

# **GALAGO ENVIRONMENTAL**



**Fauna and Flora Specialists**

PO Box 886

Irene, 0062

Tel: 012-345 4891

Fax: 086 675 6136

Email: [Vanessam@lantic.net](mailto:Vanessam@lantic.net)

## ***Avifauna Habitat Assessment***

***of***

---

### **MOKOLO – CROCODILE RIVER WATER PIPELINE PHASE 1**

---

**April 2009**

**Report edited by:**

**Report author:**

**Report verified/reviewed by:**

Ms. Vanessa Marais of Galago Environmental

Mr. R.F. Geyser


Dr. I.L. Rautenbach (Pri.Sci. Nat.)

#### VERIFICATION STATEMENT

Mr R. Geyser is not registered as a Professional Natural Scientist with the S.A. Council for Natural Scientific Professions. This communication serves to verify that the bird report compiled by Mr R. Geyser has been prepared under my supervision, and I have verified the contents thereof.

**Declaration of Independence:** I, Ignatius Lourens Rautenbach (421201 5012 00 5) declare that I:

- am committed to biodiversity conservation but concomitantly recognize the need for economic development. Whereas I appreciate the opportunity to also learn through the processes of constructive criticism and debate, I reserve the right to form and hold my own opinions and therefore will not willingly submit to the interests of other parties or change my statements to appease them
- abide by the Code of Ethics of the S.A. Council for Natural Scientific Professions
- act as an independent specialist consultant in the field of zoology
- am subcontracted as specialist consultant by Galago Environmental CC for the proposed Mokolo-Crocodile River phase 1 pipeline project described in this report
- have no financial interest in the proposed development other than remuneration for work performed
- have or will not have any vested or conflicting interests in the proposed development
- undertake to disclose to the Galago Environmental CC and its client as well as the competent authority any material information that have or may have the potential to influence the decision of the competent authority required in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2006



I.L. Rautenbach

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION .....	4
2.	OBJECTIVES OF THE HABITAT STUDY .....	4
3.	SCOPE OF STUDY .....	4
4.	STUDY AREA .....	4
5.	METHOD .....	5
6.	RESULTS .....	6
7.	FINDINGS AND POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS .....	17
8.	LIMITATIONS, ASSUMPTIONS AND GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE .....	21
9.	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES .....	21
10.	CONCLUSION .....	22
11.	LITERATURE SOURCES .....	22

## FIGURE:

Figure 1: Locality map of the study area .....	5
Figure 2: Map of sensitive areas for the Kingfisher and White-Backed Night Heron. ....	19
Figure 3: Map of sensitive areas for the African Finfoot.....	19

## TABLES:

Table 1: List of bird species observed and that are likely to occur on the study site. ....	10
Table 2: Red Data bird species recorded for 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC q.d.g.c. ...	17

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Galago Environmental CC was appointed to undertake a bird habitat survey of the Phase 1 Mokolo-Crocodile water pipeline preferred route along an existing pipeline.

The objective was to determine which species might still reside on the site. Special attention had to be given to the habitat requirements of all the Red Data species, which may occur in the area. This survey focuses on the current status of threatened mammal species occurring, or which are likely to occur on the proposed development site, and a description of the available and sensitive habitats on the site.

## **2. OBJECTIVES OF THE HABITAT STUDY**

- To assess the current status of the habitat component and current general conservation status of the pipeline route;
- To provide lists of birds which occur or might occur, and to identify species of conservation importance;
- To highlight potential impacts of the development on the birds of the study site; and
- To provide management recommendations to mitigate negative and enhance positive impacts should the proposed development be approved.

## **3. SCOPE OF STUDY**

This report:

- Is a bird survey based on sightings and literature, with comments on preferred habitats;
- Comments on ecological sensitive areas;
- Evaluates the conservation importance and significance of the site with special emphasis on the current status of resident threatened species;
- Offers recommendations to reduce or minimise impacts, should the proposed pipeline be approved.

## **4. STUDY AREA**

The study site covers a large area that runs through three quarter degree grid cells (q.d.g.c), 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC from the Steenbokpan to the Mokolo Dam. The study site is situated within the Limpopo Province.




Figure 1: Locality map of the study area

## 5. METHOD

A five-day site visit was conducted between 23 and 27 March 2009 to record the presence of bird species associated with the habitat systems on the study site and to identify possible sensitive areas.

The adjoining properties were scanned for important fauna habitats.

### 5.1.1 Field Surveys

Birds were identified visually using a 10X42 Bushnell Legend binocular and a 20X-60X Pentax spotting scope and by call and where necessary verified from *Sasol Birds of Southern Africa* (Sinclair *et al.*, 2005) and *Southern African Bird Sounds* (Gibbon, 1991). All sighting of bird species on site were plotted on a PDA using Cyber Tracker as database, which is connected to an external GPS mouse via blue tooth.

No trapping or mist netting was conducted, as the terms of reference did not require such intensive work. The property was surveyed both in a vehicle and on foot and in the process sighting were recorded through random transect walks. At suitable situations the vehicle was stopped and local inspections were made on foot.

Three criteria were used to assess the probability of occurrence of Red Data and other bird species on the study site that will most probably make use of the site and

surrounding area for breeding or feeding purposes. This includes known distribution range, habitat preference and the presence of suitable habitat on site as well as the presence of food to.

### 5.1.2 Desktop Surveys

The occurrence of some key bird species was verified according to the distribution record obtained during the Southern African Bird Atlas period from 1981 to 1993 (Harrison *et al* 1997) including records from 1974 to 1987 according to Tarboton *et al* (1987).

The occurrence and historic distribution of these birds, including all Red Data bird species for the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC quarter-degree grid cells were all verified according Harrison *et al* (1997). The reporting rate was scored between 0 – 100% and is calculated as follows: Total number of cards on which a species was reported during the Southern African Bird Atlas period X 100 ÷ total number of cards for a particular quarter degree grid cell. The colour codes for each species are represented as follows: YELLOW = VERY LOW, LIGHT ORANGE = LOW, DARK ORANGE = MEDIUM AND RED = HIGH with reference to the specific habitat systems found on site. It is important to note that a quarter-degree grid square covers a large area. The 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC each covers an area of  $\pm 27 \times 25$  kilometres ( $\pm 693 \text{ km}^2$ ) and it is possible that suitable habitat may exist for a certain red data species within this general and surrounding area but that the specific habitat found on site will not suit that particular red data species although it was recorded for the quarter-degree square e.g. Cape Vulture occur along the Magaliesberg but will not favour the habitat found within the Pretoria CBD which are both in the same quarter-degree square. Red data bird species were categorised according to Barnes (2000).

