## APPENDIX D5

MINUTES OF MEETINGS – PROJECT ANNOUNCEMENT PHASE

APPENDIX D5.1: MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETINGS APPENDIX D5.2: MINUTES OF AUTHORITY MEETING APPENDIX D5.3: MINUTES OF FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS

## APPENDIX D5.1

MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETINGS

NEMAI CONSULTING	KONSEP NOTULE Openbare Vergadering Nr. 1	Navrae:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 글 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Kliënt:	Water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REFUELC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Projek Naam:	Mokolo en Krokodil (Wes) Wateraanvullingsprojek (Fase 2) (MKWAP-2): 1. Wateroordragskema 2. Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening
Datum:	25 Mei 2016	Tyd:	09:00 – 13:00
Voorsitter:	S Pienaar	Plek:	Kumba Bioskoop Saal, Thabazimbi

<u>LW:</u> Die notule is nie verbatim nie maar eerder 'n opsomming van die besprekings tydens die vergadering.

Verwys	na die Teenwoodigheidslys	aangeheg as Bylaag A.			
NR.			AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM	
1.	Verwelkoming				
		ering geopen en almal ver n teenwoordig voorgestel, n			
	ORGANISASIE	ROL IN PROJEK	SPANLEDE		
	Departement van Water en Sanitasie (DWS)	Aansoeker	O van den Berg		
	Trans-Caledon Tonnel Owerheid (TCTO)	Implementerings-agent	A Nelwamondo S Kelefetswe		
1.1	Nemai Consulting	Omgewingsimpakbepaling (OIB) Praktisyn	D Henning	-	-
	<ul> <li>S Pienaar het die volgend</li> <li>Die OIB-proses is sleg</li> <li>Addisionele vergade verwante aangeleenth</li> <li>Grondeienaars;</li> <li>Landbou-sektor -</li> <li>Hartbeespoor</li> <li>Krokodilrivier</li> <li>Makoppa boe</li> </ul>				
2.	Doelwitte van die Verga	dering			
2.1	<ul> <li>S Pienaar het aangedui volgende behels:</li> <li>Om die voorgestelde</li> <li>Om 'n oorsig van die (</li> <li>Om 'n platform vir proj</li> </ul>	-	-		



Teenwoordig

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
3.	Voorleggings		
	Verwys na die kopie van die aanbieding aangeheg as Bylaag B.	-	-
3.1	Projek Agtergrond en Motivering		
3.1.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur O van den Berg wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>Nasionale ontwikkelings konteks;</li> <li>Waterhulpbron assessering;</li> <li>Projek oorsig; en</li> <li>Bestuur van nasionale hulpbronne.</li> </ul>	-	-
3.2	Projek Beskrywing		
3.2.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>MKWAP-2 komponente – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort Stuwal en Onttrekkingswerke;</li> <li>Balanseerdamme, Ontslikkingswerke, Hoëdruk Pompstasie;</li> <li>Oordragskema;</li> <li>Drukbreek-reservoir;</li> <li>Operasionele reservoir; en</li> <li>Toegangspaaie.</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening –</li> <li>Twee 132 kV lyne (ongeveer 4 km);</li> <li>132/11kV substasie;</li> <li>Leengroewe; en</li> <li>Rivierbedryfstelsel.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Aanbieding deur A Nelwamondo wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>TCTO se gekose proses vir verkryging van grond;</li> <li>Vergoeding; en</li> <li>Serwituutwydte en gepaardgaande voorwaardes.</li> </ul>	-	-
3.3	Omgewingsbepaling		
3.3.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>Wetlike raamwerk;</li> <li>OIB-proses – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur;</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening;</li> </ul> </li> <li>OIB-program;</li> <li>Openbare Deelname Proses; en</li> <li>Spesialis studies.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.	Bespreking		
4.1	<ul><li>J Nel dui aan dat 'n groot deel van die teenwoordiges die Makoppa boere insluit en dat hulle bekommerd is oor die beskikbaarheid van water.</li><li>J Botes noem dat daar tans nie genoeg water in die Krokodilrivier beskikbaar is nie.</li><li>R van Tonder meld dat dit is die ergste droogte in 'n lang tyd. Hy dui</li></ul>	-	-

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	ook aan dat daar nie genoeg water beskikbaar is in die sisteem vir die oordrag nie.		
	H Bloem beklemtoon die noodsaaklikheid om die water in die sisteem korrek te bestuur. Hy noem verder dat Hartbeespoortdam vol is terwyl water stroomaf benodig word.		
	O van den Berg dui aan dat die surplus water in die sisteem, wat geassosieer is met die riool-terugvloeie, deur die Rekonsiliasie Studie bevestig was deur middel van gedetailleerde ontledings. Hy verduidelik verder die normale beginsel wat toegepas word aangaande die storing van water in 'n sisteem en dat Hartbeespoortdam tans nie as 'n normale opgaardam bedryf word nie. Hy noem dat die Vlieëpoort stuwal nie 'n opgaardam sal wees nie. Geproklameerde toekennings vir die besproeiingsrade uit die damme in die sisteem sal eerbiedig word. Hy dui ook aan dat kragopwekking se versekering van voorsiening 99,5% is terwyl dit 91% is vir besproeiing. Enige hoë vloeie sal die stuwal oorspoel en die akwifer (waterdraer) stroomaf aanvul.		
4.2	J Botes vra of daar opgaring sal wees by die eindpunt van die pyplyn. O van den Berg verduidelik dat voorsiening gemaak is vir 18 dae se opgaring by die verskeie eindverbruikers. Storing sal ook plaasvind by die balanseerdamme, drukbreek reservoir en operasionelere servoir. Hy noem dat dit nodig is vir die instandhouding van die pyplyn sowel as om 'n buffer te voorsien vir tekorte in die stelsel.	-	-
	J Botes vra of water konstant uit die rivier gepomp gaan word. Hy vra ook hoe watervoorsiening aan die stroomaf boere verseker gaan word. Hy wil verder weet of groot volumes water tydens vloede gestoor kan word.		
4.3	O van den Berg noem dat daar nie geskikte terreine vir opgaring beskikbaar is nie weens die omliggende topografie. Hy verduidelik verder die beplande Rivierbedryfstelsel en loslatings in die sisteem.	-	-
	S Pienaar noem dat water teen 'n konstante gepomp gaan word soos wat die behoefte groei.		
4.4	D Henning dui aan dat daar afsonderlike vergaderings gereël gaan word met die Hartbeespoort Besproeiingsraad, Krokodilrivier Wes Besproeiingsraad en die Makoppa boere.	Nemai, DWS, TCTO	Sal bevestig word
4.5	<ul> <li>W Potgieter stel voor dat die volgende brandpunte deel vorm van die besprekings tydens die toekomstige vergaderings:</li> <li>Volgens sy beraming sal een derde van die huidige lewering van die rivier geneem word vir die oordragskema. Hoe gaan die water in die sisteem aangevul word?;</li> <li>Motivering vir die voorgestelde posisie van die stuwal en ander alternatiewe wat in ag geneem was; en</li> <li>Daar is geen vertroue onder die boere in die bevindinge van die Rekonsiliasie Strategie nie, en die resultate sal verder ondersoek moet word.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.6	A Pieterse beklemtoon dat die posisie van die stuwal by Vlieëpoort betwis word.	-	-
4.7	J Swanepoel noem dat slegs een van die damme in die sisteem sluise het wat voorsiening maak vir loslatings. Hy spreek verder sy bekommernis uit oor die swak watergehalte in die Krokodilrivier. Die kwessies sal verder in ag geneem moet word.	-	-



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
4.8	R van Tonder noem dat hy by die vorige OIB-proses betrokke was. Hy noem dat hy 'n regsbrief by DWS ingedien het waarin hy sy reg voorbehou om die kwessie aangaande die water verder te bestry.	-	-
4.9	<ul> <li>B Enslin vra hoe watertekorte tydens droogtes bestuur sal word.</li> <li>O van den Berg noem dat die sisteem jaarliks ontleed word en tydens die voorgestelde Sisteembedrysforum bespreek word met die water verbruikers. Hy verduidelik verder DWS se protokol om water tekorte tydens droogtes te bestuur.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.10	<ul> <li>B Enslin spreek sy bekommernis uit oor die stelling dat TCTO nie met die grondeienaars sal onderhandel in gevalle waar daar nie genoeg tyd is nie.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo noem dat voldoende konsultasie met die grondeienaars sal plaasvind. Hy verduidelik verder TCTO se proses vir grondverkryging.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.11	<ul> <li>H Bloem noem dat die eksotiese wild op sy plaas negatief beïnvloed sal word deur stof, geraas en lugbesoedeling tydens konstruksie.</li> <li>D Henning dui aan dat die kwessies aangespreek moet word deur versagtende maatreëls wat geïdentifiseer sal word tydens die OIB.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.12	<ul> <li>G Bower vra of samespreking gevoer gaan word met die grondeienaars as deel van die grondverkryging-proses.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo beklemtoon dat konsultasie met die grondeienaars sal plaasvind.</li> <li>D Henning dui aan dat 'n meer omvattende beskrywing van die grondverkryging-proses aan die grondeienaars voorsien sal word. Indien moontlik sal die dokument aan die notule geheg word of afsonderlik gestuur word.</li> </ul>	Nemai, TCTA	Sal bevestig word
4.13	<ul> <li>P Jordaan vra of die serwituut 100 m of 40 m sal wees.</li> <li>S Pienaar verduidelik 'n 100 m wye korridor (50 m aan beide kante van middellyn van die pyplyn) in ag geneem gaan word tydens die OIB. Hy noem ook dat die konstruksie serwituut 40 m breed sal wees. (Die permanente serwituutwydte is 25 m)</li> <li>O van den Berg noem dat die 100 m wye korridor voorsiening maak vir moontlike herbelyning van die pyplyn om sensitiewe aspekte te vermy, indien moontlik.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.14	<ul><li>H Prinsloo vra waar die pyplyn se serwituut gaan begin in die deel van die roete waar die spoorlyn gevolg word.</li><li>S Pienaar dui aan dat die pyplyn se serwituut reg teenaan die grens van die spoorlyn se reserwe sal wees, op die aangrensende eiendom.</li></ul>	-	-
4.15	<ul> <li>H Prinsloo noem dat die pyplyn se roete 'n kameeldoringwoud op sy grond gaan beïnvloed.</li> <li>D Henning dui aan dat sensitiewe omgewings as deel van die OIB ontleed sal word. Hy noem ook dat alle plantegroei binne die 40 m serwituut verwyder gaan word tydens konstruksie.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.16	H Prinsloo vra wie die eindverbruiker van die water is. Hy noem dat indien dit privaat is die Onteieningswet nie toegepas kan word nie. Hy beklemtoon verder die waterverwante impakte en meld dat die volhoubaarheid van boerderye verseker moet word.	-	-



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	<ul> <li>O van den Berg noem dat die eindverbruikers onder andere die volgende insluit:</li> <li>Kragopwekking in die Waterberg;</li> <li>Steenkool vir kragopwekking in die Waterberg;</li> <li>Industrieë / mynbou vir ander doeleindes; en</li> <li>Stedelike verbruik deur die Lephalale Plaaslike Munisipaliteit.</li> </ul>		
	B de Beer noem dat hy bekommerd is oor moontlike inkorting van sy waterkwota. Hy dui ook aan dat twee van die moontlike pyplynroetes oor sy eiendom loop en boorgate, pyplyne en krale sal beïnvloed. Hy vra of dit moontlik sal wees om 'n aftappunt van die pyplyn te kry.		
4.17	O van den Berg dui aan dat bestaande waterregte eerbiedig sal word. Hy noem verder dat dit die beleid van DWS is om slegs aftappunte aan direk-geaffekteerde grondeienaars te voorsien vir wild- en veesuipings, en dat 'n beperkte volume water (± 150 kl / maand beskikbaar gemaak sal word vir hierdie doeleindes. Die waterverbruik sal gemeet word en voorafbepaalde tariewe sal geld. Hy noem ook dat hierdie saak deel sal vorm van die onderhandelinge met afsonderlike grondeienaars. B de Beer vra wanneer die gekose opsie vir die pyplynroete bevestig	-	-
4.40	gaan word.		
4.18	D Henning verduidelik dat die gekose opsies vir die projekkomponente eers later in die OIB-proses geïdentifiseer sal word, met oorweging van die bevindinge van die spesialisstudies, insette van die tegniese span en kwessies geopper deur Belanghebbende en Geaffekteerde Partye.	-	-
4.19	M Benade dui aan dat hy water vanaf die oostelike kant van die spoorlyn verkry wat dan aan die westelike kant gelewer word.	-	-
	S Pienaar noem dat voorsiening gemaak moet word in die OIB om die saak aan te spreek. R Peyper vra of die oordragskema nie water kan voorsien vir landbou		
	doeleindes nie. Hy meld verder die belangrikheid van voedselsekuriteit.		
4.20	O van den Berg dui aan dat Fase 2 van die Lesotho-Hooglandskema ontwikkel word wat meer water in die Krokodil stelsel tot gevolg sal hê. Volgens ontledings van die Krokodil stelsel moet water voorsien word aan projekte wat van nasionale belang is. Sal verder bespreek word tydens toekomstige vergaderings.	-	-
4.04	P Jordaan vra of die verdubbeling van die spoorlyn in ag geneem is en of dit beslis voortgaan.		
4.21	O van den Berg noem dat die MKWAP-2 tegniese span in gesprek is met Transnet.	-	-
4.22	O van den Berg noem dat die Medupi-kragstasie die water wat gelewer gaan word deur MKWAP-2 benodig ten einde die tegnologie om lugbesoedeling te verminder, te implementeer.	-	-
4.23	C Vos vra wat is die projek se begroting. O van den Berg verduidelik dat die befondsing afhanklik is van die land se energiebeleid en daar is besprekings met Nasionale Tesourie en die Departement van Energie in die verband. Hy noem dat die projek befonds sal word deur middel van lenings en dat tariewe gestel sal word met die eindverbruikers as deel van die verbruiker-ooreenkomste.	-	-
4.24	K Herman vra wat met die water gaan gebeur nadat dit deur die eindverbruiker benut is.	-	-



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	O van den Berg dui aan dat maksimum hergebruik van die water bevorder sal word, en dat die water dus nie losgelaat sal word nie.		
4.25	<ul><li>P Jordaan vra wat die watergehalte by die voorgestelde aftappunte sal wees.</li><li>S Pienaar dui aan dat dit rouwater sal wees wat uit die Krokodilrivier onttrek gaan word</li></ul>	-	-
5.	Afsluiting		
5.1	S Pienaar het almal teenwoordig bedank vir hul positiewe deelname en waardevolle insette. Die vergadering het om 13:00 verdaag.	-	-

Bylae
Bylaag A - Teenwoordigheidslys
Bylaag B - Aanbiedings



BYLAAG A

TEENWOORDIGHEIDSLYS

NEMAI CONSULTING	PRESENSIELYS / ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Openbare Vergadering Nr. 1 / Public Meeting No. 1	Navrae / Queries:	Donavan Henning	za
		Projek Naam:		Project Name:
Kliënt / Client:	Water & sanitation Deputyment Whater and Santasson Republic of Bourn AFRICA	MKWAP-2: 1. Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur 2. Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening		MCWAP-2: 1. Water Transfer Infrastructure 2. Bulk Power Supply
		Projek Nr. / Project No.:	10580	
Datum / Date:	25 Mei 2016 / 25 May 2016	Tyd / Time:	10h00 - 12h30	
Voorsitter /	S Pienaar	Plek:		Venue:
Chairperson:		Kumba Bioskoo	p Saal, Thabazimbi	Kumba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	012 336 8613 / 082 809 2011		VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za	
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 809 5101		ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za	Ale
Segomotso Kelefetswe	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 803 1670		SKelefetswe@tcta.co.za	RUE
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 082 891 0604	7	donavanh@nemai.co.za	A
Salomon Pienaar	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 073 183 1722		salomonp@nemai.co.za	K.
Daniel Ramosa	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730			Alumores/

	Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affilijation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
9	Ten de Clereg was	Maonvalei.	073-4385948	Mostres 998 Medry reachi 032	wesselac Dancal.	Of e
Ð	AR GA Brech	Wardered	083255383	1000×2230	bauer Ci o reg. on	- file
	MAIXIMUS BOERDERY KOBUS VAN GRA		0834531011	POSBUS 986 THABAZIMBI	Maximus1@ Kantic. Net	A C
	ATTER VIZUALT		0826500876	0	aunderwaltgpgmail.	an De
	Marie Koets.		0833438049	60 -	Nothe notes schans, co.	
	Leon Furté	12g/CQ.	083468 F998	Poskus 686 TBZ	leon, furche l'infritur.	
	Louise Peers	Mooivaller	0781933084	Pobox 328 Thabazimbi		Her:
	Sakhie rol uklt.	Atomigoley.	6827836904	Bus 2090 TBZ,		flalt.
	Jilt-PRINS200	W ECKLERBUR	0828051852	Bus 190	Johan prinsloo @x press	Atre
	K Serverte	Kozacczene	6833026753	Korneskon Korneskon	Kroxen was Draxonsome	A

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
T.A.C. Du PLESSIS	150.70		POSBUS 816 THABRZIMBI	elmarie@thabanet.co.zq	Ryall
W.H. Excelbrech	Lissenkumsk ture Listeraal	0839481632	P.o. 99 Thabazimbi	chlemtrust Chamillan	16
4.T. BREF.	MALOPAN BOYLE VIR	CF36565437	134515L TBZ	hennie. ansie Egmait. com	M
WH 4nn	Lyn Sen Bandry,	083 256 3692	Posbus 737		with
N.L. Fowie	HBIP Engation	082332323	6.1 (	nick a hopib. co.za	OTOR
J.C. NEL	ALKEON KAY	0147790789	Box 1042 TB2 0380	Arenelle Oldemil. 00.2	A
T. DE BEER	VILDHOEX.	0844774820	POSBUS 469 BRIT	to rettocle. ber egnad.com	" B
VL Famele	BCS	078374355	PB AIB 58 THABA	bernickfaurie Egnail.com	A
R BOTHA	DWS L-NUS penia	012 392 1308 082 808 9560	P/BX995 PRETORIA 0001	bethare dws.gov, za	RB
T. MD ITWANI	Dws	0823368139	P/P - 212	HDITIVANITED 45.90	12

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
JJ Budenharst	Anco Game Service	0832412027	Bus 1624,7B2 0380	bles @ febron co.24	Contraction of the Contraction of Action
THEMBANI					
MASHIANE	TCTA	0986962564		Emostiane@Ecta-cotta	MASHIANE

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
J.K.Coetzee	Eienagu	0797535180	TB2 Posbus 989		Kaeter
5. Kelefetswe	TCTA	082803(670	P.O. BOX 943 LEPHALALE OIJJ	skelefetsweetcto.co.z	
MC MARA -	EIRUMAN MolVALLEI CRO7	0824787681	1	thinks, mare Quinglo american	com tw
H. Brown	VOTK.	0733367820	Bus 2480 THABAZIMBI	HANNESP SAMEVEST, CO. ZA	Å
C. Pelse.	Genaa	07648588	Bus 2104 TBZ 0380	oureljpelser@gamail.co	m MU
Aufol MEM	TIGAGI	0827756762	TBZ POSIBUS 1505		ØK

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (Indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Sig <del>nat</del> ure	
Ben venderlinde	Makoppa	0832764550	Plack x 6625 That a 23	br 628541 equeil.com	Sin	
Patrick	mooivalei	0781933084	PODOX 328 TOZ	Chrispy Q Wollog	u Sta	
P Ratts.	SENTRUM	0837655507	POSERS 24 TEC		ka	
G. Botha	Koedoetp	9832873297	Postus 31 Norther	outorcel Q yahoo con	MA.	
J. Swanepel	1	0837592313	942 Brits 0250	josefs@mgk.co.za	(ha)	
O. Standen	Roaddile SKNTRIMI	0826074611	POBOX23 TBZ	demisa Kabath	6. co. za	
JVAN SKAME	MARO PPA	0798746228	Bue en 752	jan van graan@ hatmail.com	0	
SW us MEENE	NUT (ADSA)	0798713669	NVY	Schalkvolm paben roza	and	
RK Hiesterman-	Mahappa	0827899982	Box 35 Pret Reline 238	o rhiesterman @ voda	ail. cv. 29 /4	
J.L. Robrius	Thabazimbi Landowner Buffelsuley Karzi	08244449160		buffalacreetavebrail		

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
JJ Jime vin Uno		063 246 2089	Port Net Suite 114, PBX6 625, Thaboring, 0350	cobusjuve inweb.co.za	all a
J. Venter			Postas 498 Tibz 0386		
DeVyuven		0828379979		Muenter 613 Qgmal.co	ia, A
W.A. JEZEWSK	DWS NURP	082 809 5609	Pretonia 0001	jezewskind due mu	4 Marcal
K- TOTOUNE	THABAZIMBI MING	0337783699		jezewskiwedws.gov. reituretze. totouwel @ angloamericon.con	tlog.
					· · · ·
-					2 <sup>-1</sup>

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
KEVIN MYLEP	LAND HAARLEN OUSNER SIKO Por 18/16	0832590729	P.O BOX 3420 HOWEYDEN 2040	kingles eglobal corga	dM.
H.S. DASSONVIlle	Vatil perspin 19903 Owoner	083744785	Postus 2597 Thabazi mbi 083	certa/ 6000 april com	d Shan in U
Covel Voz	Classierun			geen -	and and a
N.W. VORSTER	Makoppalanthen	0832334/60	Posbus 1068 TBZ	jonicina Qquai 1. com	the
J.T VAN NICKIEDA	makoppdan	072189654	8 Pos 73 TBZ		MAR
JVARSTER	BOER INA/COPA		POSBUS 1555 1 THABDZING1 0380		lope

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening Signature
W. POTGIETER.		0834596505	1.0. Box 2485 THABAZIMB1,0380	wynand@ngboerdery 66.2a	Light
A. PIETERSE		0825756971	105845 2576 THABAZIMB1, 0380	skpieterse@vodamail. 00. Za.	Sel.
UGyellan		0837872562	Po boy 99 Thabazimest	Kore. doe Chatman.	0
L MYRilly		083728783.	PLAS 2959 DENS	INSTAALSTORE equal com	Jub
- mybargh.		0766100841	V/BOS 2959 Brits	Jagterph @gizerican	igal
Aur Jor star		083 227 3420	PORUS 1902 TITABA	paula sandepoochuri	co. 20 7
C.J. Lee		083417836	2 Bus B13 Thebe	dinaserumule Q sedi-	I.com
). Maseumul-e		014575-1800		dimaserumule & Sedi- beioplatinum.com	Teafe
45 Prinstao (Horrie)	Matta bas Reserve	082254/664	Po Box 1985 Lep halalo 0555	hard of & matlahas	
HAWTH CH. [	Dres	032888510	DRIVATE BAY XJIS PROPRA	MDITWANITOM PS. Up. 24	Mour

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Pieter Coctzee J. J. PRETORIUS	Kwevoël	082.8904718	Posbus 163 Thabazimbi	kweveele kweveel.	Backa
J. J. PRETORIUS	DWS	0828063691	PRIVERTS AK X352 HARTBEESPOOLT.02	kwevcele kwesoel. co. za pretorihe dws.gol 6 , Za	Alm
			1		

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
PIERE MOLL	PATEL GEDECITE 4	08288930	167350 BRACENDON ALBERTON 1454	s Erutool & vadamail	CO.29 Mry
Ochie Van den Berg	DWS OPTIONS ANDUS	082 8092011	DEPT WATERS SAUNTAS, PISAK X313 PTA		0
Azusi J Nelwamondo		0328031609	PO Box 10335 Centurion 0046	Anelwamondo @ teta. co. 29	340-
HEMBANI MASHIANE	TCTA.	<sup>0</sup> 786962564	P: 0. Box 10335 CENTURION 0046	tmashiqne@t.ctg.	A SHI MANTE
Present pull	Потания	852684626	Serx 691 Numison	Proson Fracas &	1-1
uffy KEY WER	MATLABAS MATSULAN	08373/0588	Bus475 TB2	INFO CMATLABAS KUERKO	65 MARy

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Marco Benadé	Eienaar	0835540419	1. Lox 253 TBZ 0310	mberade@lantic.net	17
Name Benode.		084205 7790	PO Box as3. TBZD38	ð ·	Wessee
Toni Iakic	EINARK	0825700553	Fo Box 1299 TBZ 0380	iorio farming @gr	nail.con
SOHAN BOTES	GTENMAR	082783129	Postnet suite 1) 27BZ 0350		rail con the
Riaan Peypes	ELENAMR	0832534990	Postas 2412	ria anp@ thabanet. co.	10
Stefen Mybuch	elengar	0721946549	1341/5 0250	stefannus Qguad.com	0111
Cobus Herna	- ``	0836519964	Bus 795 TBZ	hertondie ster que	Jocen .
nagiet (ter	a '	0852336222	Sus 195 762	herto-Otelkonsg.ne	+ "Herman
BERNADENSLIM		0828726242	H36 THEUNEN. NERDO		Fl.
MC EPARSMY	ELEMITER	6832537881	Bus 30 TB2		Norson

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant) Telephone No.		Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature	
MICHAGL WHITE	EIGNAAR	0834177367	7. Bus 1827 08E0, 18015484HT	MSWHITE QTOLKONSA.	. MA	
Roland v. Tonder	Bær	083 310 8300	Postas 6, Koedeeligo 03619	admira alliedgrap. co.za	<b>A</b>	
Balie de Bur	Bar	0824137898	Bolev 469 Brills	bakie de beer @g.	ail. com	
troliequie	Bes a	579534495	Box 918 Thabarcimbi	quail.com.	A.C.	
senhand Bencol		0835026677	Posbus 668, T.BZ. 0380	gerhard.melanie @hotmail.com	Goud	
LuKAS v Renssent	BZANAAL	0835187057	Pos 13 42 8 712 03 80	Son JAUREANS BOACE. QY WALL .COM	Miller.	
5						

## BYLAAG B

AANBIEDINGS



NEMAI CONSULTING	KONSEP NOTULE Openbare Vergadering Nr. 2	Navrae:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 ఆ 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Kliënt:	Water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REFUELC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Projek Naam:	Mokolo en Krokodil (Wes) Wateraanvullingsprojek (Fase 2) (MKWAP-2): Wateroordragskema
Datum:	26 Mei 2016	Tyd:	08:30 – 12:30
Voorsitter:	S Pienaar	Plek:	Mogol Konferensiesaal, Lephalale

<u>LW:</u> Die notule is nie verbatim nie maar eerder 'n opsomming van die besprekings tydens die vergadering.

Verwys	na die teenwoordigheids aa	ngeheg as Bylaag A.						
NR.		BESKRYWING		AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM			
1.	Verwelkoming	Verwelkoming						
		ering geopen en almal ver n teenwoordig voorgestel, n						
	ORGANISASIE	ROL IN PROJEK	SPANLEDE					
	Trans-Caledon Tonnel Owerheid (TCTO)	Implementerings-agent	A Nelwamondo S Kelefetswe					
	Nemai Consulting	Omgewingsimpakbepaling (OIB) Praktisyn	D Henning					
1.1	<ul> <li>S Pienaar het die volgend</li> <li>Die OIB-proses is sleg</li> <li>Addisionele vergade verwante aangeleenth <ul> <li>Grondeienaars;</li> <li>Landbou-sektor -</li> <li>Hartbeespoor</li> <li>Krokodilrivier</li> <li>Makoppa boe</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-					
2.	Doelwitte van die Verga	dering						
2.1	<ul> <li>volgende behels:</li> <li>Om die voorgestelde</li> <li>Om 'n oorsig van die C</li> <li>Om 'n platform vir proj</li> </ul>		bied; en	-	-			



Teenwoordig

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM					
3.	Voorleggings							
	Verwys na die kopie van die aanbieding aangeheg as Bylaag B.	-	-					
3.1	Projek Agtergrond en Motivering							
3.1.1	Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:       •         • Nasionale ontwikkelings konteks;       •         • Waterhulpbron assessering;       -         • Projek oorsig; en       •         • Bestuur van nasionale hulpbronne.       •							
3.2	Projek Beskrywing							
3.2.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>MKWAP-2 komponente – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort Stuwal en Onttrekkingswerke;</li> <li>Balanseerdamme, Ontslikkingswerke, Hoëdruk Pompstasie;</li> <li>Oordragskema;</li> <li>Drukbreekreservoir;</li> <li>Operasionelereservoir; en</li> <li>Toegangspaaie.</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening –</li> <li>Twee 132 kV lyne (ongeveer 4 km);</li> <li>132/11kV substasie;</li> <li>Leengroewe; en</li> <li>Rivierbedryfstelsel.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Aanbieding deur A Nelwamondo wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>TCTO se gekose proses vir verkryging van grond;</li> <li>Vergoeding; en</li> <li>Serwituut se wydte en gepaardgaande voorwaardes.</li> </ul>	-	-					
3.3	Omgewingsbepaling							
3.3.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>Wetlike raamwerk;</li> <li>OIB-proses – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur;</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening;</li> </ul> </li> <li>OIB-program;</li> <li>Openbare Deelname Proses; en</li> <li>Spesialis studies.</li> </ul>	-	-					
4.	Bespreking							
4.1	J Erasmus meld die grondeienaars se ontevredenheid aangaande die uitgerekte periode van dat daar laas konsultasie was in verband met die voorgestelde projek. Hy noem dat dit hul langtermyn beplanning belemmer.	-	-					
4.2	J Erasmus noem dat die projekspan 'n totaal van 27 dae op sy plaas was as deel van die vorige studie vir MKWAP-2. Hy vra of daar verdere terreinbesoeke op sy eiendom uitgevoer gaan word.	-	-					
	A Nelwamondo noem dat dit afhang of die studies die vorige keer							

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	voltooi is.		
4.3	<ul><li>B Enslin vra wanneer die ligging van al die leengroewe bekend sal wees.</li><li>A Nelwamondo dui aan dat verdere geotegniese ondersoeke nog onderneem moet word om die ligging van die laaste aantal leengroewe te bevestig.</li></ul>	-	-
4.4	<ul><li>G du Preez vra wanneer die verskeie spesialisstudies uitgevoer gaan word.</li><li>D Henning dui aan dat daar nog met die grondeienaars in verbinding getree moet word om toegang vir die spesialiste te reël.</li></ul>	-	-
4.5	<ul> <li>J Erasmus vra dat die gekose pyplyn roetes aangedui moet word.</li> <li>E Greyling meld dat daar 'n verskil tussen 'n "gekose" en "verkose" roete is.</li> <li>D Henning verduidelik dat die aanbevole opsies vir die projekkomponente eers later in die OIB-proses geïdentifiseer sal word, met oorweging van die bevindinge van die spesialisstudies, insette van die tegniese span en kwessies geopper deur Belanghebbende en Geaffekteerde Partye. Hy dui aan dat die tegniese span eindige aanbevole roete die opsie is wat naby Steenbokpan eindig.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.6	<ul> <li>J Erasmus spreek bekommernis uit dat die veiligheid van die grondeienaars deur die projek bedreig gaan word.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo verduidelik die maatreëls wat toegepas gaan word en noem dat daar geen voorvalle tydens die MKWAP (Fase 1) se konstruksieperiode was nie.</li> <li>D Henning noem dat die Omgewingsbestuurprogram wat voorberei gaan word as deel van die OIB voorsiening sal maak vir veiligheidsaspekte soos heinings, toegangsbeheer, identifikasie vir konstruksiespan, ens.</li> <li>S Pienaar noem verder dat toegangsbeheer streng gekontroleer sal word rakende die sluit van hekke en toegang tot die serwituut, en dat konstruksiewerktye ook beheer sal word.</li> </ul>	Nemai	Sal bevestig word
4.7	J Coetzee stel voor dat die projekspan skakel met die Gemeenskap Polisie Forum wat aktief is in die area. D Henning dui aan dat dit ook as 'n aanbeveling in die Omgewingsbestuurprogram ingesluit kan word.	Nemai	Sal bevestig word
4.8	<ul> <li>B Enslin vra waar die konstruksiekamp geleë sal wees en hoeveel mense daar sal bly.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo dui aan dat daar sover moontlik voorkeur aan plaaslike werkers gegee sal word, en dat daar 'n maksimum van 1 000 werkers in totaal sal wees. Hy noem dat huidige fasiliteite op omliggende plase benut sal word, indien moontlik. Hy dui ook aan dat die nodige maatreëls in plek sal wees om die impakte wat geassosieer is met die kamp(e) te bestuur.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.9	B Enslin vra hoe konstruksie-verwante impakte op sensitiewe wildspesies bestuur sal word. Hy stel voor dat 'n deskundige geraadpleeg word.	Nemai	Sal bevestig word



