Table 5**, based on the width and average depth of the cross-sectional area as measured in September 2018.
- The discharge at Danielsrust Spring was recorded as 1.52 m^3/s. However, to account for outflows observed near the gauging site, an uncertainty bound of ~25-40% was added to the flow giving an approximate discharge range of 1.90 m^3/s - 2.13 m^3/s.
- The discharge (1.49 m^3/s) of the Broederstroom spring was gauged ~100 m downstream from the eye of the spring as prescribed in the monitoring system manual (Hobbs, 2011). It was noted however that pooling, caused by fallen branches and debris, resulted in a reduced measured rate of flow. A control site was established a further ~50 m downstream where all the flow converges into a concrete furrow that is clear of debris. The discharge at the control site was measured as 4.32 m^3/s. It is therefore suggested that future monitoring be conducted at this location to acquire a more representative discharge for the Broederstrrom spring.
- In most cases, the discharge measured in September 2018 and March 2019 was below that reported by Hobbs (2011). The highest discharges were realised for the Nouklip and Nash springs, respectively yielding 9.90 ML/d and 13.79 ML/d.
- It is evident that significant variability exists in the discharge measurements. This produces uncertainty associated with the data. It is however interpreted that the lack of rated cross sections for discharge measurements may be the cause of this variation.

Table 5 Dolomitic spring discharge measured in September 2018 and March 2019

Spring	**Compartment**	**Measured Discharge (Sep 2018)**		**Spring Discharge (Sep 2018)**		**Measured Discharge (Mar 2019)**		**Spring Discharge (Mar 2019)**		**Previous Discharge Volume [1]**	
		(m^3/s)	**(ML/d)**	**(m^3/s)**	**(ML/d)**	**(m^3/s)**	**(ML/d)**	**(m^3/s)**	**(ML/d)**	**(m^3/s)**	**(ML/d)**
Zwartkrans (upstream)	Zwartkrans	1.01	86.91	0.04	3.4	0.611	52.83	0.091	7.83	~0.1	~8.6
Zwartkrans (downstream)		1.05	90.31			0.702	60.66				
Plover’s Lake	Krombank	0.04	3.52	0.05	4.03	0.02	1.44	0.03	2.00	~0.06	~5.2
Plover’s Lake (culvert)		0.01	0.51			0.01	0.56				
Kromdraai (upstream)	Krombank	1.11	95.88	0.13	10.79	0.69	59.77 [2]	0.04	3.13	~0.28	~24.1
Kromdraai (downstream)		1.24	106.67			0.73	62.90				
Danielsrust	Danielsrust	0.04	3.56	0.04	3.56	0.02	1.52	0.02	1.52	~0.03	~2.4
Nouklip	Diepkloof	0.18	15.65	0.18	15.65	0.12	9.90	0.12	9.90	~0.14	~12.4
Tweefontein	Tweefontein	-	-	-	-	0.002	0.17	0.002	0.17		
Nash	Uitkomst	-	-	-	-	0.16	13.79	0.160	13.79		
Broederstroom	Broederstroom	0.01	0.91	0.01	0.91	0.02	1.49	0.02	1.49	~0.02	~1.8

[1] after Hobbs (2011)

[2] estimation based on the width and average depth of the river recorded in September 2018

5.2 Chemical Hydrogeology

5.2.1 Monitoring Framework

The DWS groundwater monitoring programme in the south-western portion of the property was substantially expanded with the establishment of an additional 13 monitoring boreholes in late-2010. These stations (identified by the alpha-numeric code GP00###) supplement the four stations (identified by the alpha-numeric code A2N0###) that are the legacy of the mid-1980s DWAF study (Bredenkamp et al., 1986) in the region. The distribution of the monitoring network is shown in **Figure 24** and **Figure 25**. Whereas the older stations support a quasi-continuous monitoring record dating back to 2003, the record of the newer stations commences in March 2011. It is the outcome of this monitoring that forms the basis for evaluating the hydrochemical impact of mine water on the receiving karst environment (**Section 5.2.2**).

5.2.2 Mine Water Impact

The pH and SEC values generated by the monitoring programme in the Zwartkrans Basin during March 2018 must be viewed with caution as they are associated with ‘grab’ samples obtained manually with a bailer because of failure of the sampling pump. The caution pertains to the known measure of vertical chemical stratification that exists in the water column of a number of the monitoring boreholes. The stratification is generally characterised by a layer of fresher (lower salinity) groundwater (of varying bore-to-bore thickness but up to 10 m) overlying more saline groundwater.

The magnitude of the mine water impact on the karst aquifer in the Zwartkrans Basin is illustrated in **Figure 24** and **Figure 25** with the aid of bar graphs for the chemical variables pH and SEC respectively.

The bar graphs in **Figure 24** reflect the more recent general progressive decrease in pH, in a spatial context, from south to north within the central and northern segments. The pattern reflected by individual stations however shows variability. The magnitude of this variability is however not significant. The spatial pattern evident in the central segment is heavily influenced by proximity to the influent (losing) reach of the Riet Spruit. pH values in the central segment bracket the range 6.5 to 8, and those in the northern segment the range 7.0 to 8. In the southern segment, the most recent pH values are in the range 7.0 to 8.5. Again, the more recent pH pattern at individual stations exhibits variability.

The bar graphs in **Figure 25** reflect the elevated salinity adjacent to the Tweelopie Spruit in the southern segment, as well as the recent reducing trend in salinity at each of the stations GP00306 and GP00307 in this segment. The central segment reveals a general progressive increase in salinity from south to north, and in all instances either a similar or slightly increased recent individual salinity compared to earlier results. The salinity of groundwater in this segment may be influenced by the proximity to the influent (losing) reach of the Riet Spruit. The recent salinity is constrained to the range 200 to 250 mS/m. In the northern segment, the spatial salinity trend along the flow path is a declining one, also at each of the stations individually with the exception of the Zwartkrans Spring, compared to slightly earlier results. The recent salinity is constrained to the range 100 to 150 mS/m. The patterns described above reflect the north to north-easterly flow path followed by the allogenic recharge of mine water in the karst aquifer that is also described in **Figure 26**.