The biodiversity index (BI) gives an indication of which habitat will hold the richest bird diversity on site. This is calculated on the sum of the probability of occurrence, 5 = present on site, 4 = not observed on site but has a high probability of occurring on site, 3 = medium, 2 = low, 1 = very low and 0 = not likely to occur, of bird species within a specific habitat system on site.

### 5.1.3 Specific Requirements

The possible occurrence of specific Red data bird species that might occur in the study area was investigated.

## 6. RESULTS

### ***Avifauna Habitat Assessment***

Within the vegetation types found along the proposed pipeline route, three major bird habitat systems were identified. A short description of each habitat type is as follows ranked from most to least important:

#### **River and riparian vegetation:**

Only two river systems will be affected by the construction of the proposed pipeline namely the Mokolo River south of Lephalale (Ellisras) (23°58'39.1" S 27°41'52.2" E), where the pipeline is proposed to start and a smaller river, the Rietspruit (23°52'14.7" S

27°38'07.3" E) which is situated more or less in the middle of the proposed Phase 1 pipeline route. The Rietspruit runs into the Mokolo River to the north and the Mokolo River later runs into the Limpopo River to the north close to and west of the town of Tom Burke.

The Mokolo River is a broad river and as such is the largest river of the two, it will be affected by the construction of and later the water usage out of the river. The banks of the Mokolo River where the proposed pump station will be constructed are steep and situated under the Mokolo Dam wall in a mountainous area with few reeds that grow on the banks in most areas followed by little riparian vegetation. The Rietspruit is a smaller river or stream system with dense vegetation that grows on its banks. This small river is narrow and shallow with a few waterholes in some places and crisscrosses through a mountainous area. These rivers are not only sensitive for bird species that depend on it for food, water and breeding purposes but also other fauna and people that depend on the river for water, irrigation and other purposes. The Mokolo River is mainly a fast flowing river during the peak rain season in summer but will slow down and could also become dry during the dry season in winter. The Rietspruit is more a seasonal river that probably only holds water during the summer rainfall season. A fairly large impoundment, the Rietspruit Dam, has been build within the spruit and the proposed pipeline will run through it (23°56'09.7" S 27°37'59.2" E). Water extraction for irrigation and other human needs has a large impact on the availability of water down stream in the Mokolo River.



**Figure 2: Impoundment built in the Rietspruit**

Bird species such as herons, crakes, moorhens, bishops, weavers, cisticolas and warblers will breed in the reeds growing on the banks of the river systems and will also feed on insects that live within the reeds and semi aquatic vegetation. Fish live in these rivers and will thus attract birds that feed on fish such as kingfishers, cormorants and darters. Frogs and crabs also occur and will attract bird species that feed on them such as Hadedas, herons and hamerkops.



The vegetation within the riparian zone consists of large *Acacia* and broadleaf dominated trees, which grow taller due to the availability of water than compared to the trees further away from the river. This riparian vegetation will favour species typically associated with a bushveld habitat. These birds include a great variety of arboreal passerines such as drongos, warblers, flycatchers, shrikes, sunbirds, waxbills and weavers as well as arboreal non-passerines such as doves, cuckoos and woodpeckers. Many of these species make use of the thorny nature of these trees to build their nests. *Acacia* trees generally attract many insects and in turn attract a good diversity of typical “Bushveld” bird species.

### ***Broodleaf woodland and Rocky ridges***

The longest stretch of the proposed pipeline route will run through and along areas with woodland habitat which varies from broadleaf woodland, mixed *Acacia* and broadleaf woodland, *Acacia* dominated woodland and open woodland with small scattered *Acacia* trees. This open woodland is situated on the lower and flatter areas of the study site and used to be cultivated fields which are now overgrown by short grasses and small scattered encroaching *Acacia tortilis* trees. The woodland described here also includes mountain woodland which mainly consists of mixed broadleaf woodland that grows on the steep slopes of mountains and within the valleys and gorges between the mountains in the southern portion of the proposed pipeline route.



**Figure 3: Mixed Broadleaf Woodland**

The bird species within this habitat generally include a great variety of arboreal passerines such as drongos, warblers, flycatchers, shrikes, sunbirds, waxbills and weavers as well as arboreal non-passerines such as doves, cuckoos and woodpeckers. Many of these species make use of the thorny nature of these trees to build their nests. *Acacia* trees generally attract many insects and in turn attract a good diversity of typical *Acacia* savanna bird species. The ground cover between the trees consists of mainly short to long grasses interspersed with shrubs.





**Figure 4: Mixed Mountain Broadleaf woodland.**



**Figure 5: Mixed Mountain Broadleaf woodland.**

### **Cultivated fields and pastures**

The proposed pipeline route will run past areas that consist of cultivated fields. Most of these fields are old cultivated fields or fallow fields now overgrown by grass and small encroaching thorn trees resembling arid thornveld.

Agriculture is a major environmental problem for threatened bird species as well as species that depend on grassland for survival. The tilling of soil for cultivated fields is one of the most drastic and irrevocable alterations wrought on natural systems destroying the structure and species composition of the natural vegetation (Barnes 1998).

This disturbance is mainly permanent and thereby has a massive impact on the taxa that are dependent on that vegetation. This especially affects the grassland areas in the region. Bird species that are able to exploit monoculture and cultivated crops or by-products of cultivation such as bare ground may benefit temporarily.

### **Observed and Expected Avifauna Species Richness**

Of the 337 bird species recorded for the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC q.d.g.c, 314 (93.1%) are likely to occur on site and 78 (24.84%) of these bird species were actually observed on the study site. In addition, four bird species were observed on site that was not observed within the above mentioned q.d.g.c. during the time of the southern African Bird Atlas Project period. (see pers obs in the table of bird species seen on site or that are likely to occur on site).

The biodiversity index indicates that the largest bird diversity are likely to occur within the River and Riparian vegetation habitat system on site with a biodiversity index (BI) of 892 followed by the woodland habitat (BI 788) and the cultivated field and fallow lands (BI 540).

The bird species listed in table 1 are in species order according to *Roberts - Birds of Southern Africa* VII th edition (Hockey *et al*, 2005) that were actually observed on site (**in bold**) or that are likely to occur within the specific habitat(s) found on site. This does not include over flying birds or rare vagrants. Personal observation (pers obs) represented bird species observed on site that were not recorded on the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC q.d.g.c according to Harrison *et al* (1997). Reporting rate (%) is according to Harrison *et al*. (1997). The habitat preference, **RR = River and Riparian vegetation**, **WD = Woodland**, and **CF = Cultivated fields and fallow lands** is indicated next to the reporting rate with their possibility of occurrence in these specific habitats on site rated as 5 = present, 4 = High, 3 = Medium, 2 = Low, 1 = very low, and 0 = Not likely to occur.