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	D Henning dui aan dat die aanbeveling oorweeg sal word. Hy noem ook dat die Omgewingsbestuurprogram spesifieke versagtende maatreëls sal insluit aangaande wildbestuur en dat grondeienaars aanmoedig word om maatreëls voor te stel.		
4.10	K Janse van Rensburg meld dat alhoewel die pyplyn beplan word op plaasgrense is daar plase wat oor meer as een titelakte beskik en dat die plase 'n eenheid vorm en die pyplyn dus deur die plaas sal loop. Hy noem verder dat die verwisseling van plase deur verkope probleme veroorsaak rondom die vergoeding wat boere ontvang vir die pyplyn. Hy versoek dat dit duidelik in die ooreenkomste met die grondeienaars uiteengesit word. D Henning noem dat grondeienaars verplig is om die besonderhede	_	-
	van die serwituut aan die nuwe eienaar te openbaar. A Nelwamondo verduidelik verder dat die grondeienaar se besonderhede bevestig sal word as deel van die grondverkrygings- proses. Hy noem ook dat die onteieningskennisgewing by die Aktekantoor ingedien sal word.		
4.11	K Janse van Rensburg noem dat daar seker gemaak moet word in die gevalle waar daar met plaasbestuurders gekommunikeer word dat die eienaars ook kennis dra van alle korrespondensie en dat die ooreenkoms wat gesluit word deur die eienaars gesteun word.	-	-
4.12	K Janse van Rensburg vra of dit moontlik sal wees om aftappunte van die pyplyn te kry. A Nelwamondo dui aan dat dit die beleid van DWS is om slegs aftappunte aan direk-geaffekteerde grondeienaars te voorsien vir wild- en veesuipings, en dat 'n beperkte volume water (± 150 kl / maand beskikbaar gemaak sal word vir hierdie doeleindes. Die waterverbruik sal gemeet word en voorafbepaalde tariewe sal geld. Hy noem ook dat hierdie saak deel sal vorm van die onderhandelinge met afsonderlike grondeienaars.	-	-
4.13	G du Preez vra of die pyplyn aan die westelike kant van die spoorlyn gaan loop. D Henning bevestig dat dit die voorstel is.	-	-
4.14	<ul><li>G du Preez noem dat die beoogde pyplynroete reg deur 'n kamp vir eksotiese wild op hul plaas loop. Hy vra wat gedurende konstruksie met die wild gemaak sal word.</li><li>A Nelwamondo dui aan dat die kamp geskuif sal moet word voor konstruksie.</li><li>S Pienaar noem ook dat die heining aan die nodige spesifikasies sal</li></ul>	-	-
4.15	<ul> <li>moet voldoen.</li> <li>G du Preez noem dat 'n dam en boorgat op hul plaas deur die aanbevole pyplynroete beïnvloed sal word.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo verduidelik dat 'n opname van die beoogde serwituut uitgevoer sal word as deel van die vergoedings-proses om alle bates te identifiseer.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.16	G du Preez vra of rehabilitasie na konstruksie sal plaasvind. A Nelwamondo bevestig dat rehabilitasie plaasvind en dat 'n grassaadmengsel gesaai sal word, met insette van die grondeienaar.	-	-



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
4.17	J Erasmus noem dat die water wat vrygelaat gaan word tydens die instandhouding van die infrastruktuur moontlik die omliggende waterbronne gaan besoedel.	-	-
	D Henning dui aan dat die saak verder ondersoek sal word tydens die OIB en dat 'n opinie van 'n deskundige verkry sal word.		
	J Erasmus vra hoe die veiligheid van die grondeienaars tydens die bedryfsfase verseker sal word. Hy noem ook die probleme wat ervaar word as gevolg van Eskom se swak praktyke.		
	A Nelwamondo verduidelik die protokol wat toegepas sal word vir toegangsbeheer tot die permanente serwituut.		
4.18	D Henning noem ook dat daar 'n Omgewingsbestuurprogram vir die bedryfsfase sal wees wat onder andere voorsiening sal maak vir veiligheid. Hy dui aan dat die Belanghebbende en Geaffekteerde Partye toegang sal hê tot die dokument.	-	-
	J Erasmus versoek dat die relevante lede van die bedryfspan deel sal neem aan die Gemeenskap-veiligheidsvergaderings.		
4.19	J Erasmus vra wanneer die onderhandelinge met die grondeienaars rakende grondverkryging gaan afskop.		
4.15	A Nelwamondo verduidelik dat dit eers kan begin as omgewingsmagtiging verkry word.	-	-
4.20	G du Preez vra of daar 'n aparte toegangspad vir die serwituut sal wees. S Pienaar bevestig dat dit wel die geval sal wees.	-	-
	E Greyling noem dat die doel van die OIB is om die impak op die omgewing te ondersoek. Sy meld dat MKWAP-2 'n veel wyer impak op die bosveld tot gevolg sal hê weens die kumulatiewe impakte wat geassosieer is met die water-eindverbruikers se ontwikkelings.		
4.21	D Henning noem dat kumulatiewe impakte ondersoek sal word as deel van die OIB. Hy dui ook aan dat die doel van die Omgewingsbestuurraamwerk wat voorberei is vir die Waterberg Distrik Munisipaliteit is om besluitneming te ondersteun ten einde toepaslike en volhoubare ontwikkeling in die gebied te fasiliteer. Dit sluit in die afbakening van verskeie bestuursareas om sensitiewe omgewings te beskerm. Hy noem verder dat die voetspoor van MKWAP-2 probeer om die sone te volg wat bestem is vir groot lineêre infrastruktuur.	-	-
	A Nelwamondo noem dat die Medupi-kragstasie die water deur MKWAP-2 wat gelewer gaan word benodig ten einde die tegnologie om lugbesoedeling te verminder te implementeer.		
4.22	J Erasmus dui aan dat sy grond geaffekteer word deur verskeie lineêre infrastruktuur, insluitend 'n spoorlyn, pad, kraglyne en ook die beoogde pyplyn. Hy vra hoe vergoeding hierdie in ag sal neem.	-	-
	D Henning noem dat daar verder ondersoek gekyk sal moet word. K Janse van Rensburg vra of grondeise in ag geneem sal word.		
4.23	D Henning dui aan dat die saak verder ondersoek sal word tydens die OIB.	-	-
4.24	<ul> <li>W du Plessis vra vir verdere inligting aangaande die volgende:</li> <li>Waterbalans;</li> <li>Ekologiese Reserwe; en</li> </ul>	Nemai	Sal bevestig word



NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	<ul> <li>Nuutste tydskedule vir die projek.</li> <li>D Henning dui aan dat die inligting voorsien sal word.</li> </ul>		
	D'herning dur dar dat die ningting voorsien sar word.		
5.	Afsluiting		
5.1	S Pienaar het almal teenwoordig bedank vir hul positiewe deelname en waardevolle insette.	-	-
	Die vergadering verdaag om 12:00.		

Bylae
Bylaag A - Teenwoordigheidslys
Bylaag B - Aanbiedings



BYLAAG A

TEENWOORDIGHEIDSLYS

. <b>NEMAL</b> CONSULTING	PRESENSIELYS / ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Openbare Vergadering Nr. 2 / Public Meeting No. 2	Navrae / Queries:	Donavan Henning	
		Projek Naam:		Project Name:
Kliënt / Client:	water & sanitation	MKWAP-2: Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur		MCWAP-2: Water Transfer Infrastructure
	Department Water and Standson REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA A new word for water	Projek Nr. / Project No.:	10580	
Datum / Date:	26 Mei 2016 / 26 May 2016	Tyd / Time:	08h30 - 12h30	
Voorsitter /	S Pienaar	Plek:		Venue:
Chairperson:		Mogol Konferensiesaal, Lephalale		Mogol Conference Hall, Lephalale

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	012 336 8613 / 082 809 2011		VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za	
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 809 5101		ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za	Ala de
Segomotso Kelefetswe	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 803 1670	P.O. BOX 943 LEPHALALE 0555	SKelefetswe@tcta.co.za	Que
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 082 891 0604		donavanh@nemai.co.za	A
Salomon Pienaar	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 073 183 1722		salomonp@nemai.co.za	f.
Daniel Ramosa	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730			A currosa

,

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie (indien relevant) / Affiliation (if relevant)	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
THEMBANT		012 683 1280	P. O. BOX 10335		
MASHIANE	TCTA.	0786962564	CENTURION 0046	tmachiane@tcta w?	A BASHIMANE
frans Kapp	Creaver	0825581581 9.1	J. Sasce 971 Misros	Frons. Kemp & excaro.	× 2
Kinrich Borning	Expand		2 2		41
BERUAED EUSLIN			CENTERTON 36 THEORY. NEEKERK	SEEV TUDEWATCHE GUNAT. OU	$\sum$
J. CORTZER.			2	me - co eteres d appindes.	V.
G.J. du has	33-224		sbusg. Midstran		
RANDLOBENG	DWS	06350100524.	JOUBERT GEREET	Ramolobeng Odwigouze	RE

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Julius Ernsuns	Elenation	078 220 4462	Bis 468 LEPHALALE	jurisbrahmane@ gmail.co	
Kobus v Rensbug	BERTIE JOUBERT EIENDOMME	POSRUS 5027		bertiejou bertelkansa.ne	
Pdy Plessis	floor	0834508(3 8	87 Roslin 841	pietor Gestategroup.co	NM)
Bribizies Machero	WESE	0789295413	100 130>2 2847 ENKELISULT 0556	wej-Forumedymuil.com	Milo
Leoni Kruger	Magði Pos	0736204731			Vell
TICHATONGA GONA	H SANTATION	060521745	P. BAG X313   PRETORIA	gonaht@dwa.gov.z	< <b>Q</b>
Everan				l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
MAPOTO	SVIC HOLDINGS	082 824 290	24	Setumishi@gmaililom	RAND
DANIE	Musy while	082 39973	2	danie @ dyby well.	
PT DAN STADEN	MERCURY INTERTRADE	071672 810	9	pt e mittrade.co.za	C.3

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasle / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Sybil Nichwoudt	Lephodale Muns Councilly	078786689	P.O Box 60 32 Onverwacht Ellig	s Sybilmand Og mail.com	Muet
Koos Smit	Digby wells	0722898560	RO. Box 16,869 Finalle at	- 3	af
Donald Maba	de DNS	082 650 69	P/899 × 9506 OT POLOKWAMO, 070	gov. 29	Ma .
Bhand Nyango	SVIC hordings	0606002751		Blond; Q.g. mail: wm.	PROM
E. Grey ling	Landowner	0828638696	Po Box 1058 Lephalale osss	bubu bush@lantic. Net	ent
Willie du Mom	EXXARO	071603864	Polov 5862 LI Orwermach;	wille de plans o esescare	con Molef

## BYLAAG B

AANBIEDINGS



NEMAI CONSULTING	KONSEP NOTULE Openbare Vergadering Nr. 3	Navrae:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 ఆ 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Kliënt:	Water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REFUELC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Projek Naam:	Mokolo en Krokodil (Wes) Wateraanvullingsprojek (Fase 2) (MKWAP-2): Wateroordragskema
Datum:	26 Mei 2016	Tyd:	14:00 – 18:00
Voorsitter:	S Pienaar	Plek:	Thusong Gemeenskapsentrum, Steenbokpan

<u>LW:</u> Die notule is nie verbatim nie maar eerder 'n opsomming van die besprekings tydens die vergadering.

Teenwoordig					
Verwys	na die teenwoordigheidslys	aangeheg as Bylaag A.			
NR.	BESKRYWING			AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
1.	Verwelkoming				
1.1	S Pienaar het die vergadering geopen en almal verwelkom. Daarna is die res van die projekspan teenwoordig voorgestel, naamlik:				
	ORGANISASIE	ROL IN PROJEK	SPANLEDE		
	Trans-Caledon Tonnel Owerheid (TCTO)	Implementerings-agent	A Nelwamondo S Kelefetswe		
	Nemai Consulting	Omgewingsimpakbepaling (OIB) Praktisyn	D Henning		
	<ul> <li>S Pienaar het die volgende beklemtoon:</li> <li>Die OIB-proses is slegs in die Aankondigings-fase; en</li> <li>Addisionele vergaderings, met 'n spesifieke fokus op water- verwante aangeleenthede, sal nog gehou word met onder andere –</li> <li>Grondeienaars;</li> <li>Landbou-sektor -</li> <li>Hartbeespoort Besproeiingsraad;</li> <li>Krokodilrivier (Wes) Besproeiingsraad; en</li> <li>Makoppa boere.</li> </ul>			-	-
2.	Doelwitte van die Vergadering				
2.1	<ul> <li>S Pienaar het aangedui dat die doelwitte van die vergadering die volgende behels:</li> <li>Om die voorgestelde projek te verduidelik;</li> <li>Om 'n oorsig van die OIB-proses te gee;</li> <li>Om 'n platform vir projekverwante besprekings te bied; en</li> <li>Om insette vir die Omvangsbepalingsfase te verkry.</li> </ul>			-	-

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
3.	Voorleggings		
	Verwys na die kopie van die aanbieding aangeheg as Bylaag B.	-	-
3.1	Projek Agtergrond en Motivering		
3.1.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>Nasionale ontwikkelings konteks;</li> <li>Waterhulpbron assessering;</li> <li>Projek oorsig; en</li> <li>Bestuur van nasionale hulpbronne.</li> </ul>	-	-
3.2	Projek Beskrywing		
3.2.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>MKWAP-2 komponente – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort Stuwal en Onttrekkingswerke;</li> <li>Balanseerdamme, Ontslikkingswerke, Hoëdruk Pompstasie;</li> <li>Oordragskema;</li> <li>Drukbreek-reservoir;</li> <li>Operasionele reservoir; en</li> <li>Toegangspaaie.</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening –</li> <li>Twee 132 kV lyne (ongeveer 4 km);</li> <li>132/11kV substasie;</li> <li>Leengroewe; en</li> <li>Rivierbedryfstelsel.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Aanbieding deur A Nelwamondo wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>TCTA se gekose proses vir verkryging van grond;</li> <li>Vergoeding; en</li> <li>Serwituut se wydte en gepaardgaande voorwaardes.</li> </ul>	-	-
3.3	Omgewingsbepaling		
3.3.1	<ul> <li>Aanbieding deur D Henning wat die volgende hoofpunte ingesluit het:</li> <li>Wetlike raamwerk;</li> <li>OIB-proses – <ul> <li>Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuur;</li> <li>Grootmaat-kragvoorsiening;</li> </ul> </li> <li>OIB-program;</li> <li>Openbare Deelname Proses; en</li> <li>Spesialis studies.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.	Bespreking		
4.1	A Pugh vra of daar 'n heining aan weerskante van die serwituut gespan gaan word. D Henning bevestig dat dit die geval sal wees gedurende konstruksie, na konstrukjsie word die drade weer verwyder.	-	-
4.2	H Steenkamp vra wanner die waardasie vir die serwituut sal plaasvind. A Nelwamondo dui aan dat die waarde van die grond na die uitreiking van die omgewings magtiging sal begin, die "voor en na" beginsel sal	-	-