The extent of the mine water impact on the karst aquifer of the Zwartkrans Basin is shown in **Figure 26**, and provides an indication of the sulfate trend at each monitoring station in terms of up, stable or down in the recent past, by comparing the July 2016, February 2017, November 2017, March 2018, June 2018, September 2018 and December 2018 values. The comparison indicates that sulfate levels in ambient groundwater have remained stable at the south-western (ingress) end of the impacted zone, and are still increasing at the north-eastern (discharge) end. A significant reduction in the sulfate concentrations were evident during March 2018 at some of the monitoring points located at the south-western end of the impacted zone. The reason for this is not currently discernible.



Figure 24 Distribution of DWS monitoring boreholes with pH pattern and trend as bar graphs; brown arrow denotes principal direction of impacted groundwater flow, and blue arrow direction of natural karst groundwater flow



Figure 25 Distribution of DWS monitoring boreholes with SEC pattern and trend as bar graphs; brown arrow denotes principal direction of impacted groundwater flow, and blue arrow direction of natural karst groundwater flow



Figure 26 Distribution of sulfate levels in groundwater of the Zwartkrans Basin in July 2016 (1st value), February 2017 (2nd value), November 2017 (3rd value), March 2018 (4th value), June 2018 (5th value), September 2018 (6th value), December 2018 (7th value), also showing the principal vectors of allogenic recharge (brown arrow), autogenic recharge (blue arrow), the postulated footprint (shaded area) of a mine water impact in the karst aquifer, and SEC trend as INCREASING (red text), STABLE (green text) or REDUCING (blue text)

5.2.3 Sterkfontein Cave

As part of Project GT/GDED/092/2017 the CSIR is responsible for the quarterly water quality monitoring of the groundwater in Sterkfontein Cave. The water chemistry results of samples collected in December 2017, June 2018, September 2018 and December 2018 are presented in **Table 6**. None of the variables reported for exceed the respective SANS (2015a) health-related limits for potable water. The microbiological quality of the water is also very good. The chemistry of the Sterkfontein Cave Lake however does reflect the impact of poorer quality surface water on the karst groundwater. The alkaline pH value reflects the continuing neutralising capacity of the carbonate strata. Sterkfontein Cave is located on the periphery of the main groundwater flow vector towards Zwartkrans Spring and therefore the Lake water chemistry experiences a lesser mine water impact.

Table 6 Water chemistry results of samples collected at Sterkfontein Cave during December 2017, June 2018, September 2018 and December 2018

Variable	December 2017	June 2018	September 2018	December 2018	SANS (2015a)[(1)]
pH ($-\log_{10}\alpha_{H+}$)	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.3	5.0–9.7
SEC (mS/m)	88	90	92	90	<170
Ca (mg/L)	77	82	85	88	n.s.
Mg (mg/L)	46	50	52	54	n.s.
Na (mg/L)	33	36	34	34	<200
K (mg/L)	2.3	2.1	1.5	1.6	n.s.
Cl (mg/L)	37	37	38	36	<300
SO_4 (mg/L)	214	247	256	259	<500
HCO_3 (mg/L)	147	150	149	145	n.s.
NO_3+NO_2 (mg N/L)	6.8	6.9	7.1	6.1	<11
Si (mg/L)	5.9	5.5	5.4	5.9	n.s.
Fe (mg/L)	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	<2
Mn (mg/L)	0.02	0.07	.02	0.02	<0.5
Al (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	<0.3
Total coliform bacteria (MPN/100 ml)	51.2	125	187.2	82	<10
E.coli (MPN/100 ml)	<1	2	<1	<1	n.d.

(1) Standard health-related limit for consumption of 2 L/d over 70 years by a 60 kg person

n.s no standard

n.d not detected

5.2.4 Dolomitic Springs

The dolomitic springs in the COH WHS (**Figure 27**) represent important groundwater sources for which comparatively little water quality information are available. As part of Project GT/GDED/092/2017 the CSIR is responsible for the bi-annual water quality monitoring of the dolomitic springs in the study area. The dolomitic springs were sampled during September 2018 and December 2018. The results are presented in **Error! Reference source not found.**. Historic and recent water uality results related to the Zwartkrans Spring is also presented in **Section 5.2**.

In general, the water from the major dolomitic springs, except the Zwartkrans Spring and the Kromdraai Spring, is of excellent quality for the parameters reported. The chemical analytical results from the Zwartkrans Spring and Kromdraai Spring provide evidence of mine water impact. There is however uncertainty associated with the results from the Kromdraai Spring and the December 2018 results from the Zwartkrans Spring. The point of discharge of the Kromdraai Spring into the Bloubank Spruit is not clearly identifiable (a location was provided by the landowner) and therefore the results could either represent the water quality of the Bloubank Spruit at this point or of the spring. Similarly so, the December 2018 sample of the Zwartkrans Spring was collected in the Bloubank Spruit, downstream of the spring, and not at the eye.

In general, most of the sites displayed good microbiological quality (see total coliform bacteria and E. coli values). Exceptions are evident at the Kromdraai Spring, Danielsrust Spring, Aquamine Spring, Tweefontein Spring and Zwartkrans Spring (December 2018) which exhibit medium levels of contamination. However, the uncertainty associated with the results from the Kromdraai Spring and Zwartkrans Spring (December 2018) is explained above. It should however be considered that these springs are open, and may therefore be directly impacted by surface runoff, animal droppings, etc., but if this source is considered for human drinking water supply purposes, it is advisable to re-test these parameters.