**Table 1: List of bird species observed on site and that are likely to occur on the study site.**

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<i>Struthio camelus</i>	Common Ostrich	30	8	2	3	4	4
<i>Peliperdix coqui</i>	Coqui Francolin	40	4	45	2	4	2
<b><i>Dendroperdix sephaena</i></b>	<b>Crested Francolin</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>55</b>	5	5	4
<b><i>Pternistis natalensis</i></b>	<b>Natal Spurfowl</b>		12	<b>65</b>	5	5	4
<i>Pternistis swainsonii</i>	Swainson's Spurfowl	60	69	20	3	4	4
<i>Coturnix coturnix</i>	Common Quail		4	4	0	0	1
<i>Coturnix delegorquiei</i>	Harlequin Quail		6	14	2	2	1

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<b><i>Numida meleagris</i></b>	<b>Helmeted Guineafowl</b>	70	80	22	4	5	4
<i>Dendrocygna viduata</i>	White-faced Duck	10	47	14	4	0	0
<i>Alopochen aegyptiaca</i>	Egyptian Goose	10	31	63	4	0	0
<i>Plectropterus gambensis</i>	Spur-winged Goose		20	18	4	0	1
<i>Sarkidiornis melanotos</i>	Comb Duck	10	18	4	4	0	0
<i>Anas capensis</i>	Cape Teal		41		4	0	0
<i>Anas sparsa</i>	African Black Duck		4	53	4	0	0
<i>Anas undulata</i>	Yellow-billed Duck		12	2	4	0	0
<i>Anas smithii</i>	Cape Shoveler		14		3	0	0
<i>Anas erythrorhyncha</i>	Red-billed Teal		43	2	4	0	0
<i>Anas hottentota</i>	Hottentot Teal		4		2	0	0
<i>Netta erythrophthalma</i>	Southern Pochard		45	8	2	0	0
<i>Turnix sylvaticus</i>	Kurrichane Buttonquail			27	2	3	1
<i>Indicator indicator</i>	Greater Honeyguide	10	2	16	4	4	0
<i>Indicator minor</i>	Lesser Honeyguide		8	4	3	3	0
<i>Campethera bennettii</i>	Bennett's Woodpecker		6	10	3	3	1
<i>Campethera abingoni</i>	Golden-tailed Woodpecker	10	33	21	4	4	2
<i>Dendropicos fuscescens</i>	Cardinal Woodpecker	20	25	51	4	4	1
<i>Dendropicos namaquus</i>	Bearded Woodpecker		20	22	4	4	1
<i>Pogoniulus chrysoconus</i>	Yellow-fronted Tinkerbird		2	18	3	3	0
<b><i>Tricholaema leucomelas</i></b>	<b>Acacia Pied Barbet</b>	50	49	12	4	5	3
<b><i>Lybius torquatus</i></b>	<b>Black-collared Barbet</b>	10	2	35	5	5	2
<b><i>Trachyphonus vaillantii</i></b>	<b>Crested Barbet</b>	20	76	73	4	5	2
<b><i>Tockus erythrorhynchus</i></b>	<b>Red-billed Hornbill</b>	50	36	29	4	5	4
<b><i>Tockus leucomelas</i></b>	<b>Southern Yellow-billed Hornbill</b>	80	84	73	4	5	4
<b><i>Tockus nasutus</i></b>	<b>African Grey Hornbill</b>	50	82	82	5	5	2
<i>Upupa africana</i>	African Hoopoe	20	78	73	3	4	3
<b><i>Phoeniculus purpureus</i></b>	<b>Green Wood-Hoopoe</b>	40	67	61	5	4	2
<b><i>Rhinopomastus cyanomelas</i></b>	<b>Common Scimitarbill</b>	40	22	8	4	5	3
<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	European Roller	30	18	8	4	4	4
<b><i>Coracias caudatus</i></b>	<b>Lilac-breasted Roller</b>	90	92	82	4	5	5
<b><i>Coracias naevius</i></b>	<b>Purple Roller</b>	50	75	43	4	5	5
<i>Alcedo semitorquata</i>	Half-collared Kingfisher (NT)			12	3	0	0
<i>Alcedo cristata</i>	Malachite Kingfisher		4	49	4	0	0
<i>Ispidina picta</i>	African Pygmy-Kingfisher		2	4	3	3	1
<i>Halcyon leucocephala</i>	Grey-headed Kingfisher			4	2	3	0
<b><i>Halcyon senegalensis</i></b>	<b>Woodland Kingfisher</b>		12	31	5	5	2
<b><i>Halcyon albiventris</i></b>	<b>Brown-hooded Kingfisher</b>	20	78	73	5	5	3
<i>Halcyon chelicuti</i>	Striped Kingfisher			18	4	4	1
<i>Megaceryle maximus</i>	Giant Kingfisher		6	63	4	0	0
<b><i>Ceryle rudis</i></b>	<b>Pied Kingfisher</b>		33	71	5	0	0
<i>Merops bullockoides</i>	White-fronted Bee-eater	10	24	2	3	2	2
<i>Merops pusillus</i>	Little Bee-eater	30	41	53	4	4	3
<b><i>Merops hirundineus</i></b>	<b>Swallow-tailed Bee-eater</b>	50	2	2	3	5	2
<i>Merops persicus</i>	Blue-cheeked Bee-eater		18	4	3	1	1
<b><i>Merops apiaster</i></b>	<b>European Bee-eater</b>	30	37	49	5	5	5
<i>Merops nubicoides</i>	Southern Carmine Bee-eater	20	12	22	2	3	1
<i>Colius striatus</i>	Speckled Mousebird	10	14	22	3	3	1
<b><i>Urocolius indicus</i></b>	<b>Red-faced Mousebird</b>	50	73	45	4	5	5
<i>Clamator jacobinus</i>	Jacobin Cuckoo	10	22	43	4	4	2