NR.	BESKRYWING	AKSIE	TEIKEN- DATUM
	in ag geneem word.		
4.3	<ul> <li>H Steenkamp vra of dit moontlik sal wees om aftappunte van die pyplyn te kry.</li> <li>D Henning noem dat dit die beleid van DWS is om slegs aftappunte aan direk-geaffekteerde grondeienaars te voorsien vir wild- en/of veesuipings, en dat 'n beperkte volume water (± 150 kl / maand) beskikbaar gemaak sal word vir hierdie doeleindes. Hy noem ook dat hierdie saak deel sal vorm van die onderhandelinge met afsonderlike grondeienaars.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo voeg by dat die waterverbruik gemeet sal word en</li> </ul>	-	-
4.4	voorafbepaalde tariewe sal geld. A Pugh noem dat die pyplynroetes volg die opsies van die kraglynroetes wat deel vorm van die beoogde Namane Generation kragstasie. D Henning dui aan dat daar met die konsultante van die projek in verbinding getree sal word.	Nemai	Sal bevestig word
4.5	<ul> <li>H Steenkamp versoek dat die pyplyn die plaasgrense moet volg eerder as die pad na Steenbokpan toe.</li> <li>D Henning noem dat hierdie saak verder ondersoek sal word as deel van die OIB.</li> </ul>	Nemai	Sal bevestig word
4.6	<ul> <li>D Mochambi meld dat hy nie bewus was van die vergadering nie. Hy versoek dat kennisgewings by die gemeenskapsentrum geplaas word en dat daar van die Lephalale-Gemeenskapradiostasie gebruik gemaak word.</li> <li>D Henning noem dat daar verdere aandag aan die versoeke geskenk sal word.</li> </ul>	Nemai	Sal bevestig word
4.7	<ul><li>D Mochambi vra of plaaslike arbeid gebruik gaan word tydens konstruksie.</li><li>S Kelefetswe noem dat daar sover moontlik voorkeur aan plaaslike arbeid gegee sal word en dat die oordrag van vaardighede bevorder sal word.</li></ul>	-	-
5.	Afsluiting		
5.1	S Pienaar het almal teenwoordig bedank vir hul positiewe deelname en waardevolle insette. Die vergadering verdaag om 15:30.	-	-

Bylae
Bylaag A - Teenwoordigheidslys
Bylaag B - Aanbiedings

BYLAAG A

TEENWOORDIGHEIDSLYS

	PRESENSIELYS / ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Openbare Vergadering Nr. 3 / Public Meeting No. 3	Navrae / Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730	
		Projek Naam:		Project Name:
Kliënt / Client:	Water & sanitation	MKWAP-2: Wateroordragskema-infrastruktuu		MCWAP-2: Water Transfer Infrastructure
	Department Sandson REPUBLIC OF SOUTHAFRICA A new word for water	Projek Nr. / Project No.:	10580	
Datum / Date:	26 Mei 2016 / 26 May 2016	Tyd / Time:	14h00 – 18h00	
Voorsitter /	S Pienaar	Plek:		Venue:
Chairperson:	SPienaar	Thusong Gemeenskapsentrum, Steenbokpan Thusong Community Centre, Steenbokp		Thusong Community Centre, Steenbokpan

.

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	012 336 8613 / 082 809 2011		VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za	
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 809 5101		ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za	A b
Segomotso Kelefetswe	ТСТА	012 683 1200 / 082 803 1670		SKelefetswe@tcta.co.za	She
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 082 891 0604		donavanh@nemai.co.za	4
Salomon Pienaar	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730 / 073 183 1722		salomonp@nemai.co.za	the .
Daniel Ramosa	Nemai Consulting	011 781 1730			Of amosa/

Naam en Van / Name and Surname	Affiliasie / Affiliation	Telefoon Nr. / Telephone No.	Posadres / Postal Address	E-pos / Email	Handtekening / Signature
JACQUES SNYMAN	LEPHALALE DEVELOPMENT FORUM	0825741590	PO BOX 2064 LEATALALE OSSS	jacquess@macgroup Co. zer	
A. R. PuGH	MINNAALSPAM TOEZICHT.	0 82 8574657 0 82 8574657	1.0.50×1099 (EDHALALE	SUNDX62 Q YAHOO. COM	NO
Blaine Mabulo	Lesedi 42	0768319126	Pobox 29 Steenbokpan 0533		Abde
Alfred Seleoboeing	Lesedi 63	0729236071	P.O Box 29		ÆJ
Nochamb	Lesechi Ward Committee	0765358029	DO BOX 30 Steen Sokpay 0533	ditthorisome agmail.	OW WHAT

### BYLAAG B

AANBIEDINGS



# APPENDIX D5.2

MINUTES OF AUTHORITY MEETING

NEMAI CONSULTING	DRAFT MINUTES Environmental Authorities Meeting	Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 글 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client:	water & sanitation Department: REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Project Name:	Mokolo Crocodile (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2) (MCWAP-2): 1. Water Transfer Infrastructure 2. Bulk Power Supply
Date:	25 May 2016	Time:	14:00 – 16:00
Chairperson:	D Henning	Venue:	Kumba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi

<u>Note:</u> These minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather as a summary of the salient discussions which took place.

#### Attendance

Refer to the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION	TARGET DATE
1.	Welcome and Introduction		
1.1	D Henning facilitated the meeting and welcomed everyone present. Attendees were requested to introduce themselves.	-	-
2.	Aims of the Meeting		
2.1	<ul> <li>D Henning explained that the aims of the meeting were as follows:</li> <li>To explain the proposed project;</li> <li>To provide an overview of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process;</li> <li>To determine the authorities' requirements;</li> <li>To provide a platform for project-related discussions; and</li> <li>To obtain input into the Scoping Phase.</li> </ul>	-	-
3.	Confirmation of Agenda		
3.1	The agenda was accepted without any amendments.	-	-
4.	Presentations		
	Refer to a copy of the presentation contained in Appendix B.	-	-
4.1	Project Background & Motivation		
4.1.1	<ul> <li>O van den Berg presented the project background and motivation for the proposed MCWAP-2, which included the following main points:</li> <li>National Development Context;</li> <li>Water Resource Assessment;</li> <li>Project Overview; and</li> <li>Management of National Water Resources.</li> </ul>	-	-
4.2	Project Description		
4.2.1	<ul> <li>D Henning provided an overview of the following project components:</li> <li>Water Transfer Infrastructure –</li> </ul>	-	-



ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION	TARGET DATE
	<ul> <li>Vlieëpoort Weir and abstraction infrastructure;</li> <li>Balancing reservoir, desilting woks, and a high lift pump station;</li> <li>Transfer system;</li> <li>Break Pressure Reservoir;</li> <li>Operational Reservoir;</li> <li>Delivery system;</li> <li>Access roads;</li> <li>Bulk Power Supply –</li> <li>Two 132 kV power lines running in parallel (approximately 4 km each);</li> <li>A substation with a footprint of approximately 100 m x 100 m;</li> <li>Borrow Pits; and</li> <li>River Management System.</li> </ul>		
4.3	Environmental Assessment	I	
4.3.1	<ul> <li>D Henning provided an overview of the following:</li> <li>Environmental legal framework;</li> <li>EIA process – <ul> <li>Water Transfer Infrastructure;</li> <li>Bulk Power Supply;</li> </ul> </li> <li>EIA programme;</li> <li>Public participation process; and</li> <li>Specialist studies.</li> </ul>	-	-
5.	Discussion		
5.1	S Phasha asked if a site visit will be held after the meeting. D Henning indicated that the site visit will be held as part of the authorities meeting in the Scoping phase or if specifically requested by an authority.	-	-
5.2	<ul> <li>S Phasha asked if there had been any engagement with the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) to date.</li> <li>O van den Berg indicated that DEA had been invited to the Authorities meeting. He further noted that the following two meetings have been held with DEA:</li> <li>DEA Pre-Application Consultation Meeting (August 2015); and</li> <li>DEA follow up meeting to confirm the approach to the EIA (March 2016).</li> </ul>	-	-
5.3	S Phasha noted the poor water quality in the Crocodile River. O van den Berg indicated that only the sediment will be removed as part of the transfer scheme and that the respective end users would need to treat the raw water to meet their requisite standards. He also indicated that the Zeeland Water Treatment Works will only receive water from Mokolo Dam.	-	-
5.4	<ul> <li>S Phasha asked if water would be taken from Mokolo Dam and noted that there is not sufficient water downstream of the impoundment.</li> <li>O van den Berg explained that MCWAP-2 entails the transfer of additional water from the Crocodile River to meet the requirements.</li> <li>S Phasha asked if the proposed abstraction weir will be standardised.</li> </ul>	-	-
5.5	O van den Berg explained that it will not serve as a gauging weir but as a diversion weir to allow for water abstraction. He indicated that	-	-



ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION	TARGET DATE
	gauging weirs formed part of the River Management System.		
5.6	T Kolani requested that a CD with the application for the borrow pits be delivered to the Regional Offices of the Department of Mineral Resources. He also confirmed that all borrow pits can be included in a single application.	-	-
5.7	R Botha indicated that the proposed return of sediment back to the Crocodile River from the desilting works would constitute a Section 21(f) water use in terms of the National Water Act (No. 36 of 1998).	-	-
5.8	R Botha also indicated that for Section 21(i) water use all wetlands within a 500 m radius of the project infrastructure would need to be identified. He noted that the new General Authorisation would be published soon, which needed to be taken into consideration in this regard.	-	-
5.9	<ul> <li>D Henning noted that the following water uses will be included in the Integrated Water Use Licence Application (IWULA):</li> <li>Section 21(a) - Taking water from a water resource;</li> <li>Section 21(b) - Storing water;</li> <li>Section 21(c) - Impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse;</li> <li>Section 21(f) - discharging waste or water containing waste into a water resource through a pipe, canal, sewer, sea outfall or other conduit; and</li> <li>Section 21(i) - Altering the bed, banks, course or characteristics of a watercourse.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo queried whether a Section 21(a) water use needed to be included in the IWULA, as the end users would need to apply for this water use. R Botha indicated that the water use entitlement is needed to lie with the operator of the scheme.</li> <li>D Henning suggested that the IWULA requirements be discussed with the DWS Regional Office during a separate pre-application meeting.</li> </ul>	Nemai, TCTA, DWS	To be confirmed
5.10	S Phasha emphasised that the proposed project cannot be implemented without an Integrated Water Use Licence.	-	-
5.11	<ul> <li>S Phasha asked where water for construction purposes will be obtained from.</li> <li>A Nelwamondo indicated that boreholes would be used if existing services are not available.</li> <li>D Henning noted that water used for this purpose may fall within the conditions of the General Authorisation, which needed to be confirmed.</li> </ul>	Nemai, TCTA, DWS	To be confirmed
5.12	<ul> <li>S Phasha enquired about the notification of the public.</li> <li>D Henning explained that the EIA process for MCWAP-2 makes provision for engagement during the announcement, scoping and EIA phases. He further listed the various forms of notification undertaken to date, which primarily included:</li> <li>Onsite notices;</li> <li>Newspaper notices; and</li> <li>Direct notification via emails and registered mail.</li> </ul>	-	-
6.	Close		

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION	TARGET DATE
6.1	The meeting was concluded at approximately 15h30.	-	-

Appendices
Appendix A - Completed Attendance Register
Appendix B - Presentation



### APPENDIX A

COMPLETED ATTENDANCE REGISTER



	ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Environmental Authorities Meeting	Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 급 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client:	water & sanitation	Project Name:	Mokolo Crocodlle (West) Water Augmentation Project Phase 2: 1. Water Transfer Infrastructure 2. Bulk Power Supply
	REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA A new word for water	Project Number:	10580
Date:	25 May 2016	Time:	14h00 – 16h00
Chairperson:	D Henning	Venue:	Kumba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	Acting Director: Options Analysis	25 012 336 8613	B
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	Environmental Manager	<ul> <li>☑ VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za</li> <li>☎ 012 683 1200</li> <li>◎ 082 809 5101</li> <li>☑ ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za</li> </ul>	Alab
Segomotso Kelefetswe	ТСТА	Project Engineer	☎ 012 683 1200	Sue
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	EIA Project Leader	☎ 011 781 1730	X
Salomon Pienaar	Nemai Consulting	EIA Public Participation	C 011 781 1730 073 183 1722 ⊠ salomonp@nemai.co.za	T.
Daniel Ramosa	Nemai Consulting	EIA Public Participation	☎ 011 781 1730 ≝ ⊠	AP amosa

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign
Kolanii T.C	Meneral Reserverce	Environment	8 082 818 9566	Malane
R BOTHA	DWS L-NW PCMA	Œ	© 012 392 1308 1082 808 9560 ≥P/B × 995 PRETORIA 0001	botharcdwg, gov.
PHASA ST	DWS	EEN	≈18728027775	Phel
SEGD KELEFETSWE	TETA	PROJECT ENG	<ul> <li>8 012683/200</li> <li>1 082803/670</li> <li>∞ skelefetswe@tcta.co.za</li> </ul>	a contraction
MASHIANE	TCTA	PROJECTS COORDINATOR	© 012683 1200 1 078696 2561 ∞ Emoshiane@Ecta 00.74	BLASHIMME
AZWI NEWAMON Nelwamon 00	D TETA.	ENVI RONMENTAL MANAGIER	© 012 603 1200 1082-803-1609 ⊠anelwamonda@Ecta.co.2	Ab
RomaBurna To	DAFF	PASSISTAN 7 DIRECTOR	© 012 946 8578 1643 37€ 2865 ≥ Ramabrianaw@daffs	ov. 20 SQ
falo kgasago	DAFE	Assistant Director	BOIZ 846 8563 PaloD@daff.gov.z	
			1 2	

### APPENDIX B


PRESENTATION



# APPENDIX D5.3


MINUTES OF FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS







	ROLE IN PROJECT	PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS
DWS	Applicant	<ul> <li>O. van den Berg</li> <li>R. Gilmer</li> <li>R. Botha</li> <li>N. Sibongiseni</li> <li>H. Pretorius</li> </ul>
ТСТА	Implementing Agent	<ul> <li>A. Nelwamondo</li> <li>K. Mabitsela</li> <li>S. Kelefetswe</li> <li>A. Thebe</li> </ul>
мсс	Technical Team	<ul> <li>J. Kroon</li> <li>J. Pienaar</li> </ul>
WRP	Water Resources Specialist	≻ P. van Rooyen
Nemai Consulting	EIA Practitioner	<ul> <li>≻ D. Henning</li> <li>≻ C. van der Hoven</li> </ul>




	Focus Group Meeting – Crocodile River West Irrigation Board	24 Janua	ary 2018	14h	00 – 17h0
	Agenda Items		Speake	r	Time Slo
1	OPENING AND WELCOME				
2	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES				
3	AIMS OF THE MEETING Chairperson 14h00 – 14h15				
4	CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA				
5	PROJECT OVERVIEW				
5.1	Background and Motivation	O van den B	Berg	14h15 – 14	
5.2	Proposed Project Layout	J Pienaar		14h30 - 14	
6	VERIFICATION OF EXISTING LAWFUL WATER USES IN THE CROCODILE RIVE	ER (WEST)	R Botha		14h45 – 15i
7	KEY ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT				
7.1	Availability of Water in the Crocodile River (West) P van Roc				15h00 - 16
7.2	Management of Impacts regarding Existing Water Uses (Operating Rules)		P van Rooye	en	16h00 - 16i
7.3	River Management System		J Pienaar		16h15 – 16i
8	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT		D Henning		16h30 - 16h
9	RELATED MATTERS (to be confirmed under item 4)				16h50 - 17h
9.1					
10	CLOSURE				17h00


4) CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA							
Meeting 1	)	Focus Group Meeting – Hartebeespoort Irrigation Board	24 Janua	ry 2018 09	9h00 – 12h00		
		Agenda Items		Speaker	Time Slot		
100	1	OPENING AND WELCOME					
	2	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES					
Ser.	3	AIMS OF THE MEETING 09h00 – 09h15					
A Sta	4	4 CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA					
HE FR	5	PROJECT OVERVIEW					
and of the	5.1	Background and Motivation		O van den Berg	09h15 - 09h30		
1	5.2	Proposed Project Layout		J Pienaar	09h30 - 09h45		
	6	VERIFICATION OF EXISTING LAWFUL WATER USES IN THE CROCODILE RIVE	R (WEST)	R Botha	09h45 - 10h00		
1000	7	KEY ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT					
States .	7.1	Availability of Water in the Crocodile River (West)		P van Rooyen	10h00 - 11h00		
	7.2	Management of Impacts regarding Existing Water Uses (Operating Rules)		P van Rooyen	11h00 - 11h15		
	7.3	River Management System		J Pienaar	11h15 - 11h30		
81	8	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT		D Henning	11h30 - 11h50		
1	9	RELATED MATTERS (to be confirmed under item 4)			11h50 - 12h00		
	9.1						
the second second	10	CLOSURE			12h00		
6		WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY	Toll Free: 0	0800 200 200	www.dwa.gov.za		


	4) CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA								
Meeting 3		Focus Group Meeting – Makoppa Irrigation Area	25 Janua	ary 2018	09h00 – 12h00				
		Agenda Items		Speake	er Time Slot				
	1	OPENING AND WELCOME							
	2	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES Chairperson 09h00 - 09h1							
	3	AIMS OF THE MEETING							
A CAR	4	CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA							
11 P	5	PROJECT OVERVIEW							
Contra 1	5.1	Background and Motivation	O van den I	Berg 09h15 - 09h30					
	5.2	Proposed Project Layout		J Pienaar	09h30 - 09h45				
1000 C	6	VERIFICATION OF EXISTING LAWFUL WATER USES IN THE CROCODILE RIVER (WEST) R Botha 09h45 - 10h							
Street and	7	KEY ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT							
A COMPANY	7.1	Availability of Water in the Crocodile River (West)		P van Rooy	ven 10h00 - 11h00				
	7.2	Management of Impacts regarding Existing Water Uses (Operating Rules)		P van Rooy	ven 11h00 – 11h15				
No. of Concession, Name	7.3	River Management System		J Pienaar	11h15 – 11h30				
18.	8	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT		D Henning	11h30 - 11h50				
	9	RELATED MATTERS (to be confirmed under item 4)			11h50 - 12h00				
	9.1								
ALL NO	10	CLOSURE			12h00				
8		WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY	Toll Free:	0800 200 20	00 www.dwa.gov.za				









































		MCWAP-2 Components
	1	Water Transfer Infrastructure
10	2	Borrow Pits







WATER		ER INFRASTRUCTURE
5. Ope	erational Re	servoir
OPERATIONAL RES	SERVOIR DETAILS	
DESCRIPTION	VALUE	
NON SPILL OVER CREST	1019.00 mASL	and the second
	1011.00 mASL	I for the main in the second of the second sec
BASE LEVEL	1012.55 mASL	
	5.49 m (MAXIMUM)	
EMBANKMENT HEIGHT	2.95 m (MINIMUM)	
	SIDE SLOPES 1 : 2	
SLOPES	FLOOR 1 : 100	
COMPARTMENT VOLUME	50 000 m'	
WATER LEVEL	1018.00 mASL	
FREE BOARD	1 m	
	7 m (MAXIMUM)	
WATER DEPTH	5.45 m (MINIMUM)	
SPILLWAY DEPTH	0.6 m	Spin and a spin and a spin
SPILLWAY WIDTH	12 m	The second se
embai	ial dams formed l hkments. Joirs will be comp	by shallow excavation and surrounding earthfill




































			Irrig	ation				Storing	
Quat	PEL SVol	Surface C Reg SVol	Present SVol	PEL Bvol	Borehole C Reg BVol	Present BVol	PEL Vol	C Reg Vol	Present Vol
A21J	1 040 389	550 402	452 148	11 811 739	974 265	2 464 893	122 053	0	11 0
A21L	0	0	0	413 016	12 480	0	10 048	0	6 5
A23L	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A24A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A24B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A24C	1 034 400	0	0	3 300 480	0	1 130 400	71 201	0	47 2
A24H	931 546	0	0	931 546	0	0	111 803	0	
A24J	32 560 334	20 595 132	22 012 434	31 539 940	29 232 329	23 381 962	1 874 200	5 161 390	2 755 5













	Crocodile (West) River Reconciliation St	trategy Version 1 (2008)
	Executive Summary	P WMA 03/000/00/3908
	Version 1 of the Crocodile West River Reconciliation Strategy	P WMA 03/000/00/3608
	WRPM analyses.	P WMA 03/000/00/3708
	Current and future water requirements and return flows and water conservation and demand management	P WMA 03/000/00/3508
	Hydrological Assessment.	P WMA 03/000/00/2307
	Agricultural Assessment.	P WMA 03/000/00/2207
	Groundwater Assessment.	P WMA 03/000/00/2507.
	Water requirements and availability scenarios for the Lephalale area	P WMA 03/000/00/4008
	Summary of previous and current studies	P WMA 03/000/00/3408
47	CWRS Inception report	P WMA 03/000/00/3307





	Crocodile (West) River Strategy 20	
	2012 Crocodile West Reconciliation Strategy.	P WMA 03/A31/00/6110/4
	Water Resources Planning Model.	P WMA 03/A31/00/6110/3
	Water quality calibration.	DWS to comment
	MOCWS Inception Report	P WMA 03/A31/00/6110/1
	Assessment of the ultimate potential and future marginal cost of water resources in South Africa.	P RSA 000/00/12610
	An assessment of rain-fed crop production potential in South Africa's neighbouring countries.	P RSA 000/00/12510
	http://www.dwa.gov.za/Projects/crocodilen	naintenance/documents.aspx
48	WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY	Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za


4


4





























































































#### Strategy 2015 (1 of 2)

- The Rand Water service area will continue to be supplied from the Vaal River system and additional re-use within the catchment will be considered only when surplus becomes available.
- The areas north of the Magaliesberg outside the Rand Water supply area will receive increased treated effluent.
- In the Waterberg area (north of the Crocodile River catchment) the future optimal utilisation of local resources will continue and surplus water in the Crocodile River catchment will be transferred to the Lephalale area via MCWAP Phase 2.

Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.g

Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY

#### Strategy 2015 (2 of 2)


- Interventions to supply a possible future temporary projected shortfall will be evaluated by investigating water demand management and/or potential augmentation by transferring treated wastewater from the Vaal River system to the Crocodile River catchment.
- The mining sector should provide annual updates of water use and future water requirement projections.
- Annual monitoring of actual water requirements and return flows, review of the water balance.
- Continue with the Crocodile West Annual Operating Analyses.


Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY

## Summary The strategy makes provision for a range of water resource management measures to ensure:

- Sufficient water will be available to all existing water users (including irrigation).
- Support the proposed transfers via MCWAP Phase 2.
- Support Re-use of treated wastewater.
- If required, transfer treated wastewater from the Vaal River System to the Crocodile.








- Ecological Flow Requirements
- Irrigation, Domestic, Industrial, Mining
- International obligations
- Secondary objectives can include:
  - Minimise operation costs

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY


- Reduce pumping under high storage conditions
- Determine impacts due to emergency conditions, large maintenance work, changed operating rules

Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za



implemented.

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za




#### **Basic principles of drought restrictions**


- Water users can be restricted
- Objective to avoid failure (zero storage volume in reservoirs).
- Water users prioritised into classes:
  - High, Medium and Low priority classes.
  - Each class is assigned a risk of restriction criteria.
  - Balanced (proportional) restriction within a single class.
  - Restrictions implemented progressively for Low > Medium > High classes.

WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY


Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za


K	<b>Annual Operating Analysis Process</b>
	<ul> <li>Activities centred around annual Decision Dates – 1 May &amp; review 1 September of each year.</li> </ul>
	Monitoring implementation of previous years rules
and .	Data collation in preparation for analysis.
197	Scenario formulation meeting
	System Risk Analysis of scenarios
	System Operating Forum:
	<ul> <li>Present scenario results</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Consult with stakeholders</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Seek consensus on operating ruled for next 12 months.</li> </ul>
	Document all activities and decision in an annual
ALC: N	operating analysis report.
92	WATER IS LIFE - SANITATION IS DIGNITY Toll Free: 0800 200 200 www.dwa.gov.za








			User	priority classif	ication	
User Sectors			(assurance of supply)			
			Low	Medium	High	
			(90 %)	(99 %)	(99.5 %)	
			Proportion of water demand supplied (%)			
Domestic			20	30	50	
Industry, Mining, Power			0	30	70	
Irrigation *	¢		100	0	0	
Restriction	levels:	0		1	2	




























































Meetings with Irrigators

	DRAFT MINUTES OF MEETING Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Hartbeespoort Irrigation Board	Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 글 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client:	Water & sanitation Department: Weder and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Project Name:	Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)
Date:	24 January 2018	Time:	09:00 – 12:00
Chairman:	F. Vogel	Place:	DWS Hartbeespoort Area Office (New Office Board Room)

<u>Note:</u> The minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather a summary of the salient discussions which took place at the meeting.

#### ATTENDANCE

Refer to the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.

Item	Description	Action	Target Date
1.	Opening and Welcome		
	<ul><li>H. Barnard opened the meeting with a prayer.</li><li>F. Vogel opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.</li></ul>		
1.1	<ul> <li>F. Vogel emphasised the following:</li> <li>The meeting will be held in Afrikaans but the presentations will appear on the screen in English. Questions can be asked in the language of preference;</li> <li>The meeting is held with the Hartbeespoort Irrigation Board, with a specific focus on water-related issues;</li> <li>The Focus Group Meeting is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process; and</li> <li>Issues must be written down and will be recorded as part of the EIA Process, in the Comments and Responses Report.</li> </ul>	-	-
2.	Attendance and Apologies		
2.1	F. Vogel stated that apologies were recorded for the following persons: R. Botha and O van den Berg, both from DWS. F. Vogel added that the members of the project team would be introduced when they do their presentations.	-	-
	Refer to the attendance register attached as Appendix A.		
3.	Aims of the Meeting		
	F. Vogel indicated that the objectives of the focus group meeting include the following:		
	<ul> <li>To provide background to the proposed MCWAP-2A;</li> </ul>		
3.1	<ul> <li>To provide an overview of the findings of previous and related studies;</li> </ul>	-	-
	<ul> <li>To provide information regarding the proposed River Management System;</li> </ul>		
	To provide an overview of the EIA Process; and		



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	• To provide an opportunity for discussions on project-related issues.		
4.	Confirmation of Agenda		
4.1	The draft agenda was accepted without any changes.	-	-
5	Project Overview		
Refer to	a copy of the presentation attached in Appendix B.		
5.1	Background and Motivation		
5.1.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>National development context;</li> <li>Need for extra water in the Lephalale area;</li> <li>Project overview; and</li> <li>Managing national resources.</li> </ul>	-	-
5.2	Proposed Project Layout		
5.2.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>MCWAP-2A components - <ul> <li>Water transfer scheme infrastructure –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort weir and Abstraction Works;</li> <li>Balancing Dams; Desilting Works, High-lift Pump Station;</li> <li>Transfer Scheme;</li> <li>Break Pressure Reservoir;</li> <li>Operational Reservoir; and</li> <li>Access Roads.</li> <li>Borrow pits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-
5.2.2	<ul> <li><u>Discussion</u></li> <li>D. van Vuuren asked why a large dam could not be built at Vlieëpoort.</li> <li>J. Kroon explained that the topography is steep on both sides at the proposed weir site, however, the conditions for a foundation are poor. A large portion of the water consists of return flows that create a constant stream and only a weir is thus required to allow for abstraction. The costs associated with building a dam due to the foundation conditions renders this option as economically unviable.</li> <li>F. Vogel also noted that the 4 to 6 m weir already creates a backwater effect. A dam will increase this effect, which will result in significant impacts on upstream infrastructure such as roads, railway line and access to the mine. There is thus a restriction on the volume of water that can be stored at this point.</li> </ul>	-	-
6	Verification of Existing Lawful Water Uses in the Crocodile River (W	est)	
6.1	<ul> <li>S. Ndwandwe presented the following:</li> <li>Background to existing lawful water uses;</li> <li>Verification process; and</li> <li>Preliminary results.</li> </ul>	-	-
6.2	<u>Discussion:</u> J. Kroon asked whether DWS will issue a Water Use Licence at the end of the validation process. S. Ndwandwe explained that there are forms of water use entitlements, namely a General Authorisation, a	-	-



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	Water Use Licence and an Existing Lawful Use, which is being verified as part of this process. When an Existing Lawful Use is identified a Section 34 letter is issued to the water user and DWS' database is updated with the verification results. This letter from DWS acknowledges that there is an Existing Lawful Water Use and the recipient may then lawfully use the water.		
	J. Kroon noted that the verification and validation process will feed into the River Management System. F. Vogel agreed and indicated that the results will be used in the water resource modelling.		
	N. Fourie asked what will happen to the farmers that over-abstract. S. Ndwandwe indicated that when such a person is identified the matter will be referred to the appropriate unit within DWS and the water used by this party will then be monitored. He noted that he did know the intricate details of this process. He stated however that this is a problem to the downstream water users when water is over-abstracted upstream. F. Vogel noted that the situation in the Crocodile River (West), where there has been a surplus of water for many years, may change and that this may not be the case in the future. It will form part of the Irrigation Board's responsibility to ensure that water is available.		
	H. Barnard asked if the verification process included the Makoppa water users. S. Ndwandwe indicated that the process is being undertaken for the entire Limpopo area, up to the start of the Olifants River.		
	J. Kroon asked about the validity period of the Section 34 letter. S. Ndwandwe indicated that this letter is not comparable to a Water Use Licence, as it does not contain conditions pertaining to its renewal. DWS may in the future request that all users apply for new Water Use Licences. The letter thus remains valid for an unspecified period.		
	N. Fourie asked why does DWS not just issue a licence. S. Ndwandwe explained that the letter forms part of the authorisation in terms of the National Water Act for an Existing Water Use. He explained that these uses relate to a transition period between the 1956 and 1998 Acts.		
7	Key Issues related to the Proposed Project		
7.1	Availability of water in the Crocodile River (West)		
7.1.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Context of study;</li> <li>DWS Studies - Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Analysis Methodology;</li> <li>Development process for the Reconciliation Strategy;</li> <li>Risk analysis of the Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Annual Water Resource Balance (Scenarios);</li> <li>Reconciliation Strategy; and</li> <li>Summary.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.1.2	Discussion:         D. van Vuuren asked about the actual capacity of Hartbeespoort Dam.         He also enquired about the volume of silt in the dam.         Post meeting note: the capacity of the dam is 186,5 million cubic meters.	-	-