Figure 27 Map showing the regional geology of the study area, dolomitic compartment boundaries, groundwater flow vectors and the major dolomitic springs

Table 7 Water chemistry results of samples collected at the major dolomitic springs during September 2018 and December 2018

Variable	**Zwartkrans Spring**	**Kromdraai Spring**	**Plovers Lake Spring**	**Danielsrust Spring**	**Aquamine Spring**	**Tweefontein Spring**	**Nash Spring**	**Nouklip Spring**	**Cradle Spring**	**Broederstroom Spring**	**Zwartkrans Spring**	**Aquamine Spring**	**SANS (2015a)(1)**
	Sep 2018	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Sep 2018**	**Dec 2018**	**Dec 2018**	
pH ($-\log_{10}\alpha_{H+}$)	8	7.7	8.2	8.1	7.9	8.2	8.1	8	7.7	8	7.4	8.4	5.0–9.7
SEC (mS/m)	140	108	35	26	56	35	26	38	68	52	160	49	<170
Ca (mg/L)	141	118	39	29	62	39	27	42	79	56	188	56	n.s.
Mg (mg/L)	76	48	24	17	38	24	17	26	49	36	74	33	n.s.
Na (mg/L)	51	40	1.7	1.5	3.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	3.2	1.2	74	3.5	<200
K (mg/L)	2.1	4.5	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	8.1	0.7	n.s.
Cl (mg/L)	43	35	<2.0	<2.0	3.3	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	3.7	<2.0	50	<2.0	<300
SO_4 (mg/L)	535	363	4.6	8.8	13	7.8	2.2	5.7	17	17	677	13	<500
HCO_3 (mg/L)	144	132	183	129	288	181	134	199	371	265	77	255	n.s.
NO_3+NO_2 (mg N/L)	7.7	6.4	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	<0.1	0.4	14	<0.1	<11
Si (mg/L)	5.8	5.3	5.7	5.1	6.9	4.6	5.1	4.8	7.2	4.6	4.6	7.2	n.s.
Fe (mg/L)	<0.01	0.25	0.03	0.02	2.9	0.03	0.13	0.03	0.01	<0.01	0.14	3.9	<2
Mn (mg/L)	<0.01	0.16	<0.01	<0.01	0.53	0.08	0.09	<0.01	0.04	<0.01	0.13	0.48	<0.5
Al (mg/L)	<0.01	0.09	<0.01	<0.01	0.65	<0.01	0.08	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.05	1.5	<0.3
Total coliform bacteria (MPN/100 ml)	517.2	>2419.6	86.2	1413.6	>2419.6	>2419.6	344.8	54.6	517.2	275.5	>2419.6	>2419.6	<10
E.coli (MPN/100 ml)	<1	816.4	<1	727	13.5	461.1	<1	<1	8.6	<1	>2419.6	79.4	n.d.

(1)- Standard health-related limit for consumption of 2 L/d over 70 years by a 60 kg person; n.s. – no standard; n.d. – not detected

6 RIVER HEALTH

In accordance with the SLA that governs project GT/GDED/092/2017, the water resources monitoring programme now includes an assessment of river health on the property on the basis of macroinvertebrate biomonitoring and toxicity screening assays. The assessment targets two sites on the largely natural Skeerpoort River and two sites on the impacted Bloubank Spruit (**Figure 28)**.

In the case of the springwater-driven Skeerpoort River, the ‘upper’ site is located on a small (short) perennial tributary at a distance of ~125 m downstream from a major karst spring, and the ‘lower’ site at a position ~4000 m further downstream where the river has left the dolomitic substrate and traverses sedimentary strata (mainly shale). In the case of the Bloubank Spruit, both the ‘upstream’ and ‘downstream’ sites experience the combined impact of mine water and municipal wastewater discharges. The difference is that the upper site is located before the first substantial springwater (Zwartkrans Spring) input, and the lower site after the last substantial springwater (Kromdraai Spring) input.

The sites on the Bloubank Spruit replicate two of those surveyed earlier as part of a CSIR Strategic Research Project (SRP) assessment of the biotic response in streams of the Western Basin that receive neutralised acid mine drainage. The outcome of this project is reported in Hill et al. (2014).

The Skeerpoort River sites ostensibly represent largely undisturbed, natural conditions for reference purposes, although the lower site is located ~120 m downstream of a weir and adjacent to a trout farm that discharges into the river. The lower site has been surveyed on numerous occasions in the past (Fourie et al., 2014 and references therein). No published material is available for the aquatic ecosystem status of the upper site, and it is not known whether this drainage has been surveyed before. In any event, this site represents as natural a condition of a springwater-driven headwater stream in a karst landscape as can be found in the COH.

In three instances therefore, a useful comparison of current conditions with earlier conditions can be made. Future surveys at the upper site on the Skeerpoort River will develop a record for this locality.



Figure 28 Map showing sites of relevance to the river health assessment

6.1 Assessment & Data Analysis

The assessment entailed the application of the IHAS (McMillan, 1998) and SASS5 (Dickens and Graham, 2002) procedures to evaluate respectively the instream habitat quality and associated benthic macroinvertebrate integrity at each site. Together, the procedures have proven in countless studies nationally their efficacy in assessing aquatic macroinvertebrate diversity as a function of instream habitat and water quality. Impairment of either habitat or water quality reduces biodiversity and, therefore, results in a 'poorer' river condition (generically referred to as river health) when compared to the natural (or reference) condition. River health is classified according to the criteria set out in **Table 8** below. A description of the survey sites is given in **Annexure A**.

Table 8 River Health Classification (Dallas, 2007).

Biological Band / Ecological Category	**Band / Category Name**	**Description**
A	Natural	Unmodified natural
B	Good	Largely natural with few modifications
C	Fair	Moderately modified
D	Poor	Largely modified
E/F	Seriously modified	Seriously modified

6.2 Macroinvertebrate Biomonitoring Results

6.2.1 Current Assessment Outcome

A synthesis of the current results is presented as a synoptic assessment in **Table 9**, and the results discussed in **Section 6.2.2.**

The upstream Skeerpoort River scored a C opposed to a B category previously and the downstream site scored a B as before, during the September 2018 sampling. The status of both sites on the Bloubank Spruit scored an E/F category, remaining in a seriously modified state as previously.

The full set of results obtained during the March 2019 survey for each of the sites are presented in **Section 6.2.2** below**.**

Table 9 Synoptic river health assessment outcome for March 2019.

SITE	**Date**	**Ecological category**	**Condition**	**Description**
S@NSp	26/03/2019	**C**	Fair	Moderately modified
S@HTL	25/03/2019	**B**	Good	Largely natural with few modifications
BB@M	25/03/2019	**E/F**	Seriously modified	Seriously modified
BB@NOE	25/03/2019	**E/F**	Seriously modified	Seriously modified

6.2.2 Comparison with Historical Results and General Observations

6.2.2.1 Skeerpoort River

In **Error! Reference source not found.**, seasonal sampling (summer, spring and summer) is ompared for site S@NSp.