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<b><i>Clamator leuallantii</i></b>	<b>Leuallant's Cuckoo</b>	<b>pers obs</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	4	5	1
<i>Clamator glandarius</i>	Great Spotted Cuckoo		2		1	1	0
<i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	Red-chested Cuckoo	10	8	31	4	4	0
<i>Cuculus clamosus</i>	Black Cuckoo	20	6	27	4	4	0
<i>Cuculus gularis</i>	African Cuckoo	20	16		4	4	1
<i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	Klaas's Cuckoo	10	4	24	4	4	2
<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Diderick Cuckoo	40	35	41	4	4	4
<b><i>Centropus burchellii</i></b>	<b>Burchell's Coucal</b>		27	<b>65</b>	5	3	0
<i>Poicephalus meyeri</i>	Meyer's Parrot		71	16	3	3	0
<i>Cypsiurus parvus</i>	African Palm-Swift		35	6	4	4	4
<i>Tachymarpis melba</i>	Alpine Swift		4	10	2	3	1
<i>Apus apus</i>	Common Swift	10	2		1	2	1
<i>Apus barbatus</i>	African Black Swift		8	6	2	3	2
<b><i>Apus affinis</i></b>	<b>Little Swift</b>	10	25	<b>27</b>	5	4	4
<i>Apus horus</i>	Horus Swift			2	1	0	1
<i>Apus caffer</i>	White-rumped Swift		20	59	4	4	4
<b><i>Corythaixoides concolor</i></b>	<b>Grey Go-away-bird</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>88</b>	5	5	4
<i>Tyto alba</i>	Barn Owl		6	41	4	4	3
<i>Otus senegalensis</i>	African Scops-Owl		4	20	3	3	0
<i>Ptilopsis granti</i>	Southern White-faced Scops-Owl	10			3	3	0
<i>Bubo africanus</i>	Spotted Eagle-Owl	10	20	61	4	4	1
<i>Bubo lacteus</i>	Verreaux's Eagle-Owl			4	0	3	0
<b><i>Glaucidium perlatum</i></b>	<b>Pearl-spotted Owlet</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>61</b>	5	5	3
<i>Caprimulgus pectoralis</i>	Fiery-necked Nightjar		2	37	3	4	1
<i>Caprimulgus tristigma</i>	Freckled Nightjar			33	2	4	0
<i>Caprimulgus rufigena</i>	Rufous-cheeked Nightjar	10		4	3	4	3
<i>Columba guinea</i>	Speckled Pigeon	30	55	53	3	3	4
<b><i>Streptopelia senegalensis</i></b>	<b>Laughing Dove</b>	70	<b>94</b>	<b>96</b>	5	5	4
<b><i>Streptopelia capicola</i></b>	<b>Cape Turtle-Dove</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>90</b>	5	5	4
<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	Red-eyed Dove	30	71	53	4	4	3
<b><i>Turtur chalcospilos</i></b>	<b>Emerald-spotted Wood-Dove</b>	10	<b>39</b>	<b>78</b>	5	5	4
<i>Oena capensis</i>	Namaqua Dove	60	75	51	3	3	4
<i>Treron calvus</i>	African Green-Pigeon		67	39	4	3	2
<i>Lophotis ruficrista</i>	Red-crested Korhaan	60	43	16	3	4	2
<i>Podica senegalensis</i>	African Finfoot (VU)			12	1	0	0
<i>Crecopsis egregia</i>	African Crake			2	3	0	0
<b><i>Amaurornis flavirostris</i></b>	<b>Black Crake</b>		6	<b>47</b>	5	0	0
<i>Gallinula chloropus</i>	Common Moorhen		12	4	4	0	0
<i>Fulica cristata</i>	Red-knobbed Coot		55		4	0	0
<i>Pterocles bicinctus</i>	Double-banded Sandgrouse		12	41	3	4	2
<i>Pterocles burchelli</i>	Burchell's Sandgrouse	30	2		2	3	1
<i>Tringa stagnatilis</i>	Marsh Sandpiper		18		3	0	0
<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Common Greenshank		10	33	2	0	0
<i>Tringa glareola</i>	Wood Sandpiper	10	20	4	3	0	0
<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Common Sandpiper		18	4	4	0	0
<b><i>Actophilornis africanus</i></b>	<b>African Jacana</b>		20	<b>16</b>	5	0	0
<i>Burhinus vermiculatus</i>	Water Thick-knee		8	47	4	0	0
<i>Burhinus capensis</i>	Spotted Thick-knee	30	47	22	3	3	4
<i>Himantopus himantopus</i>	Black-winged Stilt		67	2	4	0	0
<i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i>	Pied Avocet		4		1	0	0



SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<i>Charadrius pecuarius</i>	Kittlitz's Plover		8	22	2	0	0
<i>Charadrius tricollaris</i>	Three-banded Plover		37	57	4	0	0
<b><i>Vanellus armatus</i></b>	<b>Blacksmith Lapwing</b>	50	86	61	5	0	1
<i>Vanellus senegallus</i>	African Wattled Lapwing		16	61	4	0	0
<b><i>Vanellus coronatus</i></b>	<b>Crowned Lapwing</b>	80	88	63	3	4	5
<i>Rhinoptilus chalcopterus</i>	Bronze-winged Courser	10	6		3	4	4
<i>Cursorius temminckii</i>	Temminck's Courser		14	6	0	1	3
<i>Glareola nordmanni</i>	Black-winged Pratincole (NT)	10	2		0	2	2
<i>Larus cirrocephalus</i>	Grey-headed Gull		6	6	1	0	0
<i>Chlidonias leucopterus</i>	White-winged Tern		33	14	2	0	0
<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	Osprey			20	1	0	0
<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	Black-shouldered Kite	10	67	20	2	3	4
<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Black Kite		20	31	3	3	3
<i>Haliaeetus vocifer</i>	African Fish-Eagle		20	61	4	0	0
<i>Gyps africanus</i>	White-backed Vulture (VU)	30	2		1	1	0
<i>Gyps coprotheres</i>	Cape Vulture (VU)	30			1	1	0
<i>Aegypius tracheliotus</i>	Lappet-faced Vulture (VU)	10			1	1	0
<i>Circaetus pectoralis</i>	Black-chested Snake-Eagle	40	12	37	4	4	3
<b><i>Circaetus cinereus</i></b>	<b>Brown Snake-Eagle</b>	30	22	12	3	5	2
<i>Terathopius ecaudatus</i>	Bateleur (VU)	20	4		1	1	0
<i>Polyboroides typus</i>	African Harrier-Hawk		2	43	4	4	1
<i>Kaupifalco monogrammicus</i>	Lizard Buzzard		8		4	4	1
<i>Melierax canorus</i>	Southern Pale Chanting Goshawk	70	6		4	4	3
<i>Melierax gabar</i>	Gabar Goshawk	30	41		4	4	3
<i>Accipiter badius</i>	Shikra	30		20	4	4	4
<i>Accipiter minullus</i>	Little Sparrowhawk		29	14	4	4	1
<i>Accipiter ovampensis</i>	Ovambo Sparrowhawk		4	2	2	1	0
<i>Buteo vulpinus</i>	Steppe Buzzard	20	12	2	4	4	4
<i>Buteo rufofuscus</i>	Jackal Buzzard			20	0	2	0
<i>Aquila nipalensis</i>	Steppe Eagle	10			1	1	0
<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Tawny Eagle (VU)	30		12	2	3	1
<i>Aquila verreauxii</i>	Verreaux's Eagle		2	65	0	4	0
<b><i>Aquila spilogaster</i></b>	<b>African Hawk-Eagle</b>		2	41	2	5	2
<i>Aquila pennatus</i>	Booted Eagle	10			1	1	0
<i>Aquila wahlbergi</i>	Wahlberg's Eagle	30	14	47	4	4	3
<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Martial Eagle (VU)		6	18	1	1	1
<i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i>	Secretarybird (NT)	20	12	4	0	0	1
<i>Falco rupicolus</i>	Rock Kestrel	10	4	45	2	3	1
<i>Falco rupicoloides</i>	Greater Kestrel			2	0	0	1
<i>Falco amurensis</i>	Amur Falcon		2		0	0	1
<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Little Grebe		69	49	4	0	0
<i>Anhinga rufa</i>	African Darter		37	61	4	0	0
<b><i>Phalacrocorax africanus</i></b>	<b>Reed Cormorant</b>		53	71	5	0	0
<i>Phalacrocorax lucidus</i>	White-breasted Cormorant		65	61	3	0	0
<i>Egretta ardesiaca</i>	Black Heron			6	3	0	0
<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Little Egret		8	35	4	0	0
<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	Yellow-billed Egret		20	8	2	0	0
<i>Egretta alba</i>	Great Egret		2	37	3	0	0
<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Grey Heron		57	71	4	0	0
<i>Ardea melanocephala</i>	Black-headed Heron		27	65	4	0	1