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	P. van Rooyen indicated that a silt analysis was taken into consideration.		
	D. van Vuuren indicated that 75 million cubic meters of water will be required from Hartbeespoort Dam. He noted that the Hartbeespoort Irrigation Board has an annual allocation of 80 million cubic meters, without losses. He asked what will happen if the same situation arises in the system as what is being experienced in the Western Cape. He also asked whether preference will be given to the irrigators or the Medupi Power Station.		
	H. Barnard asked how the water used by the farmers along the river will be monitored. He also asked how much water is being abstracted.		
	F. Vogel and P. van Rooyen indicated that the presentation to follow will attempt to provide answers to these questions.		
	N. Fourie asked wat will happen if there is an increase in the re-use of return flows in Tshwane and Johannesburg, especially as water becomes scarcer. P. van Rooyen explained that this is exactly why the strategy exists. DWS approved the first phase of Tshwane's re-use project, however, the Department indicated that if any further phases of re-use are contemplated by the municipality then they will need to submit this to DWS to ensure that it forms part of the reconciliation strategy and projections.		
7.2	Management of Impacts regarding to Existing Lawful Water Uses (Operating Rules)		
7.2.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Operation of the system - <ul> <li>Goals; and</li> <li>Principles and Processes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Overview of Analysis Methodology - <ul> <li>Simulation Model; and</li> <li>Insurance of the Supply Criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Monitoring example.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.2.2	Discussion: D. van Vuuren asked about the period in May during the wet season, as indicated in the presentation. It was explained that the Irrigation Board's new allocations only commence in September / October. If the dam is full at the end of May and water is only abstracted by the farmers in October then the board will need to adjust the rules as large volumes of water will be lost due to quotas only being allocated to farmers during the driest periods. P. van Rooyen noted that DWS does not want to make a decision already in March or April regarding water restrictions as water may still flow into the dam thereafter. Although there is some flexibility the date of 1 May is anchored, based on the resource availability.	-	-
	J. Kroon indicated that Mokolo Dam also has a rule related to 1 May of every year. P. van Rooyen noted that they had analysed this rule prior to setting up the model and had confirmed that the rule is acceptable and does not need to change. As another example, when Tzaneen Dam in the Letaba area was analysed it was found that there is a rule that when the dam is 95% full then half of the demand gets restricted. Variations in the restriction rules and the implications to specific users		



re analysed. It is important that the restrictions are not too severe to revent the proper utilisation of water in the dam, or that the rules are of severe enough. Hence, it needs to be evaluated. iver Management System Kroon presented the following: Purpose; Application; Servitude of aqueduct; and Infrastructure. iscussion: . Fourie stated that the Bierspruit and Sand River run dry within one eek and have insufficient water. F. Vogel indicated that the point is at the Makoppa irrigators must use the water that is available in the ierspruit and Sand River. The Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir will also beceive water from these watercourses and water must thus be easured to ensure that the Makoppa irrigators that abstract water rther downstream receive sufficient water and that their water is not umped to the power station. Kroon indicated that the Irrigation Board need to take note that the	-	-
Kroon presented the following: Purpose; Application; Servitude of aqueduct; and Infrastructure. iscussion: . Fourie stated that the Bierspruit and Sand River run dry within one eek and have insufficient water. F. Vogel indicated that the point is at the Makoppa irrigators must use the water that is available in the ierspruit and Sand River. The Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir will also beceive water from these watercourses and water must thus be easured to ensure that the Makoppa irrigators that abstract water rther downstream receive sufficient water and that their water is not umped to the power station. Kroon indicated that the Irrigation Board need to take note that the	-	-
Purpose; Application; Servitude of aqueduct; and Infrastructure. <u>iscussion:</u> . Fourie stated that the Bierspruit and Sand River run dry within one eek and have insufficient water. F. Vogel indicated that the point is at the Makoppa irrigators must use the water that is available in the ierspruit and Sand River. The Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir will also beceive water from these watercourses and water must thus be easured to ensure that the Makoppa irrigators that abstract water rther downstream receive sufficient water and that their water is not umped to the power station.	-	-
. Fourie stated that the Bierspruit and Sand River run dry within one eek and have insufficient water. F. Vogel indicated that the point is at the Makoppa irrigators must use the water that is available in the ierspruit and Sand River. The Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir will also beceive water from these watercourses and water must thus be easured to ensure that the Makoppa irrigators that abstract water in the downstream receive sufficient water and that their water is not umped to the power station. Kroon indicated that the Irrigation Board need to take note that the	-	
aft Master Plan is available on the DWS website. It is important for e agricultural sector to comment on this document.		-
nvironmental Impact Assessment		
<ul> <li>Henning presented the following:</li> <li>Legal framework;</li> <li>EIA Process – <ul> <li>Water Transfer Scheme Infrastructure;</li> <li>Borrow pits; and</li> <li>River Management System.</li> </ul> </li> <li>EIA programme;</li> <li>Public Participation Process; and</li> <li>Specialist studies.</li> </ul>	-	-
iscussion: Vogel asked whether the questions raised during these meetings will utomatically be recorded in the EIA. D. Henning explained that the inutes of the focus group meetings form part of the EIA process and ill also be incorporated into the Comments and Responses Report. the Comments and Responses Report will be updated as the EIA rocess progresses and will include comments from the nnouncement, Scoping and EIA phases. The responses provided uring meetings can be elaborated on in the Comments and esponses Report, as more information becomes available.		
ir ill he onr ur e	A process and also be incorporated into the Comments and Responses Report. A comments and Responses Report will be updated as the EIA cess progresses and will include comments from the nouncement, Scoping and EIA phases. The responses provided ing meetings can be elaborated on in the Comments and sponses Report, as more information becomes available. Fourie asked in the comments provided in 2016 will be included in Comments and Responses Report. D. Henning confirmed that will the case. Comments and Responses Report. J. Kroon added that Comments and Responses Report will be appended to the draft opping Report that will be lodged in the public domain in March 2018.	Autes of the focus group meetings form part of the EIA process and also be incorporated into the Comments and Responses Report. A Comments and Responses Report will be updated as the EIA cess progresses and will include comments from the nouncement, Scoping and EIA phases. The responses provided ing meetings can be elaborated on in the Comments and sponses Report, as more information becomes available. Fourie asked in the comments provided in 2016 will be included in Comments and Responses Report. D. Henning confirmed that will the case. Comments received in writing or during meetings will be uded in the Comments and Responses Report. J. Kroon added that Comments and Responses Report will be appended to the draft



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	EIA and that the competent environmental authority will then scrutinise the Comments and Responses Report during decision-making to ensure that they are satisfied with the responses provide to the issues raised.		
9.	Closure		
9.1	F. Vogel thanked everyone for their positive participation and valuable input. The meeting was concluded at approximately 11:30.	-	-

Appendices
Appendix A – Attendance Register
Appendix B – Presentations

## APPENDIX A

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

.*		ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Hartebeespoort Irrigation Board	Queries:	Donavan Henning 2 011 781 1730 
	Clients:	Water & sanitation	Project Name:	Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)
		Department Methods and Brankson Republic Of BOUTH ARBCA A new word for water	Project No.:	10580
	Date:	24 January 2018	Time:	09h00 - 12h00
	Chairperson:	F. Vogel	Place:	DWS Hartbeespoort Area Office (New Office Board Room)

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
Fanie Vogel		Meeting Chairman		Dago	
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	Acting Director: Options Analysis	C 012 336-8613     i 082 809 2011     i VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za		~
Ronald Gillmer	DWS		<ul> <li>☎ 012 336 6933</li> <li>■ 082 791 0833</li> <li>☞ GillmerR@dws.gov.za</li> </ul>		
Hannes Pretorius	DWS	Area Manager: Hartbeespoort	C 012 253 1093     082 806 3681     PretoriH@dws.gov.za	Alun	
Rens Botha	DWS Limpopo-North West Proto CMA	Chief Engineer: Water Resources Management	C 012 392 1308     082 808 9560     BothaR@dws.gov.za		
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	Environmental Manager	012 683 1200     082 809 5101     ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za		
Kgopotso Mabitsela	ТСТА	Project Manager	8         012 683 1200           •         kmabitsela@tcta co za		
Andries Thebe	TCTA	Land Acquisition Manager	<ul> <li>☎ 012 683 1200</li> <li>■ athebe@tcta.co.za</li> </ul>		
Jaap Kroon	TCTA/Aurecon		<ul> <li>☎</li> <li>083 627 5911</li> <li>□ jaap@kroon.co.za</li> </ul>	Hoen	T

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details Sign Apologies
Pieter van Rooyen	WRP Consulting Engineers	Water Resources Specialist	B 012 346-3496       • 082 321 3446       ~ Pieterv@wrp.co.za
Christian Van Der Ioven	Nemai Consulting	EIA Public Participation	B 011 781 1730 → ChristianVdH@nemai.co.za
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	EIA Project Leader	11 781 1730       082 891 0604       onavanh@nemai.co.za
THEMBAN MASHIA	E TCTA.	PROJECTS COOLDINATO2	B0126831223 0786962564 - tre hig @teta.com
Sego Kelefesi	= TEA	PROGRAT	8 012 653 1200 · 082 884 9524 = skelefetswe etch - 60.24
Sibongiseni Nduavdwe	Qu's	Environmental Officer: Winhicotion of Existing Lawhil water use	B 012 253 1026 i 079 684 2286 i Ndwandves@dws.gov.29
Petrus Venter	DUIS	DRD: IWRME Catchent Stroky 125	2828016018 Venterpodus.gov.20
Nick Fourie	HBP Irrig.B	loard Chiet Manager	= 082332323 nick@hbpib.co.za
Dewald v. Vuurer		Chairman of	stella@vodamail.co.za
Hennie Barnard	it it ly	Member of Board	# 0832356250 mbarnard75@gmail.com
n <sub>an a</sub> n an an an an ann an ann an ann an ann an a	y y ny fangananyaana a ara a ana ahaa ahaa ka k		8 •

## APPENDIX B

PRESENTATIONS



	DRAFT MINUTES OF MEETING Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Crocodile River (West) Irrigation Board	Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 급 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client:	Water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Project Name:	Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)
Date:	24 January 2018	Time:	14:00 – 17:00
Chairman:	F. Vogel	Place:	Koedoeskop Farmers Union Hall

<u>Note:</u> The minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather a summary of the salient discussions which took place at the meeting.

ATTEN	ANCE						
Refer to	Refer to the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.						
Item	Description	Action	Target Date				
1.	Opening and Welcome						
1.1	<ul> <li>L. Scheepers opened the meeting with a prayer.</li> <li>F. Vogel opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.</li> <li>F. Vogel emphasised the following: <ul> <li>The meeting will be held in Afrikaans but the presentations will appear on the screen in English. Questions can be asked in the language of preference;</li> <li>The meeting is held with the Crocodile River (West) Irrigation Board, with a specific focus on water-related issues;</li> <li>The Focus Group Meeting is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process; and</li> <li>Issues must be written down and will be recorded as part of the EIA Process, in the Comments and Responses Report.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-				
2.	Attendance and Apologies						
2.1	<ul><li>F. Vogel stated that apologies were recorded for the following persons:</li><li>R. Botha and O van den Berg, both from DWS. F. Vogel added that the members of the project team would be introduced when they do their presentations.</li><li>Refer to the attendance register attached as Appendix A.</li></ul>	-	-				
3.	Aims of the Meeting						
3.1	<ul> <li>F. Vogel indicated that the objectives of the focus group meeting include the following:</li> <li>To provide background to the proposed MCWAP-2A;</li> <li>To provide an overview of the findings of previous and related studies;</li> <li>To provide information regarding the proposed River Management System;</li> <li>To provide an overview of the EIA Process; and</li> </ul>	-	-				
	• To provide an opportunity for discussions on project-related issues.						



Item	Description	Action	Target Date					
4.	Confirmation of Agenda							
4.1	The draft agenda was accepted without any changes.	-	-					
5	Project Overview							
Refer to	a copy of the presentation attached in Appendix B.							
5.1	Background and Motivation							
5.1.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>National development context;</li> <li>Need for extra water in the Lephalale area;</li> <li>Project overview; and</li> <li>Managing national resources.</li> </ul>	-	-					
5.2	Proposed Project Layout							
5.2.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>MCWAP-2A components - <ul> <li>Water transfer scheme infrastructure –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort weir and Abstraction Works;</li> <li>Balancing Dams; Desilting Works, High-lift Pump Station;</li> <li>Transfer Scheme;</li> <li>Break Pressure Reservoir;</li> <li>Operational Reservoir; and</li> <li>Access Roads.</li> <li>Borrow pits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-					
5.2.2	Discussion         J. Steenkamp asked why is a new dam not being planned to store the water.         F. Vogel explained that various options were initially considered when the transfer scheme was envisaged. This included, amongst others, building new dams and increasing existing dams, but some of these were not economically viable. Refer to presentation by P van Rooyen in terms of the additional delivery of water in the system.	-	-					
6	Verification of Existing Lawful Water Uses in the Crocodile River (W	/est)						
6.1	<ul> <li>R. Botha presented the following:</li> <li>Background to existing lawful water uses;</li> <li>Verification process; and</li> <li>Preliminary results.</li> </ul>	-	-					
6.2	<ul> <li><u>Discussion:</u></li> <li>K. Schutte asked how the Validation and Verification of water use in the Crocodile (West)-Marico catchment is being undertaken. F. Vogel explained that the original arrangement (approximately 1998) was that the individual irrigators that formed part of the Crocodile River (West) Irrigation Board did not have to provide proof of water use. However, the schedule of the Board and the list of taxable surface area, with up to date payments, needed to be provided to DWS, which would serve as verification of the area's water users.</li> <li>J. Swanepoel indicated that the table in the presentation pertaining to existing water use in quaternary catchment A21J, where 452 000 cubic</li> </ul>	-	-					



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	meters of water is indicated, does not tally with what is the reality on the ground. S. Ndwandwe explained that this value reflects what the Department currently assumes to be the existing water use, as determined during the Validation and Verification process.		
	Requested clarity on the value of 1 040 389 cubic meters shown in the table. S. Ndwandwe explained that this value indicates the potential existing water use in this particular quaternary catchment. F Vogel noted that the process is still underway and that the values reflected in the presentation may change.		
7	Key Issues related to the Proposed Project		
7.1	Availability of water in the Crocodile River (West)		
7.1.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Context of study;</li> <li>DWS Studies - Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Analysis Methodology;</li> <li>Development process for the Reconciliation Strategy;</li> <li>Risk analysis of the Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Annual Water Resource Balance (Scenarios);</li> <li>Reconciliation Strategy; and</li> <li>Summary.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.1.2	<ul> <li><u>Discussion:</u></li> <li>F. Stander asked whether the department is happy with the water quality of the water pumped back into the river.</li> <li>L. Scheepers asked about the statement in the summary of the presentation that indicates that there will be sufficient water for irrigators. Is this only applicable to the Crocodile River (West) scheme, or does it also apply to the irrigators downstream of the Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir? P. van Rooyen presented the Water User Priority Classification. He indicated that this will not be the case and noted that the water users downstream of the proposed Vlieëpoort Abstraction Weir only have access to the incremental flow downstream of the weir. This is currently the case and will remain the same in the future.</li> <li>K. Schutte asked if the return flows from Lephalale can also be used? This will certainly also increase. P. van Rooyen and J. Kroon explained that when the system of MCWAP-1 and MCWAP-2A were integrated, it was estimated that domestic water was less than 15% of the total demand, with industrial demand at 85%. The reuse of water in Lephalale can certainly be considered but when the total picture is analysed, it is very little. F. Vogel also added that there are already mines which utilize the return water from the municipality, which thus reduces the need to use water from the Crocodile River.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.2	Management of Impacts regarding to Existing Lawful Water Uses (Operating Rules)		
7.2.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Operation of the system - <ul> <li>Goals; and</li> <li>Principles and Processes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Overview of Analysis Methodology - <ul> <li>Simulation Model; and</li> <li>Insurance of the Supply Criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Monitoring example.</li> </ul>	-	-



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	Discussion: P. van Rooyen explained why no dams were built in the area. He explained that if Klipvoor Dam would increase for example, it would be a significant expense for very little additional delivery. Another dam will not provide adequate delivery because the river system is already well utilized by the existing dams in the system, and the available volume of water is already stored in those dams.		
	<ul> <li>J. Swanepoel raised three questions:</li> <li>A dam is only considered in the case of a new irrigation scheme. The volume of water that flows past in a year is about two and a half times of the volume of Roodekopjes Dam, which justifies another dam. There is 200 million cubic meters of water that flows past, which is currently in the calculations as runoff;</li> <li>There is really only one dam (Roodekopjes Dam) in the whole system with sluices. If a sluice mechanism can be built at Klipvoor Dam, it will assist significantly; and</li> <li>How many units are to be commissioned at the Medupi Power Station?</li> </ul>		
7.2.2	P. van Rooyen explained that the water stored within a dam needs to be converted into a steady supply, which must also take into account evaporation. A single dam of 200 000 000 cubic meters will not ensure the same steady delivery as there is no river system that works like this. The water that currently flows past is due to Hartbeespoort Dam being "too full". F. Vogel added that, over the years, numerous analyses have been done and costs calculated to build another dam in the system and it was found to be economically unviable.		
1.2.2	J. Kroon answered the last question and explained that the need for 75 million cubic meters per year provides for all 6 new units at the Medupi Power Station. The transfer capacity is unlikely to be necessary immediately, but it is the long-term plan by 2040. F. Vogel added that 75 million cubic meters a year does not represent the full need, as the capacity allows for other developments apart from the Medupi Power Station.		
	B. Breedt asked whether the Hartbeespoort Dam would be used as a normal storage dam and not as a recreational dam for tourism, which is currently the case and that it will not be kept 100% full all the time but can also be utilised throughout the year. F. Vogel stated that the system uses the dam as a normal storage dam. H. Pretorius added that the dam is not 100% full for tourism, but it is always full because large volumes of return flow upstream of the dam.		
	J. Steenkamp mentioned that the graphs in the presentation show that their dam (Roodekopjes Dam) becomes full and then empty, but the level of Hartbeespoort Dam shows that only a little water is withdrawn. In the past when their dam level drops water could not be supplied from Hartbeespoort Dam. He also asked what will happen if they experience the same situation that is happening in the Cape, and if the system does not work as planned, what is going to be "Plan B". He further asked if the irrigators are in the low priority list. F. Vogel explained that all irrigators in South Africa fall under the same low priority level. P. van Rooyen indicated that according to the Roodekopjes Dam White Paper the 70/30 rule (100% volume available for 70% of the time and 70% of the volume is available for 30% of the		