It is unknown whether site S@NSp has been surveyed before. If it has, the results are not available to the CSIR. The inclusion of this site in the survey aims to assess the veracity of site S@HTL as a reference site for the COH property even though it is located downstream of the karst area.

The habitat and flow for all three sampling dates was similar, with good flow and varied, adequate habitat availability. Habitat included a variety of stones-in-current (SIC), sandy areas, bedrock, and good leafy vegetation, with smaller areas of other habitats. The flow was mixed, with some riffles, some slow running water, and a pool of stiller water. The surrounding area is largely undisturbed, natural vegetation. A few hundred metres upstream is evidence of heavy erosion from flooding, which could easily affect the invertebrate sampling scores.

The site has been sampled on three occasions, and the SASS5 scores are generally similar. The, River Health Category borders between a B (good), and C (fair). Overall, the habitats remained similar. The two end-of-summer sampling trips (February 2018 and March 2019) show similarities in the family presence, and also have the same River Health Category (due to the slightly lower average score (ASPT)). The dominant feeding group throughout are predators, and all samples have a number of sensitive species.

The River Health Category rating for this site in September 2018 was B (good), while in February 2018 and March 2019, the classification was C (fair). As was noted previously, the ASPT of 5.8 – 5.9 is borderline (of a Category B), and in February 2018 and March 2019 it fell just short of a Category B. It is expected that this particular site will continue to oscillate between a Category B and C.

S@NSp is a headwater stream, and macroinvertebrate diversity in headwaters do tend to differ, but are generally lower than in the middle reaches of a river network (widely reported in numerous sources, and reviewed in Clarke et al., 2008). This would also account for higher scores in the downstream Skeerpoort River site (even though it is downstream of a trout farm).

Table 10 Comparison of present biomonitoring results for site S@NSp with previous results.

Site: S@NSp		**River: Grootspruit (tributary of the Skeerpoor River)**							
Date	Ecological category	**SASS associated scores**						**Dominance ***	
		SASS5	Taxa	ASPT	IHAS	Highest Sensitivity	Total Invertebrates	Dominant Feeding Group	Dominant Taxa
27/02/2018	C	105	18	5.8	71%	12	130	Predators	*Baetidae*
26/09/2018	B	95	15	6.3	73%	10	238	Predators	*Corixidae*
26/03/2019	C	99	17	5.8	75%	12	160	Predators	*Gomphidae*

In **Table 11** seasonal sampling for site S@HTL is compared and includes results of the study by Fourie et al. (2014) which provides a comparatively recent assessment against which to gauge the present river condition at the site. The site remains in a B Category as before, namely October 2018. Due to SASS proficiency testing conducted by DWS at the same time as the scheduled September 2018 sampling, the site was sampled three weeks later, in October 2018.

The sampling area has a pool of slow-moving/still water, leading to a narrower region of faster-flowing riffles. Most habitats were well represented. Although most scores and habitat were similar, the ASPT has dropped one point since February 2018, (indicating a lower proportion of the scarcer sensitive families), which is the cause of the River Health Category change from A to B. However, a good diversity of invertebrate families and most Functional Feeding Groups were represented.

The Skeerpoort River at this site is more in the middle reaches of the river system, and as such is expected to have a larger diversity of macroinvertebrates.

Table 11 Comparison of present biomonitoring results for site S@HTL with those of the Fourie et al. (2014) "site B" results.

Site: S@HTL		**River: Skeerpoort River**							
Date	Ecological category	**SASS associated scores**						**Dominance ***	
		SASS5	Taxa	ASPT	IHAS	Highest Sensitivity	Total Invertebrates	Dominant Feeding Group	Dominant Taxa
##/01/2014[1]	A	~200[2]	~34[2]	~6.0[2]	-	-	-	-	-
13/02/2018	A	185	27	6.9	72%	13	653	Collector-Gatherers	*Tricorythidae*
17/10/2018	B	170	29	5.9	73%	12	524	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
25/03/2019	B	170	28	6.1	76%	13	437	Predtors	*Baetidae*

1 From Fourie et al. (2014)
[2] Approximate value interpolated from bar graph in Fourie et al. (2014)

6.2.2.2 Bloubank Spruit

The study by Hill et al. (2014) provides a similarly quite recent assessment against which to gauge the present river condition. This is provided in **Table 10** (site BB@M) and **Error! Reference source not found.** (site BB@NOE).

Table 10 Comparison of present biomonitoring results for site BB@M with those of the Hill et al. (2014) study.

Site: BB@M		**River: Bloubank Spruit**							
Date	Ecological category	**SASS associated scores**						**Dominance ***	
		SASS5	Taxa	ASPT	IHAS	Highest Sensitivity	Total Invertebrates	Dominant Feeding Group	Dominant Taxa
23/02/2012	E/F	32	9	3.6	57%	6	239	Collector-Gatherers	*Chironomidae*
16/05/2012	E/F	53	14	3.8	81%	6	129	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
24/10/2012	E/F	35	10	3.5	72%	6	926	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
06/03/2013	E/F	52	13	4.0	74%	7	843	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
15/08/2013	E/F	34	9	3.8	65%	6	667	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
12/12/2013	E/F	38	10	3.8	61%	7	611	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
13/02/2018	E/F	27	8	3.4	67%	6	219	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
25/09/2018	E/F	48	11	4.4	71%	8	405	Collector-Filterers	*Simuliidae*
25/03/2019	E/F	46	12	3.8	66%	6	367	Collector-	*Simuliidae*

	🟥							Filterers	

Site BB@M has a variety of good habitat with large areas of sand, stones in current, and leafy vegetation. There is also some flow variability, with slow and faster moving areas, and a few small pools downstream of the bridge.

The site has been fairly consistent throughout its sampling history, and results generally fall within boundaries previously recorded. The dominant feeding group and taxa have been consistent since late 2012.

Site BB@NOE has been sampled numerous times since 2012 and data from those investigations are shown in **Table 13** below.

Table 11 Comparison of present biomonitoring results for site BB@NOE with those of the Hill *et al.* (2014) study.