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<i>Ardea goliath</i>	Goliath Heron		2	18	2	0	0
<i>Ardea purpurea</i>	Purple Heron		6	4	4	0	0
<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	Cattle Egret	30	75	67	4	4	4
<i>Ardeola ralloides</i>	Squacco Heron		4	65	4	0	0
<b><i>Butorides striata</i></b>	<b>Green-backed Heron</b>		12	65	5	0	0
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Black-crowned Night-Heron			4	4	0	0
<i>Ixobrychus minutus</i>	Little Bittern			4	3	0	0
<b><i>Scopus umbretta</i></b>	<b>Hamerkop</b>		22	73	5	2	1
<b><i>Bostrychia hagedash</i></b>	<b>Hadedda Ibis</b>		29	41	5	3	2
<i>Threskiornis aethiopicus</i>	African Sacred Ibis		24	6	4	0	0
<i>Platalea alba</i>	African Spoonbill		10	10	3	0	0
<i>Mycteria ibis</i>	Yellow-billed Stork (NT)		4	10	2	0	0
<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Black Stork (NT)		4	4	1	1	0
<i>Ciconia abdimii</i>	Abdim's Stork		1	4	0	0	1
<i>Ciconia ciconia</i>	White Stork	10	12	8	2	1	2
<i>Oriolus oriolus</i>	Eurasian Golden Oriole	10	4	2	1	1	0
<b><i>Oriolus larvatus</i></b>	<b>Black-headed Oriole</b>	30	73	73	5	5	1
<b><i>Dicrurus adsimilis</i></b>	<b>Fork-tailed Drongo</b>	80	94	94	5	5	5
<b><i>Terpsiphone viridis</i></b>	<b>African Paradise-Flycatcher</b>	10	41	51	5	4	1
<b><i>Nilaus afer</i></b>	<b>Brubru</b>	40	12	41	4	5	2
<b><i>Dryoscopus cubla</i></b>	<b>Black-backed Puffback</b>		69	78	5	5	4
<i>Tchagra senegalus</i>	Black-crowned Tchagra	40	4	59	4	4	3
<i>Tchagra australis</i>	Brown-crowned Tchagra	40	22	49	4	4	3
<i>Laniarius ferrugineus</i>	Southern Boubou			67	4	3	1
<i>Laniarius atrococcineus</i>	Crimson-breasted Shrike	40	35	6	3	4	4
<i>Telophorus sulfureopectus</i>	Orange-breasted Bush-Shrike		14	39	4	4	1
<i>Malaconotus blanchoti</i>	Grey-headed Bush-Shrike	20	75	51	4	4	1
<b><i>Prionops plumatus</i></b>	<b>White-crested Helmet-Shrike</b>	pers obs	20	53	4	5	3
<b><i>Batis molitor</i></b>	<b>Chinspot Batis</b>	40	65	59	5	5	5
<i>Corvus albus</i>	Pied Crow		39	10	3	2	3
<b><i>Lanius collurio</i></b>	<b>Red-backed Shrike</b>	50	25	24	4	5	5
<i>Lanius minor</i>	Lesser Grey Shrike	40	6	18	4	4	4
<i>Lanius collaris</i>	Common Fiscal	10	37	33	3	2	4
<b><i>Corvinella melanoleuca</i></b>	<b>Magpie Shrike</b>	70	80	55	3	5	4
<i>Eurocephalus anguitimens</i>	Southern White-crowned Shrike	50	67	18	3	4	4
<b><i>Campephaga flava</i></b>	<b>Black Cuckooshrike</b>			6	4	5	1
<i>Anthoscopus minutus</i>	Cape Penduline-Tit	20	2	2	2	3	1
<i>Anthoscopus caroli</i>	Grey Penduline-Tit			6	1	2	1
<b><i>Parus niger</i></b>	<b>Southern Black Tit</b>	30	67	65	4	5	3
<b><i>Parus cinerascens</i></b>	<b>Ashy Tit</b>	20			4	5	3
<i>Riparia paludicola</i>	Brown-throated Martin		6	2	3	0	1
<b><i>Hirundo rustica</i></b>	<b>Barn Swallow</b>	40	25	29	5	5	5
<i>Hirundo albigularis</i>	White-throated Swallow		2	8	4	3	2
<i>Hirundo dimidiata</i>	Pearl-breasted Swallow		2	4	4	4	2
<i>Hirundo cucullata</i>	Greater Striped Swallow		8	6	3	3	3
<i>Hirundo abyssinica</i>	Lesser Striped Swallow		31	67	4	4	4
<i>Hirundo semirufa</i>	Red-breasted Swallow	30	53	47	4	4	4
<i>Hirundo fuligula</i>	Rock Martin		6	33	2	3	1
<i>Delichon urbicum</i>	Common House-Martin		6	14	2	3	2
<b><i>Pycnonotus tricolor</i></b>	<b>Dark-capped Bulbul</b>	20	55	78	4	5	4