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	time) applies. J. Kroon added that White Papers were drafted when Roodekopjes Dam was built which state that Hartbeespoort Dam does not supplement Roodekopjes Dam. In the MCWAP-2A system the water flows through the Roodekopjes Dam and the River Management System is going to release water to ensure that the rights of lawful water users are protected. The confirmation of legal water users will assist the system in this regard. It was mentioned that when Medupi requires water, water will be released from Hartbeespoort Dam and will be conveyed via Roodekopjes Dam and the proposed MCWAP-2A. P. van Rooyen explained that there is currently a problem as not all of the water in the system is being utilised. Water must be released from Hartbeespoort Dam to allow the system to utilise the stored water, based on the additional demand. The assurance of supply is 90% for irrigators.		
	J. Steenkamp stated that a plan must be in place to release water to Roodekopjes Dam before this dam is empty. P. Van Rooyen explained the River Management System aims to avoid this situation and to ensure that everyone can use their lawfully allocated water.		
	B. Breedt asked what percentage of the Mokolo Dam's water is required for the project. J. Kroon explained that water from the Crocodile River (West) would not be transferred to the Mokolo Dam. The existing rule for the Mokolo irrigators that utilise this dam is that they may receive their full quota if the dam is at least 60% full at the beginning of the irrigation season, but if the dam it is not at 60% no water can be abstracted. The plan is to provide Medupi Power Station with water from the Crocodile River (West) in the future. Mokolo Dam will be utilised by its supply area, especially by Lephalale Municipality, as the water quality of the Mokolo River is better and easier to purify for domestic use.		
7.3	River Management System		
7.3.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>Purpose;</li> <li>Application;</li> <li>Servitude of aqueduct; and</li> <li>Infrastructure.</li> </ul>		
7.3.2	<ul> <li><u>Discussion:</u></li> <li>F. Vogel mentioned that the irrigation boards should play an integral role in the River Management System.</li> <li>F. Furstenberg asked whether the flow in the river will be higher and more constant, based on volume of 75 million cubic meters per year that is required. F. Vogel explained that the current changes that the irrigators experience will still be there, with the additional water needed for abstraction, which will grow with time. J. Kroon explained that the water needed is a fraction of the water currently in the system, and in his opinion the water should always flow as Eskom's water needs should be constant in any year. A servitude of aqueduct will be required to protect the state as well as the landowner's rights. Efforts will be made to stop releases when floods occur in certain river reaches.</li> <li>J. Steenkamp asked what is Plan B or Plan C if the project fails. P. van Rooyen explained that the team endeavours to determine risks that are</li> </ul>		



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	as realistic as possible. The model was also built with knowledge from other areas. F. Vogel emphasised the need for regular monitoring of the system as well as for the involvement of the Irrigation Board, which was echoed by P. van Rooyen. P. van Rooyen further stated that the system must be managed efficiently to ensure that it is optimally utilised.		
	J. Swanepoel stated that the Irrigation Board will convene a meeting with its members and thereafter their formal comments will be forwarded to D. Henning for inclusion into the EIA process.		
	It was mentioned that the impacts to permanent crops and irrigation systems were not discussed, which need to be considered further.		
	J. Kroon indicated that the Irrigation Board need to take note that the draft Master Plan is available on the DWS website. It is important for the agricultural sector to comment on this document.		
8	Environmental Impact Assessment		
8.1	<ul> <li>D. Henning presented the following:</li> <li>Legal framework;</li> <li>EIA Process – <ul> <li>Water Transfer Scheme Infrastructure;</li> <li>Borrow pits; and</li> <li>River Management System.</li> </ul> </li> <li>EIA programme;</li> </ul>	_	_
	<ul> <li>Public Participation Process; and</li> <li>Specialist studies.</li> </ul>		
9.	Closure	I	
9.1	F. Vogel thanked everyone for their participation and valuable input. The meeting was concluded at approximately 17:00.	-	-

Appendices
Appendix A – Attendance Register
Appendix B – Presentations



## APPENDIX A

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

NE MAI	ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Crocodile River West Irrigation Board	Queries:	Donavan Henning ■ 011 781 1730 ⇒ 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Clients:	water & sanitation Department MERUELE OF FOUTHAFECA A new word for water	Project Name:	Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)
		Project No.:	10580
Date:	24 January 2018	Time:	14h00 - 17h00
Chairperson:	Chairperson: F. Vogel		Koedoeskop Agricultural Union Hall

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation Contact Details		Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
Fanie Vogel		Meeting Chairman	☎           ● 082 325 3519           ∞ Fanie.vogel@gmail.com	Bert	/		
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	Acting Director: Options Analysis	☎ 012 336-8613 • 082 809 2011 ☞ VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za	~ >	$\checkmark$		
Ronald Gillmer	DWS	CHIEF ENGINEER OPTIONS ANAUSE	☎ 012 336 6933 € 082 791 0833 ⊊ ≅ GillmerR@dws.gov.za	Bygen	_		
Hannes Pretorius	DWS	Area Manager: Hartbeespoort	☎ 012 253 1093 ● 082 806 3681 PretoriH@dws.gov.za	Thur			
Rens Botha	DWS Limpopo-North West Proto CMA	Chief Engineer: Water Resources Management	<ul> <li>C 012 392 1308</li> <li>082 808 9560</li> <li>BothaR@dws.gov.za</li> </ul>				
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	Environmental Manager	<ul> <li>☎ 012 683 1200</li> <li>082 809 5101</li> <li>ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za</li> </ul>		/		
Kgopotso Mabitsela	тста	Project Manager	☎ 012 683 1200 kmabitsela@tcta.co.za				
Andries Thebe	ТСТА	Land Acquisition Manager	83 1200     12 683 1200     10 72 980 6595     ∞ athebe@tcta.co.za	TH			
Jaap Kroon	TCTA/Aurecon		5 ≆ 083 627 5911 ≅ jaap@kroon.co.za	Kapa			

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
Pieter van Rooyen	WRP Consulting Engineers	Water Resources Specialist	Cli 2 346-3496     Oli 2 346-3496     Oli 082 321 3446     Pieterv@wrp.co.za	freed	
Christian Van Der Hoven	Nemai Consulting	EIA Public Participation	<ul> <li>B 011 781 1730</li> <li>ChristianVdH@nemai.co.za</li> </ul>	tat	
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	EIA Project Leader	23 011 781 1730 <ul> <li>€ 082 891 0604</li> <li>○ donavanh@nemai.co.za</li> </ul>	A	
In Curit+ 45	Conffiths BDY	Fannen'.	B gaittichskendnug @ gmnil, con     □ 82857311#	A	>
In Cuittit's loyis Scheepes	Buiterday Beld.	Fasine.	B 0728310446	JAS'	
I. Fursterburg			E C836560632	Stole	
Jdu Tont	Krods dikan)	-	B 0833881995	Ala	
Sego Keleptive	TOTA	Provect Engineer	8 012 683 1200 1 082 803 1670 1 skelefetwe @tcha. Co. Ze	ave	Y
Kgolofio MARTISEN	TITA	PROTIETS	E DILGES 1200	Noo	
HEMBANI MASHIAN	Тста.	PROJECTS COOSINATOR	502683/223 10786962564 10786962564	Basumore	
Francois Stender	Smisbult Boodry.	Boer	B 0827264626. gruisbultboordery O gnail Com a	the	

Representative Name and Sumame	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
Taco Heen Kaup	KNBR	Roadslid	= 0%66782335 Steenkamp bdy@ = obarober.co.za	(ATTS)	
Bary Bred.	Fath Al Bly		5 0829260970 .	SU	-
ONALD (JELLMER	DWS		0837910833	The	
Sibongiseni Ndwandwe	DWS		1 012 253 1026 • 079 6842286 ≈ Nchuandwes @dws.gov.za	1000	
tetrus Venter		DRD: NW IWRVIE Colond:	1 012 253 109 8 1 082 807 6098 Uenterpe dus.gov.20	XA	
Gerhard Botha	KWBR	Raadslid	- = 0832873297	-	
Kon Scrant .	RUBR	Hol Resources		Ba	
Joseph hrangod	Bar.	Raadflintv	-0837592373	Jest -	
500N Steenekamp	RWBR	RaadswarkER	:0827680354	Stansky	-
A			8		
			8 •		

•

## ATTENDANCE B

PRESENTATIONS



	DRAFT MINUTES OF MEETING Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Makoppa Agriculture (Irrigators)	Queries:	Donavan Henning ☎ 011 781 1730 급 011 781 1731 ⊠ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client: Water & sanitation		Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)	
Date:	25 January 2018	<b>Time:</b> 09:00 – 12:00	
Chairman:	F. Vogel	Place:	Kumba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi

<u>Note:</u> The minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather a summary of the salient discussions which took place at the meeting.

DANCE		
the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.		
Description	Action	Target Date
Opening and Welcome		
<ul> <li>W. Potgieter opened the meeting with a prayer.</li> <li>F. Vogel opened and welcomed everyone to the meeting.</li> <li>F. Vogel emphasised the following: <ul> <li>The meeting will be held in Afrikaans but the presentations will appear on the screen in English. Questions can be asked in the language of preference;</li> <li>The meeting is held with the Makoppa Irrigators, with a specific focus on water-related issues;</li> <li>The Focus Group Meeting is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process; and</li> <li>Issues must be written down and will be recorded as part of the EIA Process in the Comments and Responses Report</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		-
Attendance and Apologies		
F. Vogel stated that apologies were recorded for the following persons: O van den Berg from DWS. F. Vogel added that the members of the project team would be introduced when they do their presentations. Refer to the attendance register attached as Appendix A.	-	-
Aims of the Meeting		
<ul> <li>F. Vogel indicated that the objectives of the focus group meeting include the following:</li> <li>To provide background to the proposed MCWAP-2A;</li> <li>To provide an overview of the findings of previous and related studies;</li> <li>To provide information regarding the proposed River Management System;</li> <li>To provide an overview of the EIA Process; and</li> </ul>	-	-
	Opening and Welcome         W. Potgieter opened the meeting with a prayer.         F. Vogel opened and welcomed everyone to the meeting.         F. Vogel emphasised the following:         • The meeting will be held in Afrikaans but the presentations will appear on the screen in English. Questions can be asked in the language of preference;         • The meeting is held with the Makoppa Irrigators, with a specific focus on water-related issues;         • The Focus Group Meeting is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process; and         • Issues must be written down and will be recorded as part of the EIA Process, in the Comments and Responses Report         Attendance and Apologies         F. Vogel stated that apologies were recorded for the following persons: O van den Berg from DWS. F. Vogel added that the members of the project team would be introduced when they do their presentations.         Refer to the attendance register attached as Appendix A.         Aims of the Meeting         F. Vogel indicated that the objectives of the focus group meeting include the following:         • To provide background to the proposed MCWAP-2A;         • To provide an overview of the findings of previous and related studies;         • To provide information regarding the proposed River Management System;	the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.         Description       Action         Opening and Welcome       Action         W. Potgieter opened the meeting with a prayer.       F. Vogel opened and welcomed everyone to the meeting.         F. Vogel emphasised the following:       The meeting will be held in Afrikaans but the presentations will appear on the screen in English. Questions can be asked in the language of preference;       -         The meeting is held with the Makoppa Irrigators, with a specific focus on water-related issues;       -         The meeting is held with the Makoppa Irrigators, with a specific focus on water-related issues;       -         The Focus Group Meeting is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process; and       -         Issues must be written down and will be recorded as part of the EIA Process; in the Comments and Responses Report       -         Attendance and Apologies       -         F. Vogel stated that apologies were recorded for the following persons: O van den Berg from DWS. F. Vogel added that the members of the project team would be introduced when they do their presentations.       -         Refer to the attendance register attached as Appendix A.       Aims of the Meeting       -         F. Vogel indicated that the objectives of the focus group meeting include the following:       -       -         To provide an overview of the findings of previous and related studies;       -       -         To pr



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
4.	Confirmation of the Agenda		
4.1	<ul> <li>F. Vogel stated that the draft agenda provides for the addition of further items that can be discussed.</li> <li>An attendee of the meeting asked when the Vlieëpoort weir would be built and that it should be included in the agenda. The agenda was amended accordingly.</li> </ul>	_	_
	<ul> <li>G. Bauer requested that the minutes of public meetings held in 2016 be sent together with the minutes of the focus group to all the attendees.</li> <li>D. Henning said that previous minutes of the public meetings and the minutes of this focus group meeting will be distributed.</li> </ul>		
5	Project Overview		
Refer to	a copy of the presentation attached in Appendix B.		
5.1	Background and Motivation		
5.1.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>National development context;</li> <li>Need for extra water in the Lephalale area;</li> <li>Project overview; and</li> <li>Managing national resources.</li> </ul>	-	-
5.2	Proposed Project Layout		
5.2.1	<ul> <li>J. Kroon presented the following:</li> <li>MCWAP-2A components - <ul> <li>Water transfer scheme infrastructure –</li> <li>Vlieëpoort weir and Abstraction Works;</li> <li>Balancing Dams; Desilting Works, High-lift Pump Station;</li> <li>Transfer Scheme;</li> <li>Break Pressure Reservoir;</li> <li>Operational Reservoir; and</li> <li>Access Roads.</li> <li>Borrow pits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-
	<ul> <li><u>Discussion</u></li> <li>J. Botes enquired about the process to remove silt from the water that is to be conveyed. J. Kroon explained that the sediment has different grain sizes, including sand and even rocks during floods, and that this cannot be pumped to the power station. In addition, the sand fraction can cause problems for the pumps. A channel is planned to return the sediment back to the river during high flow conditions.</li> <li>P. Welgemoed enquired about the pipeline servitude that falls on farm</li> </ul>		
5.2.2	boundaries. J. Kroon explained that during the construction of the pipeline, the servitude (temporary and permanent) will be fenced off on both sides. After the construction period, the fences are removed and the permanent servitude protects the state's rights, but the landowner remains the legal landowner and can still conditionally use the land. Restrictions will be placed on the use of the land within the permanent servitude and access will be necessary for inspection and maintenance of the pipeline. As part of the EIA Process, a 100m wide corridor was assessed to facilitate optimisation of the pipeline route. The servitude widths are 40 m during construction (temporary) and 25 m permanent.	-	-