Site: BB@NOE		**River: Bloubank Spruit**							
Date	Ecological category	**SASS associated scores**						**Dominance ***	
		SASS5	Taxa	ASPT	IHAS	Highest Sensitivity	Total Invertebrates	Dominant Feeding Group	Dominant Taxa
23/02/2012	E/F	52	12	4.3	52%	6	206	Collector-Filterers	*Hydropsychidae*
16/05/2012	E/F	41	10	4.1	59%	6	269	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
24/10/2012	C	59	11	5.4	61%	12	230	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
06/03/2013	B	60	10	6.0	55%	12	225	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
12/12/2013	D	32	6	5.3	53%	12	329	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
13/02/2018	D	57	12	4.8	58%	12	234	Collector-Gatherers	*Baetidae*
25/09/2018	E/F	30	8	3.8	55%	6	275	Predators	*Corixidae*
25/03/2019	E/F	33	8	4.1	54%	6	178	Predators	*Simuliidae*

The instream water was very turbid, even though the upstream site BB@M has clear water. There is however a trout farm approximately 100 m upstream of the site that had been discharging into the Bloubank Spruit at the time of sampling. This may contribute to the turbidity and ecological condition at the site although there may be another cause from elsewhere.

The variability of this site, in terms of its ecological condition has been mentioned in a previous report (Hill *et al*, 2014). The instream habitat at this site is less diverse than the upstream site and consist mostly bedrock and large stones. There is a little sand, and the overhanging vegetation is often limited. As can be seen in **Table 13**, scores remain low. For the first time though, the blackfly (*Simuliidae*), (FFG – collector-gatherer) was the dominant species, although more individuals were sampled on the previous trip.

Results indicate marginally lower scores than those of the upstream site, as was the case in September 2018, although the ASPT is slightly higher. This is of some concern, as it appears that upstream discharges may have an (intermittent) impact.

6.3 Toxicity Testing Results

Freshwater toxicity screening tests with *Daphnia magna* were performed on surface water samples collected at the Skeerpoort River sites (S@NSp and S@HTL) and at the two sites (BB@M and BB@NOE) on the Bloubank Spruit. For the purpose of this study, acute 48 hour D. magna tests were conducted under static conditions to establish the short-term toxicity potential of water samples from the selected sites. The test conditions and test acceptability criteria are summarised in **Table B.1** of **Annexure B**.

Physicochemical parameters were measured at the start and the end of the tests with a hand-held Hach HQ 40D multi-parameter (temperature, pH, electrical conductivity and dissolved oxygen) meter. The results are summarised in **Table B.2 of Annexure B**. The results for the *D. magna* toxicity tests are summarised in **Table B.3 of Annexure B**. The tests were accepted as no mortality (≤10%) was observed in the Control. At the end of the exposure period (48 hours), no acute toxicity was observed in any of the samples compared to the previous results of September 2018 when slight acute toxicity was observed in sample BB@NOE (i.e. 15% mortality) while no acute toxicity was detected in test samples S@NSp, S@HTL and BB@M.

7 DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

The karst environment of a portion of the Zwartkrans Basin in the south-western quadrant of the property continues to reflect a slight reduction in SO_4 levels in the ‘upstream’ reaches and an increase in the ‘downstream’ reaches in the recent monitoring results. These circumstances are interpreted to reflect the passage of an AMD-impacted groundwater ‘slug’ through the aquifer introduced during a short period of uncontrolled mine water discharge in early-2014. Further observations are listed as follows:

- The discharge data from station A2H049 has not been updated by the Department of Water and Sanitation since May 2018. Despite 2017 being the wettest hydrological year in the record for the mine area (Western Basin) spanning nine years, with a rainfall of 1067 mm, this did not translate into an abnormal catchment discharge. The 40.6 Mm^3 closely approximates the median value of 41.4 Mm^3 for the last 8 years. The 2017 hydrological year therefore ranks 5th out of 8 after 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2014, and can be classified as an ‘average’ runoff year. The total 2018 half year (October 2017 to April 2018) discharge of 19.3 Mm^3 is slightly below the median discharge (20.8 Mm^3) for the same period in the last nine (9) years.

- Rainfall data from the Sterkfontein Cave station are only available up to 4 December 2018. The total rainfall recorded at the HDS station during the 2018 hydrological year was 863 mm, which is above the 10 year average of 811 mm. The total rainfall recorded at the Sterkfontein Cave station during the 2018 hydrological year was 711 mm. The 7 year average at this station is 688 mm. The total rainfall recorded during the period October 2018 to March 2019 at the HDS station was 449 mm (the 11 year average is 654 mm). This represents the lowest wet season rainfall on record for this station.

- The average annual discharge observed in the Bloubank Spruit system suggests that the mine water control and management measures implemented in the Western Basin have largely been successful in dealing with mine water decant and, as a result, in limiting the impact on the receiving water resources.

- The success of the mine water control and management measures has also manifested in the quality of mine water impacted surface water entering the karst terrane of the COH property, as evidenced in pH values which show a sustained increase from early 2017 up to end 2018, and in SEC values which show a decline in this period.

- The groundwater elevation in the south-western portion of the property (the Zwartkrans Basin), where the allogenic recharge component is greatest, reflects little change. A decline in groundwater level elevations in the central and especially the northern segments is evident. The lake water level in Sterkfontein Cave at the north-eastern discharge end of the Zwartkrans Basin most clearly reflects this decline. The lake water level recorded in September 2018, December 2018 and March 2019 represents the lowest elevations recorded since end-2010.

- During September 2018, flow was measured at six of the ten identified springs in the study area. During March 2019, flow was measured at eight of the ten identified springs. In most cases, the discharge measured in September 2018 and March 2019 was below the average discharge reported by Hobbs (2011). The highest discharges were realised for the Nouklip and Nash springs, respectively yielding 9.90 ML/d and 13.79 ML/d. It is evident that significant variability exists in the discharge measurements. This produces uncertainty associated with the data. It is however interpreted that the lack of rated cross sections for discharge measurements may be the cause of this variation.

- Groundwater in the south-western portion of the property continues to experience a compromised quality reflected in sulfate levels of up to ~2000 mg/L. A comparison of sulfate levels over the period June 2016 to December 2018 indicates that sulfate levels in ambient groundwater have remained stable at the south-western (ingress) end of the impacted zone, and are still increasing at the north-eastern (discharge) end.