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<i>Pycnonotus nigricans</i>	African Red-eyed Bulbul	20	55	2	1	1	0
<b><i>Chlorocichla flaviventris</i></b>	<b>Yellow-bellied Greenbul</b>			6	5	5	0
<b><i>Sylvietta rufescens</i></b>	<b>Long-billed Crombec</b>	40	67	39	5	5	3
<i>Eremomela icteropygialis</i>	Yellow-bellied Eremomela	30	8	10	3	4	4
<i>Eremomela usticollis</i>	Burnt-necked Eremomela	30		4	3	4	4
<i>Acrocephalus palustris</i>	Marsh Warbler			2	4	4	1
<i>Acrocephalus gracilirostris</i>	Lesser Swamp-Warbler		2	10	4	0	0
<b><i>Phylloscopus trochilus</i></b>	<b>Willow Warbler</b>	20	8	4	5	5	4
<i>Turdoides bicolor</i>	Southern Pied Babbler	60	76		4	4	3
<i>Turdoides jardineii</i>	Arrow-marked Babbler	20	73	69	4	4	3
<b><i>Parisoma subcaeruleum</i></b>	<b>Chestnut-vented Tit-Babbler</b>	30	10	4	4	5	4
<b><i>Zosterops virens</i></b>	<b>Cape White-eye</b>		51	63	5	5	3
<i>Cisticola aberrans</i>	Lazy Cisticola			4	0	1	0
<b><i>Cisticola chiniana</i></b>	<b>Rattling Cisticola</b>	50	20	18	5	5	4
<i>Cisticola rufilatus</i>	Tinkling Cisticola	20			1	1	0
<i>Cisticola tinniens</i>	Levaillant's Cisticola		2	2	4	0	0
<b><i>Cisticola fulvicapilla</i></b>	<b>Neddicky</b>	20	2	33	5	5	5
<i>Cisticola juncidis</i>	Zitting Cisticola	20	14	10	3	0	4
<i>Cisticola aridulus</i>	Desert Cisticola	20	4	2	0	0	3
<i>Prinia subflava</i>	Tawny-flanked Prinia	30	18	57	4	2	0
<i>Prinia flavicans</i>	Black-chested Prinia	40	4	8	3	3	4
<i>Apalis thoracica</i>	Bar-throated Apalis			24	3	3	0
<b><i>Camaroptera brevicaudata</i></b>	<b>Grey-backed Camaroptera</b>	10	2	8	5	5	3
<b><i>Calamonastes fasciolatus</i></b>	<b>Barred Wren-Warbler</b>	20	2		4	5	4
<i>Mirafra passerina</i>	Monotonous Lark		8		2	3	3
<i>Mirafra africana</i>	Rufous-naped Lark	30	12	20	0	2	4
<i>Mirafra rufocinnamomea</i>	Flappet Lark			2	2	4	4
<i>Calendulauda sabota</i>	Sabota Lark	50	6	6	4	4	4
<i>Calendulauda africanoides</i>	Fawn-coloured Lark	10			0	1	1
<i>Pinarocorys nigricans</i>	Dusky Lark	10			0	1	1
<i>Eremopterix leucotis</i>	Chestnut-backed Sparrowlark	20	6		0	0	3
<i>Eremopterix verticalis</i>	Grey-backed Sparrowlark		2		0	0	1
<i>Calandrella cinerea</i>	Red-capped Lark	10	2		0	0	1
<b><i>Psophocichla litsitsirupa</i></b>	<b>Groundscraper Thrush</b>	30	75	73	3	5	3
<i>Turdus libonyanus</i>	Kurrichane Thrush		69	71	4	4	1
<i>Bradornis mariquensis</i>	Marico Flycatcher	60	57	35	2	3	4
<i>Melaenornis pammelaina</i>	Southern Black Flycatcher		10	35	4	4	3
<i>Sigelus silens</i>	Fiscal Flycatcher		12		2	2	1
<b><i>Muscicapa striata</i></b>	<b>Spotted Flycatcher</b>	40	18	12	5	5	2
<i>Myioparus plumbeus</i>	Grey Tit-Flycatcher		2	4	4	4	2
<i>Cossypha caffra</i>	Cape Robin-Chat		2	16	2	2	0
<i>Cossypha humeralis</i>	White-throated Robin-Chat		14	37	3	4	1
<b><i>Cercotrichas leucophrys</i></b>	<b>White-browed Scrub-Robin</b>	40	22	24	4	5	3
<i>Cercotrichas paena</i>	Kalahari Scrub-Robin	40	4		1	1	3
<i>Saxicola torquatus</i>	African Stonechat		14	2	2	0	1
<i>Oenanthe pileata</i>	Capped Wheatear	20	2		0	0	1
<b><i>Cercomela familiaris</i></b>	<b>Familiar Chat</b>		12	53	2	5	1
<i>Myrmecocichla formicivora</i>	Ant-eating Chat	50	33	6	0	1	1
<i>Thamnolaea cinnamomeiventris</i>	Mocking Cliff-Chat		6	27	0	2	0
<i>Onychognathus morio</i>	Red-winged Starling		41	67	1	3	0



SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<b><i>Lamprotornis nitens</i></b>	<b>Cape Glossy Starling</b>	70	84	82	5	5	4
<i>Lamprotornis chalybaeus</i>	Greater Blue-eared Starling	10	4	8	1	1	1
<b><i>Lamprotornis australis</i></b>	<b>Burchell's Starling</b>	10	59	4	3	5	5
<i>Cinnyricinclus leucogaster</i>	Violet-backed Starling	20	10	35	4	4	1
<i>Creatophora cinerea</i>	Wattled Starling	30	29	2	4	4	0
<i>Buphagus erythrorhynchus</i>	Red-billed Oxpecker (NT)		2	1	4	4	1
<i>Chalcomitra amethystina</i>	Amethyst Sunbird		8	45	3	3	0
<i>Cinnyris talatala</i>	White-bellied Sunbird	20	76	71	4	4	0
<i>Cinnyris mariquensis</i>	Marico Sunbird	30	65	45	3	4	4
<i>Bubalornis niger</i>	Red-billed Buffalo-Weaver	10	75	2	3	4	1
<b><i>Sporopipes squamifrons</i></b>	<b>Scaly-feathered Finch</b>	50	71	8	3	4	5
<b><i>Plocepasser mahali</i></b>	<b>White-browed Sparrow-Weaver</b>	40	43	24	2	5	4
<i>Ploceus intermedius</i>	Lesser Masked-Weaver		6	4	2	2	1
<i>Ploceus velatus</i>	Southern Masked-Weaver	20	65	67	4	4	4
<i>Ploceus cucullatus</i>	Village Weaver	10	2	41	4	4	2
<i>Anaplectes melanotis</i>	Red-headed Weaver			12	3	3	2
<b><i>Quelea quelea</i></b>	<b>Red-billed Quelea</b>	30	22	16	4	5	5
<i>Euplectes afer</i>	Yellow-crowned Bishop	10			1	0	0
<i>Euplectes orix</i>	Southern Red Bishop		27	18	3	2	2
<i>Euplectes albonotatus</i>	White-winged Widowbird		22	16	4	1	3
<i>Euplectes ardens</i>	Red-collared Widowbird		6		2	0	1
<i>Sporaeginthus subflavus</i>	Orange-breasted Waxbill		6	2	2	0	0
<i>Ortygospiza atricollis</i>	African Quailfinch	10	16	2	3	0	1
<i>Amadina erythrocephala</i>	Red-headed Finch	10	31		4	4	3
<i>Amadina fasciata</i>	Cut-throat Finch	10	51	2	4	4	4
<i>Coccyzygia melanotis</i>	Sweet Waxbill			4	0	1	0
<i>Estrilda erythronotos</i>	Black-faced Waxbill	40	10	2	3	4	4
<i>Estrilda astrild</i>	Common Waxbill		25	65	4	2	2
<b><i>Granatina granatina</i></b>	<b>Violet-eared Waxbill</b>	50	25	2	4	5	4
<b><i>Uraeginthus angolensis</i></b>	<b>Blue Waxbill</b>	80	86	76	5	5	5
<b><i>Pytilia melba</i></b>	<b>Green-winged Pytilia</b>	50	33	8	5	5	4
<b><i>Lagonosticta senegala</i></b>	<b>Red-billed Firefinch</b>	10	45	24	5	5	4
<b><i>Lagonosticta rhodopareia</i></b>	<b>Jameson's Firefinch</b>	pers obs	12	63	5	4	2
<i>Spermestes cucullatus</i>	Bronze Mannikin		35	16	3	3	2
<i>Vidua macroura</i>	Pin-tailed Whydah		10	31	4	4	4
<b><i>Vidua paradisaea</i></b>	<b>Long-tailed Paradise-Whydah</b>	30	37	14	4	5	5
<b><i>Vidua regia</i></b>	<b>Shaft-tailed Whydah</b>	40	20	4	4	5	5
<b><i>Vidua chalybeata</i></b>	<b>Village Indigobird</b>	pers obs	12		2	5	4
<i>Anomalospiza imberbis</i>	Cuckoo Finch		2		1	0	0
<i>Passer motitensis</i>	Great Sparrow	10	4		3	3	1
<i>Passer melanurus</i>	Cape Sparrow	10	22	10	2	2	2
<b><i>Passer diffusus</i></b>	<b>Southern Grey-headed Sparrow</b>	50	67	33	4	5	5
<i>Petronia supercilialis</i>	Yellow-throated Petronia	10		2	3	4	1
<i>Motacilla aguimp</i>	African Pied Wagtail		24	61	4	0	0
<i>Motacilla capensis</i>	Cape Wagtail		16	43	4	0	0
<i>Anthus lineiventris</i>	Striped Pipit			2	0	1	0
<i>Anthus cinnamomeus</i>	African Pipit	40	6	14	2	2	3
<i>Anthus leucophrys</i>	Plain-backed Pipit	10			0	1	1
<i>Anthus vaalensis</i>	Buffy Pipit			4	1	0	1
<i>Anthus similis</i>	Long-billed Pipit		2		0	0	1