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	<ul> <li>D. Henning will show the servitude on a locality map.</li> <li>J. Botes explained that irrigation in the area is based on the abstraction of water from an underground sand aquifer in the river bed. The proposed project may increase the depth of the sand on top of the aquifer and may inhibit the accumulation of water. This is a major problem as it will limit water abstraction by farmers.</li> <li>J. Kroon explained that the desilting works have compartments where the silt fraction can be stored. D. Henning added that an analysis was undertaken to establish a quality profile of the silt to be abstracted from the Crocodile River. The results were found to be within allowable limits of various standards. This study found that the silt is not contaminated and will not decrease the quality of the water in the river. The study further indicated that the only a small percentage of the sediment will be returned to the river when compared to the existing sediment load in the river.</li> </ul>		
	J. Botes asked whether the sediment cannot be completely removed and suitably disposed of. He also added that sediment, no matter how it is released, will definitely cause a problem and impact on the river and sand aquifer.		
6	Verification of Existing Lawful Water Uses in the Crocodile River (W	est)	
6.1	<ul> <li>R. Botha presented the following:</li> <li>Background to existing lawful water uses;</li> <li>Verification process; and</li> <li>Preliminary results.</li> </ul>	-	-
6.2	<ul> <li><u>Discussion:</u></li> <li>J. Botes enquired about the validity period of the certificate (Section 34 letter) issued by DWS to the farmers. R. Botha explained that the certificate is a confirmation of the existing lawful use and is an important document that will remain valid until the Department requests water users to apply for new licenses.</li> <li>A. Pieterse asked what the purpose of the meeting was, and whether it was to inform the attendees about the proposed project or whether the project had already commenced. F. Vogel explained that the focus group meeting is part of the Scoping phase of the EIA process. The meeting serves to provide information and to obtain comments and concerns from the affected parties which will be included in the EIA.</li> <li>A. Pieterse asked whether the final decision to build the weir at Mooivallei had been made. F. Vogel explained that the final decision on whether the project could be implemented depends on whether Environmental Authorisation is obtained for the project. J. Kroon added that there is a proposed project layout, with options regarding the pipeline routes. The Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) will need to review the EIA and make a decision. D. Henning explained that a separate focus group meeting will be convened with the owners of Mooivallei to discuss all their specific concerns about the project. He added that different options for the location of the weir were investigated but were discarded due to the geological conditions.</li> <li>A. Pieterse argued that Schoeman and Associated convened with the farmers in 2013/2014 to confirm their water uses, and at that time there</li> </ul>	-	-



ltem	Description	Action	Target Date
	was no indication that a weir was proposed at Mooivallei. At that stage, the farmers obtained a certificate of legal water use from DWS. The problem is that the water allocated by the Department will be taken away by the proposed abstraction at the weir. There is an infringement on their rights as the irrigation water available in the river is their source of life. How will the directly affected parties be compensated? It must be ensured that all the comments are included and that their concerns are taken into consideration in the EIA Process. F. Vogel explained that the verification process of existing water uses is a national project that was already launched nationwide in 2001, and that is not part of the proposed MCWAP project.		
7	Key Issues related to the Proposed Project		
7.1	Availability of water in the Crocodile River (West)		
7.1.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Context of study;</li> <li>DWS Studies - Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Analysis Methodology;</li> <li>Development process for the Reconciliation Strategy;</li> <li>Risk analysis of the Crocodile River (West) System;</li> <li>Annual Water Resource Balance (Scenarios);</li> <li>Reconciliation Strategy; and</li> <li>Summary.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.1.2	It was mentioned that MCWAP Phase 4 (transfer scheme from Johannesburg Klip River Wastewater Treatment Works to head waters of Crocodile River) should become Phase 1 as there is already no water available. J. Botes explained that they are the first users downstream of the proposed weir, and they already face the problem that in dry months there is not enough water to produce two crops a year. There is enough water if you see the total sum that was calculated, however, it will be better if a dam is built upstream to store the constant flow of water. The problem is that water will be abstracted in difficult times when there is low flow, and only some farmers can then use water. This means that the volume of water available for the Makoppa irrigation area will be less with the constant abstraction for the proposed project in dry periods (7 months of the year). What will happen in the 7 month period when there is no rainfall, as farmers who abstract will not be prioritised due to the abstraction of water for the project? The modelling and analysis do not tally with what is experienced on the ground. F. Vogel explained that several previous studies have been conducted to determine whether a dam should be built for the Makoppa area. It was found that it would not be economically viable to build a dam for an area entirely dependent on the natural incremental flow from the river. The return flows from growing urban areas that feed into the Hartbeespoort Dam provide surplus water that is available for the Makoppa area?		_



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	J. Botes stated that the users believe that the water use right that existed and that was recently verified, means that a certain volume of water may be abstracted throughout the year and that is what is paid for. The proposed project will abstract a constant volume of water that the Makoppa irrigators believe will adversely affect the water that the farmers rely on and that can be lawfully used for irrigation. If it is ensured that water will flow constantly past the weir and that water will be available, as it has been for the past 20 years, then there will be no problem. The model and scenarios considered should make provision for this.		
	W. Potgieter proposed that all the questions and issues from the Makoppa Farmers be discussed and formally captured at their next internal Makoppa Agricultural Meeting in February 2018. This will then be sent to D. Henning for feedback from the project team. F. Vogel mentioned that this is a positive proposal. The proposal was accepted by the attendees.		
7.2	<u>Management of Impacts in Relation to Existing Lawful Water Uses</u> (Operating Rules)		
7.2.1	<ul> <li>P. van Rooyen presented the following:</li> <li>Operation of the system - <ul> <li>Goals; and</li> <li>Principles and Processes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Overview of Analysis Methodology - <ul> <li>Simulation Model; and</li> <li>Insurance of the Supply Criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Monitoring example.</li> </ul>	-	-
7.3	River Management System		
7.3.1	Leading to the introduction of the presentation, F Vogel indicated that the Makoppa irrigators do not have a quota from upstream dams as is the case with the Hartbeespoort and Crocodile River (West) Irrigation Boards that can rely on releases from the dams. The Makoppa area is mainly dependent on the natural incremental flow from the Bierspruit and Sand River and the natural overflow of upstream dams. The River Management System aims to ensure, amongst others, that the flow from the two rivers remains available for the Makoppa area. J. Kroon presented the following: Purpose; Application; Servitude of aqueduct; and	-	-
7.3.2	<ul> <li>Infrastructure.</li> <li><u>Discussion:</u> <ul> <li>J. Botes asked if information pertaining to historical flow data is available. R. Botha indicated that it can be downloaded from DWS website.</li> </ul> </li> <li>An attendee (unknown) proposed that the volume of water of the Makoppa Farmers be calculated and expropriated by DWS, with financial compensation.</li> <li>R. Botha and F. Vogel emphasised the need for the Makoppa farmers to be involved with the River Management System.</li> </ul>	-	
	J. Kroon indicated that the project first needs to seek Environmental		



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	Authorisation before any construction can proceed. It is anticipated that a decision will be made by DEA in December 2018, and if authorisation is received the contractor will only be on the site by approximately the end of 2019.		
	A. Pieterse mentioned that the general sentiment is that the project has already been approved and cannot be changed. Is the purpose of the focus group meeting to say what will happen or that changes can still take place?		
	J. Kroon explained that it is a proposed project with alternatives to be assessed as part of the EIA Process, including specialist studies. D. Henning added that various options to supply the required water were considered during the Technical Pre-Feasibility and Feasibility Studies. The proposed water transfer scheme was identified to be the most preferable due to a variety of factors, and it is now being assessed as part of the EIA. Only layout alternatives are under consideration. A. Pieterse asked whether this implied that he needed to speak to the person who initially undertook these investigations to enquire how the weir site at Mooivallei was identified. D. Henning explained that all comments, questions and issues raised during public participation will be incorporated into the Comments and Responses Report and that feedback would be sought from the relevant members of the project team to provide responses. This includes the members of the technical team.		
	J. Botes indicated that Makoppa moves into a negative use in 2024. A water shortage is already anticipated in the years 2022 to 2026. The project will take longer than seven years to complete. In those seven years everyone in Makoppa will become bankrupt. This will then cause a major socio-economic impact in the area. Why are all water supply projects and management requirements not addressed concurrently?		
	F. Vogel explained that the analysis and scenarios for the project were based on the Department's abstraction of the return flows and not the natural flow to Makoppa. The project may also be delayed due to a lack of funding.		
	J. Botes asked what is the volume of water to be abstracted. D. Henning indicated that it is 75 million cubic meters per year. J. Kroon added that this volume represents the estimated abstraction by 2040, which will grow over time. The reason for this is that industrial developments and population growth will increase water demand in the future.		
	J. Kroon indicated that the Makoppa farmers need to take note that the draft Master Plan is available on the DWS website. It is important for the agricultural sector to comment on this document.		
8	Environmental Impact Assessment		
8.1	<ul> <li>D. Henning presented the following:</li> <li>Legal framework;</li> <li>EIA Process – <ul> <li>Water Transfer Scheme Infrastructure;</li> <li>Borrow pits; and</li> <li>River Management System.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-



Item	Description	Action	Target Date
	EIA programme;		
	Public Participation Process; and		
	Specialist studies.		
8.2	Discussion: G. Bauer asked whether the project team will conduct further consultation with the farmers, or will they proceed directly with the expropriation process. D. Henning explained that expropriation is a separate legal process that can only take place if Environmental Authorisation is obtained. The expropriation process will be undertaken by TCTA in accordance with the prevailing legal requirements.	-	-
8.3	D Henning indicated that Interested and Affected Parties can appoint an independent adviser to evaluate the technical information and to provide them with professional advice.	-	-
9.	Closure		
9.1	F. Vogel thanked everyone for their participation and valuable input. The meeting was concluded at approximately 12:15.	-	-

Appendices
Appendix A – Attendance Register
Appendix B – Presentations



## APPENDIX A

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

NEMAI consuling	ATTENDANCE REGISTER – Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Makoppa Irrigation Area		Donavan Henning 2 011 781 1730 a 011 781 1731 donavanh@nemai.co.za	
Clients:	Water & sanitation	Project Name:	Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)	
		Project No.:	10580	
Date:	25 January 2018	Time:	09h00 - 12h00	
Chairperson:	Chairperson: F. Vogel		Kum ba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi	

-

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
Fanie Vogel		Meeting Chairman	<ul> <li>☎</li> <li>082 325 3519</li> <li>∞ Fanie.vogel@gmail.com</li> </ul>	Dogd	
Ockie van den Berg	DWS	Acting Director: Options Analysis	<ul> <li>☎ 012 336-8613</li> <li>082 809 2011</li> <li>□ VanDenBergO@dws.gov.za</li> </ul>		
Ronald Gillmer	DWS		<ul> <li>☎ 012 336 6933</li> <li>082 791 0833</li> <li>☎ GilmerR@dws.gov.za</li> </ul>	m	
Hannes Pretorius	DWS	Area Manager: Hartbeespoort	012 253 1093     082 806 3681     PretoriH@dws.gov.za	Alus	
Rens Botha	DWS Limpopo-North West Proto CMA	Chief Engineer: Water Resources Management	☎ 012 392 1308 082 808 9560 ■ BothaR@dws gov.za	. AB	
Azwianewi Nelwamondo	ТСТА	Environmental Manager	C 012 683 1200     082 809 5101     ANelwamondo@tcta.co.za	Ale	k
Kgopotso Mabitsela	тста	Project Manager	☎ 012 683 1200 i kmabitsela@tcta.co.za	C	
Andries Thebe	ТСТА	Land Acquisition Manager	COTZ 980 6505     CoTZ 980 6505     Athebe@tcta.co.za	A.	
Jaap Kroon	TCTA/Aurecon		<ul> <li>B 083 627 5911</li> <li>□ jaap@kroon.co.za</li> </ul>	geno-	
ADOLF G-1200L R-PRO-PAR/20150317	ER FNB	ReG.	0823376040		
THEMBRONI MASH		MNGR	agroble Efub. co.z		1 5

**Representative Name** Name of Designation Sign Apologies **Contact Details** Organisation and Surname C 012 346-3496 WRP Consulting Water Resources Pieter van Rooyen • 082 321 3446 Engineers Specialist Pieterv@wrp.co.za **2** 011 781 1730 Christian Van Der EIA Public Nemai Consulting Hoven Participation ChristianVdH@nemai.co.za **2** 011 781 1730 Donavan Henning Nemai Consulting EIA Project Leader • 082 891 0604 donavanh@nemai.co.za Ladioia Adorra Ampliane Ladioia Adorra Investment Hannes Combretum Nocitgedad 0824213182 Harmse Safaño 338 Kg Combretum bolge ag MCon ANTON UMN ANTON UMN Towle Clescy INT Waer welle 075 4385545 Town IORIO FALMING MODIVALEI 0825700555 0825700555 Roets Nobe Bets Ko 342 B 083 963 0537 KQ 342 EP Geynhand - Moorwellion LEan Di Tort Piemanko Bpy Hanoviel Antonic Terblanchio Olifantstrikt 072221 5470 8 276 334 9221 a leon @ thabanet co.2 083 287 9561 Olifantsdr; ft ] @Hoimajl.com

**Representative Name** Name of Designation **Contact Details** Sign and Sumame Organisation Apologies J.H. PRINSLOS LYSIUM 8 NECKLENAURY TRUST. 0828051852 molos CA Dullessi, Lecuditet. -0833750746 NourgeDacht SAFARIS 8 1 FAURE 0834596505 W. VoTGIETER 8 814776 6848 THANA DLU LICERPOIL P. WELGEMOED Ű. Smyburgh ALBION 8 albiow 0721944599 ٠ 8 " JOASTER FAURE FAGRE • (=) 0835299961 Patryshrau Bosch Rihach 8 R Hresterman St Helena • 0827899982 11. BRITTL MSSETIE CHIST MISSEMATION 0836565437 L.M. SURRASH TUSSENKONS MAKOPAN. : 0835187057 J. Myburgh Krokedilhest Roolovkend : 0731144832 Branderlinde Morgenzon Makappa : 0832764580 0731144838 B. wolvepan Roorbokkraal 0832640748 JBde Beer R-PRO-PARI20150317 3 5

Representative Name and Surname	Name of Organisation	Designation	Contact Details	Sign	Apologies
PLACKZ SONANT		LEENWORITY	B 283 6333729	Spe	
9. DuPLESAS	Bonco	KHAL DRAA'	08290301U	R.	
Sukasa-es		STAAN KRAAT	· 0823783472	A-	
D. ENJUTIN 5	ERUITUREWAT	45	0828726242	JAN _	
W. VORSTER		FAURE	-0832334/60	Th	
C.J. Lee		Faure	- 0834178342	Bill	
St Schubbe		5teankrad	0829270114	BLK	
	entertrit	Owner	·DP32555383 ·DavergeiaRicq.on	1 por	
1. A BOTES	1 =	OWNIER	= 0827831292 = bolesbourder 6	mail.cm	
n.J. Portyrila		CWALER	B 0 102 0 2 8 2 4 8 -	Ale	
W. Frenne		aver.	= lindit, pienactalire.	W.	
S.E. KELETETSWE	TCTA	Project Engineer	skelepetowerfeta. 6.20	Sand	
	15 hanjou	OWNER	0998756712	M	4

۶.

**Representative Name** Name of Designation **Contact Details** Sign **Apologies** and Sumame Organisation 2 э. >. VANDER LANDE 0836278/13 8 • MHerman-Mooivalle-0836519964 8 ÷, WH HYM Kaabhagi 0832543492 . 8 083 25 166140 E.J. Lynn, Kaablraai (#) 8 HS. shows. Э. DOVICEDADA 0823319619. 5×3 8 1. Entra MAK coppt Bethomie ٠ ÷ 076156 4436 8 Benache Beereher ۰. Makapper 083 502 6677 Arough . 23 Malapp B antern trust Chot mail. Corry Schall 0839181636 Engelbracht 14ssenkomsi BDr Makopry 8 an von Graan Sweethome. Wakeppa : 0198746228 3 082 9298707 DANZEL GILDENHUNG OBARG 0 82 97 62 3 53 ORARD R-PRO-PAR|20150317

Mokolo en Krokodilrivier (Wes) Wateraanvullings Projek Fase 2A (MKWAP-2A) /

Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project (Phase 2A) (MCWAP-2A)

Omgewingsimpakbepaling: Fokusgroepvergadering – Makoppa Besproeiingsgebied Datum: 25 Januarie 2018 Tyd: 09h00 – 12h00 Plek: Kumba Bioskoopsaal, Thabazimbi

NOTAS / NOTES:

VENTEL

Environmental Impact Assessment: Focus Group Meeting – Makoppa Irrigation Area

IGN

Date: 25 January 2018 Time: 09h00 – 12h00 Place: Kumba Bioscope Hall, Thabazimbi

# REPRESENTATIVE Organization Plesignation Gurtact details Name Organization Plesignation Gurtact details Followgisconi DWS Environmental 0796842286 Nelwandure Officer 078046475

## ATTENDANCE B

PRESENTATIONS