- Severe bacteriological contamination from the municipal wastewater treatment works via the Blougat Spruit into the Bloubank Spruit is reflected in total coliform and *E. coli* values that routinely exceed a most probable number (MPN) count of 2419.6 per 100 mL. These counts on occasion reach values of 10’s of thousand. It can be argued that the municipal wastewater poses an equally dire threat to the fitness for use of receiving surface water resources as does mine water. This threat extends into the Crocodile River as main stem of the Bloubank Spruit.

- The macroinvertebrate monitoring survey reveals the substantial difference in biotic condition between the largely natural / moderately modified Skeerpoort River and the severely impacted Bloubank Spruit system. This is best evidenced by the C and B ecological Category of the Skeerpoort River sites versus the E/F Category of the Bloubank Spruit sites. The Skeerpoort River results are similar to those reported in previous external studies, indicating little change. The Bloubank Spruit results are also similar to the September 2018 results. A comparison with previous results indicate a greater deterioration at the upstream site versus the marginal deterioration at the downstream site.

It is concluded that the water resources monitoring results documented in this report confirm the conceptual hydrophysical and hydrochemical model developed for the COH WHS in the situation assessment report. As with previous water resources status reports, it has not revealed any major inconsistencies, nor has it exposed significant flaws that might question the water resources situation assessment and monitoring programme as originally formulated.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilation of this report has been made possible in part by the continued assistance of DWS staff Messrs Theo Moolman and Nico de Meillon in the collection of field data. The services of the DWS staff Mr Divan van Niekerk (Mine Water Quality Management), Ms's Marica Erasmus and Elna Vermaak (Resource Quality Information Services), Ms Busisiwe Sekgomane (PDA Requests), Ms Shouneez Chaka (GeoRequests) and Ms Edeline Mashabela (Hydstra Support) in the provision of water resources monitoring data is also recognised and appreciated. Messrs Johan Wagner and Gerardo Pretorius as well as Ms Karen du Plessis of Sibanye-Stillwater, and Mr Themba Ngomane of the Trans-Caledon Tunnel Authority, are thanked for the provision of mine water and rainfall data associated with the mine area. Finally, the goodwill and cooperation of numerous landowners (too many to list individually) in granting permission to access their properties for the purpose of collecting water resource data, is gratefully acknowledged.

The significant contribution of the late Mr Phil Hobbs (CSIR, Senior Research Hydrogeologist) to the hydrophysical and hydrochemical understanding of COH WHS, as well as to the development of the monitoring programme is greatly acknowledged. Mr Hobbs was also instrumental in laying the foundation for the bi-annual status quo assessment which are required as part of this project.

9 REFERENCES

Bredenkamp, D.B., van der Westhuizen, C., Wiegmans, F.E. and Kuhn, C.M. 1986. *Ground-water supply potential of dolomite compartments west of Krugersdorp.* Report GH3440. Vols. 1 & 2. Department of Water Affairs & Forestry. Pretoria. 81 pp.

Bugan, R.D.H., Mvandaba, V., Kapangaziwiri, E.; Hill, L. and McMillan, P.H. 2018. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2018.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/IR/2018/0084/C. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 40 pp.

Clarke, A., MacNally, R., Bond, N. and Lake, P.S. 2008. Macroinvertebrate Diversity in Headwater Streams: a Review. *Freshwater Biology*, 53 (9): 1707-1721.

Cummins, K.W., Merritt, R.W. and Andrade, P.C.N. 2005. *The use of invertebrate functional groups to characterise ecosystem attributes in selected streams and rivers in south Brazil.* Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment. Vol. 40. No. 1. pp. 69-89.

Dallas, H.F. 2007. *River Health Programme: South African Scoring System (SASS) data interpretation guidelines (Draft report).* Prepared for the Institute of Natural Resources and the Resource Quality Services River Health, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria/Cape Town.

DEA 2016. *State of Conservation report for the fossil hominid sites of South Africa World Heritage Site (the Sterkfontein, Swartkrans, Kromdraai and environs component) (C 915 BIS).* Department of Environmental Affairs. 10 pp.

DEA 2018. *State Of Conservation report to Unesco on Decision 41 Com 7b.72 in regard to the Fossil Hominid Sites Of South Africa World Heritage Site (The Sterkfontein, Swartkrans, Kromdraai and Environs Component) (C 915 BIS).* Department of Environmental Affairs. 37 pp.

Dickens, C.W.S. and Graham, P.M. 2002. *The South African Scoring System (SASS) Version 5 rapid bioassessment method for rivers.* African Journal of Aquatic Science. Vol. 27. No. 1. pp. 1-10.

DWA 2011. *Mine water management in the Witwatersrand gold fields with special emphasis on acid mine drainage.* Report to the Inter-Ministerial Committee on Acid Mine Drainage. 128 pp.

Fourie, H.E., Thirion, C. and Weldon, C.W. 2014. *Do SASS5 scores vary with season in the South African Highveld? A case study on the Skeerpoort River, North West Province, South Africa.* African Journal of Aquatic Science. Vol. 39. No. 4. pp. 369-376.

Hill, L., McMillan, P. and Cheng, P. 2014. *An assessment of the biotic response in streams of the Western Basin that receive neutralised acid mine drainage.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/IR/2014/0021/B. Pretoria. 26 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. (Ed.) 2011. *Situation assessment of the surface water and groundwater resource environments in the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site.* Report prepared for the Management Authority. Department of Economic Development. Gauteng Province. South Africa. 424 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2012. *Pilot implementation of a surface water and groundwater resources monitoring programme for the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site: Status report for the period April to September 2012.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2012/0088/B. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 39 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2013a. *Pilot implementation of a surface water and groundwater resources monitoring programme for the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site: Situation assessment and status report for the period April 2012 to March 2013.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2013/0023/B. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 48 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2013b. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2013.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2013/0083/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 47 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2014a. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April 2013 to March 2014.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/IR/2014/0049/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 42 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2014b. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2014.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2014/0063/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 55 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2015a. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April 2014 to March 2015.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2015/0026/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 53 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2015b. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2015.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2015/0067/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 57 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2016a. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April 2015 to March 2016.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2016/0058/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 42 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2016b. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2016.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2016/0073/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 37 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2017a. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April 2016 to March 2017.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2017/0008/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 28 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2017b. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2017.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2017/0072/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 26 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. 2017c. *TDS load contribution from acid mine drainage to Hartbeespoort Dam, South Africa.* Water SA. Vol 43. No. 4. 12 pp.