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	R rate (%)*			HABITAT PREFERENCE		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC	RR	WD	CF
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns			
<i>Anthus caffer</i>	Bushveld Pipit		2	4	3	4	1
<b><i>Crithagra mozambicus</i></b>	<b>Yellow-fronted Canary</b>		51	80	5	5	4
<i>Crithagra atrogularis</i>	Black-throated Canary	50	69	8	4	4	4
<i>Crithagra flaviventris</i>	Yellow Canary	10	2		3	3	1
<i>Crithagra gularis</i>	Streaky-headed Seedeater			2	1	1	0
<b><i>Emberiza tahapisi</i></b>	<b>Cinnamon-breasted Bunting</b>	10	41	43	4	5	4
<b><i>Emberiza flaviventris</i></b>	<b>Golden-breasted Bunting</b>	50	31	71	5	5	4
Species for q.d.g.c:		164	289	286			
<b>Biodiversity Index:</b>					892	788	540

\*The reporting rate is calculated as follows: Total number of cards on which a species was reported X 100 ÷ total number of cards for a particular quarter degree grid cell.

## 7. FINDINGS AND POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS

The following Red Data bird species were recorded for the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC quarter degree grid cell (q.d.g.c) according to Harrison *et al.* (1997)(Table 2).

**Table 2: Red Data bird species recorded for the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC q.d.g.c.**

SCIENTIFIC NAME	ENGLISH NAME	Reporting rate (%)*		
		2327CB	2327DA	2327DC
		Steenbokpan	Ellisras	Afguns
<i>Alcedo semitorquata</i>	Half-collared Kingfisher ( <b>NT</b> )			12
<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Kori Bustard ( <b>VU</b> )	30	4	2
<i>Podica senegalensis</i>	African Finfoot ( <b>VU</b> )			12
<i>Glareola nordmanni</i>	Black-winged Pratincole ( <b>NT</b> )	10	2	
<i>Gyps africanus</i>	White-backed Vulture ( <b>VU</b> )	30	2	
<i>Gyps coprotheres</i>	Cape Vulture ( <b>VU</b> )	30		
<i>Aegypius tracheliotus</i>	Lappet-faced Vulture ( <b>VU</b> )	10		
<i>Terathopus ecaudatus</i>	Bateleur ( <b>VU</b> )	20	4	
<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Tawny Eagle ( <b>VU</b> )	30		12
<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Martial Eagle ( <b>VU</b> )		6	18
<i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i>	Secretarybird ( <b>NT</b> )	20	12	4
<i>Gorsachius leuconotus</i>	White-backed Night-Heron ( <b>VU</b> )			14
<i>Phoenicopterus ruber</i>	Greater Flamingo ( <b>NT</b> )		4	
<i>Phoenicopterus minor</i>	Lesser Flamingo ( <b>NT</b> )		6	
<i>Mycteria ibis</i>	Yellow-billed Stork ( <b>NT</b> )		4	10
<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Black Stork ( <b>NT</b> )		4	4
<i>Leptoptilos crumeniferus</i>	Marabou Stork ( <b>NT</b> )		2	
<i>Buphagus erythrorhynchus</i>	Red-billed Oxpecker ( <b>NT</b> )		2	1

Red Data Species for q.d.g.c: 8 12 10

\*The reporting rate is calculated as follows: Total number of cards on which a species was reported X 100 ÷ total number of cards for a particular quarter degree grid cell.

**Red Data Species Categories for the birds** (Barnes, 2000)

**RE** = Regionally extinct, **CR** = Critically Endangered, **EN** = Endangered, **VU** = Vulnerable, **NT** = Near-threatened.

Eighteen Red Data bird species have been recorded within the 2327CB, 2327DA and 2327DC q.d.g.c. (Table 2). None of these were observed on the study site during the time of the survey. The Half-collared Kingfisher, Kori Bustard, African Finfoot, Black-winged Pratincole, Cape Vulture, Tawny Eagle and Martial Eagle indicate a high reporting rate for one or more of the q.d.g.c, White-backed Vulture, Secretarybird, Lesser Flamingo and Yellow-billed Stork indicate a medium reporting rate, Lappet-faced Vulture, Bateleur, Greater Flamingo and Black Stork a low reporting rate and Marabou Stork and Red-billed Oxpecker a very low reporting rate.