Hobbs, P.J. and de Meillon, N. 2017. *Hydrogeology of the Sterkfontein Cave System, Cradle of Humankind, South Africa.* South African Journal of Geology. Vol 120. No. 3. pp. 403-420.

Hobbs, P.J., Hill, L. and McMillan, P.H. 2018. *Surface water and groundwater resources monitoring, Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Gauteng Province, South Africa: Water resources status report for the period April to September 2018.* Report no. CSIR/NRE/WR/ER/2018/0010/A. Council for Scientific & Industrial Research. Pretoria. 40 pp.

McMillan, P.H. 1998. *An integrated habitat assessment system for the rapid biological assessment of rivers and streams*. Internal STEP report no. ENV-P-I 98088. CSIR. 37 pp.

SANS 2015a. *South African National Standard (SANS) 241-1. Drinking water*. *Part 1: Microbiological, physical, aesthetic and chemical determinands*. Edition 2. Standards South Africa. Pretoria. 14 pp.

SANS 2015b. *South African National Standard (SANS) 241-2. Drinking water*. *Part 2: Application of SANS 241-1*. Edition 2. Standards South Africa. Pretoria. 14 pp.

Slabbert, L. 2004. *Methods for direct estimation of ecological effect potential (DEEEP).* Report no.: 1313/1/04. Water Research Commission, Pretoria. 100 pp.

Stumpf, S., Valentine-Darby, P. and Gwilliam, E. 2009. *NPS inventory and monitoring program.* Aquatic Macroinvertebrates – Ecological role. US National Park Service.

USEPA 2002. *Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms.* Fifth Edition. Report no.: EPA-821-R-02-012. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. USA.

ANNEXURE A

DESCRIPTION OF THE AQUATIC BIOMONITORING SURVEY SITES

A.1 GENERAL

All four sites are located Western Bankenveld ecoregion. Site S@HTL, however, is considered a transitional location as it is located close to the boundary with the Bushveld Basin ecoregion to the north.

A.2 Site S@HTL

This is located on the Skeerpoort River approximately 50 metres downstream of a small trout farm. Nevertheless, much of the surrounding area is natural and undisturbed. The sampling area comprises a pool of slow-moving/still water, leading to a narrower region of faster-flowing riffles. Most habitats are well represented, although there was a lack of sandy areas.

Figure A.1: Downstream site S@HTL on the Skeerpoort River

The macroinvertebrate family diversity for this site is summarised in **Table A.1** below.

Table A.1: Macroinvertebrate families present at site S@HTL

Macroinvertebrate families	13/02/2018	17/10/2018	25/03/2019
Turbellaria	40	3	1
Oligochaeta		1	2
Potamonautidae	3	3	12
Hydracarina	40	1	4
Baetidae	80	240	80
Caenidae	30	20	6
Heptageniidae	2		2
Leptophlebiidae	10	40	30
Tricorythidae	240	100	60
Chlorocyphidae	1		4
Coenagrionidae	20	20	15
Lestidae		2	
Aeshnidae	3	1	6
Gomphidae	2	15	20
Libellulidae	1	2	2
Pyralidae	1		1
Belostomatidae			1
Corixidae	30	2	60
Gerriae	40	4	2
Naucoridae	2		
Nepidae			1
Notonectidae	2	6	
Pleidae			30
Veliidae	20	15	30
Hydropsychidae	40	1	2
Philopotamidae	6	2	1
Dytiscidae		2	30
Elmidae		3	
Gyrinidae	20	8	10
Athericidae	2	1	
Chironomidae	40	20	10
Dixidae		2	
Simuliidae	5	6	
Tabanidae			3
Tipulidae	2	2	
Ancylidae	1	1	12
Planorbinae*		1	

A.3 Site S@NSp

This is located on a spring-fed tributary (the Groot Spruit) of the Skeerpoort River some 4000 m upstream from site S@HTL. The survey site itself is located ~120 m downstream of the Nouklip Spring, and would therefore count as a headwater site. The habitat is varied and adequate, and large areas of the riverbed are sandy. The surrounding area is largely undisturbed, natural vegetation.

Figure A.2: Upstream site S@NSp on the Skeerpoort River

The macroinvertebrate family diversity for this site is summarised in **Table A.2** below.

Table A.2: Macroinvertebrate families present at site S@NSp

Macroinvertebrate families	27/02/2018	26/09/2018	26/03/2019
Oligochaeta	1		1
Potamonautidae	8		8
Hydracarina	1	4	
Baetidae	40	30	6
Caenidae	6	4	30
Leptophlebiidae		10	
Tricorythidae		6	
Chlorocyphidae		1	1
Coenagrionidae	12		3
Aeshnidae	1		
Gomphidae	16	20	50
Pyralidae	1		1
Corixidae	5	100	20
Gerriae	5		
Naucoridae			12
Pleidae	1	2	6
Veliidae	10	15	10
Hydropsychidae		30	3
Gyrinidae	12		
Psephenidae	6	1	2
Ceratopogonidae			2
Chironomidae	3		
Simuliidae		12	
Tabanidae	1		3
Tipulidae		2	
Ancylidae	1		2
Planorbinae*		1	

A.4 Site BB@M

The upstream site on the Bloubank Spruit was originally immediately downstream of the causeway over the stream at Makiti. Although there is excellent habitat abundance and diversity, concern exists for the impact of the causeway and culverts on the morphology of the site. The site has therefore been moved ~350 m downstream where more natural conditions prevail, but maintains its position upstream of the Zwartkrans Spring, i.e located upstream of the first major groundwater discharge into the Bloubank Spruit. A significant difference between the ‘old’ and the ‘new’ sites is the abundance of a sandy substrate and absence of riffles at the ‘old’ site, compared to the abundance of riffles and moderate sandy substrate at the ‘new’ site.

The macroinvertebrate family diversity for this site is summarised in **Table A.3** below.

Figure A.3: Site BB@M on the Bloubank Spruit

Table A.3: Macroinvertebrate families present at site BB@M

BB@M	13/02/2018	25/09/2018	25/03/2019
Turbellaria		1	8
Oligochaeta	1		1
Leeches		1	
Potamonautidae	3	1	2
Baetidae	40	40	
Coenagrionidae		40	10
Aeshnidae		10	
Gomphidae		8	3
Corixidae		1	6
Hydropsychidae	30		
Gyrinidae	4	3	12
Hydrophilidae			1
Chironomidae	40	100	20
Muscidae	1		
Simuliidae	100	200	300
Ancylidae			3
Physidae			1

A.5 Site BB@NOE

Site BB@NOE is located on the Bloubank Spruit ~7400 m downstream of site BB@M. This position places it downstream of the last major groundwater discharge (that from the Kromdraai and Plover’s Lake springs) into the Bloubank Spruit. This site appears to be turbid, even when the upstream site BB@M is clear. There is a trout farm upstream of the site. The habitat diversity at the site is far less compared to the upstream site and is mostly bedrock and large stones. There is little sand, and the overhanging vegetation is often limited.

Figure A.4: Site BB@NOE on the Bloubank Spruit

The macroinvertebrate family diversity for this site is summarised in **Table A.4** below.

Table A.4: Macroinvertebrate families present at site BB@NOE

BB@NOE	13/02/2018	25/09/2018	25/03/2019
Turbellaria		1	
Leeches		1	
Oligochaeta	2		
Potamonautidae	2	1	3
Baetidae	80	40	4
Coenagrionidae	10	40	30
Aeshnidae		10	
Gomphidae		8	25
Corixidae		1	
Gerriae	2		
Ecnomidae	2		
Veliidae			15
Hydropsychidae	40		1
Gyrinidae	3	3	
Ceratopogonidae	2		
Chironomidae	40	100	40
Culicidae	1		
Simuliidae	50	200	60

ANNEXURE B

TOXICITY TESTING RESULTS

Table B.1. Summary of test conditions and test acceptability criteria for *Daphnia magna* acute toxicity tests with effluents and receiving waters (Slabbert, 2004).

Summary of toxicity test	
Test system	*Daphnia* test
Test species	*Daphnia magna*
Age of test organisms	Less than 48h old
Trophic level	Grazer
Toxicity level	Acute toxicity
Test procedure	USEPA, 2002
Summary of test conditions for the *Daphnia magna* acute toxicity test	
Test type	Static-renewal
Water temperature	20 ºC ± 1 ºC; or 25 ºC ± 1 ºC
Light quality	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod	8 hours dark: 16 hours light
Feeding regime	Feed commercial fish flakes while in holding prior to test
Aeration	None
Size of test chamber	50 ml
Volume of test sample	25 ml
Number of test organisms per chamber	5
Number of replicate chambers	4
Total number of test organisms per sample	20
Control and dilution water	Moderately hard, de-chlorinated tap water
Test duration	48 hours
Effect measured	Percentage lethality (no movement on gentle prodding), calculated in relation to control
Test acceptability	90% or greater survival in control
Interpretation	Lethality >10% indicates toxicity, provided that control lethality is ≤10%

Table B.2a Results of the *D. magna* screening assays expressed as per cent mortality after 24 and 48 hours (March 2019).

Sample	Time (hrs)	Mortality (No. of organisms)	Mortality (%)
CONTROL	24	0	0
	48	0	0
S@NSp	24	0	0
	48	0	0
S@HTL	24	0	0
	48	0	0
BB@NOE	24	0	0
	48	0	0
BB@M	24	0	0
	48	0	0

Table B.2b Results of the *D. magna* screening assays expressed as per cent mortality after 24 and 48 hours (September 2018).

Sample	Time (hrs)	Mortality (No. of organisms)	Mortality (%)
CONTROL	24	0	0
	48	1	5
N@NSp	24	0	0
	48	0	0
HTC (S@HTL)	24	1	0
	48	1	5
BB@NOE	24	1	5
	48	3	15
BB@M	24	1	5

	48	1	5

Table B.2c Results of the *D. magna* screening assays expressed as per cent mortality after 24 and 48 hours (February 2018).

Sample	Time (hrs)	Mortality (No. of organisms)	Mortality (%)
CONTROL	24	0	0
	48	0	0
HTC (S@HTL)	24	0	0
	48	0	0
BB@NOE	24	1	5
	48	3	15
BB@M	24	0	0
	48	1	5

Table B.3a. Physicochemical parameters per sample measured at the start and end of the tests (March 2019).

Sample	Time (hrs)	Temperature (°C)	pH	SEC (mS/m)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)
CONTROL	0	19.3	7.04	254	7.71
	48	20.0	7.48	349	7.37
S@NSP	0	19.6	7.48	405	7.79
	48	20.0	7.78	438	7.28
S@HTL	0	19.5	7.40	340	8.30
	48	20.1	7.64	426	7.12
BB@NOE	0	19.6	7.10	1204	7.31
	48	20.2	7.40	1464	6.94
BB@M	0	19.7	6.93	1795	6.87
	48	20.1	7.01	2092	6.73

Table B.3b. Physicochemical parameters per sample measured at the start and end of the tests (September 2018).

Sample	Time (hrs)	Temperature (°C)	pH	SEC (mS/m)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)
CONTROL	0	20.0	8.21	25.5	7.52
	48	20.0	7.78	36.7.7	7.47
N@NSP	0	20.0	7.99	36.4	8.31
	48	20.1	8.41	45.4	7.43
HTC (S@HTL)	0	20.0	7.63	35.0	7.62
	48	20.0	8.08	33.6	7.31
BB@NOE	0	20.0	7.93	136.4	8.73
	48	20.0	7.96	148.2	7.45
BB@M	0	20.0	6.67	184.4	7.61
	48	20.0	6.96	209.4	7.33

Table C.3c. Physicochemical parameters per sample measured at the start and end of the tests (February 2018).

CONTROL	0	20.0	8.10	21.3	7.24
	48	20.1	8.01	23.7	7.04
HTC (S@HTL)	0	20.1	8.31	30.8	7.59
	48	20.0	7.73	43.8	6.05
BB@NOE	0	20.2	7.96	124.7	6.73
	48	20.0	8.22	138.7	6.35
BB@M	0	20.2	7.72	187.2	6.68
	48	20.1	7.76	201.9	6.66