#### **On site habitat assessment:**

Five Red Data species will be affected directly by the availability of water downstream from the proposed weir in the Crocodile River. These species are the Half-collared Kingfisher, African Finfoot, White-backed Night-Heron, Yellow-billed Stork and Black Stork.

#### **Half-collared Kingfisher (*Alcedo semitorquata*)**

Habitat: Clear fast-flowing rivers fringed with riparian growth (Barnes, 2000).

On site conclusion: Some areas along the Rietspruit could favour this species. The Mokolo River downstream from the Mokolo Dam wall also offers ideal habitat for this species. The construction of the pump station will have a minimum impact on this species and will be limited to the construction phase. The habitat where the pump station is to be constructed is suboptimal for this species. Water extraction will however have a negative impact on the water availability downstream.

#### **African Finfoot (*Podica senegalensis*)**


Habitat: According to Barnes (2000); Clear, perennial rivers and streams, lined with reeds, overhanging trees and shrubs and avoids stagnant and fast-flowing waters.

On site conclusion: The Rietspruit will not favour this species. The Mokolo River downstream from the Mokolo Dam wall could offer ideal habitat for this species. The construction of the pump station will have a minimum disturbance to this species and will be limited to the construction phase. The habitat where the pump station is to be constructed is suboptimal for this species. Water extraction will however have a negative affect on the water availability downstream


#### **White-backed Night-Heron (*Gorsachius leuconotus*)**

Habitat: Slow-moving streams and rivers overhung with thick tangles of reeds and trees.

On site conclusion: Some areas along the Rietspruit could favour this species. The Mokolo River downstream from the Mokolo Dam wall could also offer ideal habitat for this species. The construction of the pump station will have a minimum disturbance to this species and will be limited to the construction phase. The habitat where the pump station is to be constructed is suboptimal for this species. Water extraction will however have a negative affect on the water availability downstream.



**Figure 6: Map of sensitive areas for the Half-Collared Kingfisher and White-Backed Night Heron.**



**Figure 7: Map of sensitive areas for the Half-Collared Kingfisher and White-Backed Night Heron.**

### **Yellow-billed Stork (*Mycteria ibis*)**

Habitat: Prefers extensive systems of wetland, notably pans, marshes, lakes and floodplains.

On site conclusion: No suitable habitat was observed in the direct vicinity of the study site but suitable habitat might exist for this species further down stream along the Mokolo River.

### **Black Stork (*Ciconia nigra*)**

Habitat: The Black Storks are usually found in small flocks in grassland, open savanna and cultivated fields, often in the company of White Storks. They breed on high cliffs and forage in wetland systems such as rivers.

On site conclusion: No suitable habitat was observed in the direct vicinity of the study site but suitable habitat might exist for this species further down stream along the Mokolo River.

**Kori Bustard** prefers dry thornveld, grassland and semi-desert habitat, usually near the cover of trees. Within the area of the study site they will require open savanna woodland. The woodland along the route of the pipeline is mainly dense and unsuitable for this species and will not cross any areas with large suitable habitat.

**Black-winged Pratincole** prefers open grassland, fallow lands and edges of wetlands. This migratory species might forage over the areas that used to be cultivated fields but these areas along the path of the proposed pipeline are small and more suitable habitat exists for this species in areas surrounding the study site.

Large Red Data bird species, such as the **White-backed Vulture**, **Cape Vulture**, **Lappet-faced Vulture**, **Bateleur**, **Tawny Eagle** and **Martial Eagle**, will only be affected by large-scale development in the entire area of the study site. These species require large foraging ranges and are only likely to move over the areas on occasions. The **White-backed Vulture**, **Cape Vulture**, **Lappet-faced Vulture** are dependent on the availability of food and will only occur if it is available. No suitable cliffs where **Cape Vultures** and **Black Storks** could breed were identified on the route that the proposed pipeline will follow. The construction of the pipeline will only have an impact on these species during the construction phases and since these species forages over large ranges the construction of the pipeline will have little affect on these species.

**Secretarybirds** are restricted to large conservation areas and avoid densely wooded areas and hilly and mountainous areas. The study area mainly consists of densely wooded areas which this species avoids and will not cross-areas with suitable foraging habitat for this species. They are only likely to move over the area in search of suitable foraging and breeding habitat.

**Greater** and **Lesser Flamingo** prefers extensive systems of wetlands, notably pans, marshes, lakes and floodplains. There is no suitable habitat for this species along the entire stretch of the proposed pipeline route.

**Marabou Storks** are depended on the availability of food. They might only on rare occasions move over the area in search of food. It is unlikely that the construction of the pipeline will have a negative affect on this species.

**Red-billed Oxpeckers** will occur in any area where there are game and cattle from which they can feed on ticks found on these animals and are very unlikely to be affected by the construction of the pipeline.

## 8. LIMITATIONS, ASSUMPTIONS AND GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE

None

## 9. RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES

Mitigation measures proposed by the specialist:

- A thorough water study will have to be done to establish if enough water will be available downstream from the weir in the crocodile river especially in the area where the Crocodile river runs into the Limpopo River. Large amounts of water are being extracted legally and illegally out of this river for irrigation, mining and other purposes and further extraction of water for the pipeline at the weir will decrease the availability of water downstream. This will have a negative affect on Red Data and many other bird species that depend on water for foraging, roosting and breeding purposes.
- Where the pipeline will cross the Rietspruit and other drainage lines, the pipeline should be build over these wetland systems high enough to allow free movement of birds underneath the pipeline.
- The proposed route should preferably follow existing roads and railways. This will have a minimal effect on the natural vegetation on the route of the proposed pipeline.
- The area where the construction of the pipeline has been completed must be rehabilitated to its natural state as far as possible.
- Measures should be taken to prevent erosion in areas where the pipeline will cross hilly areas.
- Where possible **work should be restricted to one area at a time**. This will give the smaller birds, mammals and reptiles a chance to weather the disturbance in an undisturbed zone close to their natural territories.
- **No vehicles must be allowed to move in or across the wet areas or drainage lines and possibly get stuck**. This leaves visible scars and destroys habitat. It is important to conserve areas where there are tall reeds or grass and areas were there are short grass and mud.
- The contractor must ensure that no fauna species are disturbed, trapped, hunted or killed during the construction phase. Conservation-orientated clauses should be built into contracts for construction personnel, complete with penalty clauses for non-compliance.
- It is suggested that where work is to be done close to the drainage lines, these areas **be fenced off during construction** to prevent heavy machines and trucks from trampling the plants, compacting the soil and dumping in the system.
- During the construction phase noise must be kept to a minimum to reduce the impact of the development on the fauna residing on the site.
- Alien and invasive plants must be removed during the construction and operation phase of the project.



## 10. CONCLUSION

Three Red Data bird species will be impacted directly by the availability of water downstream from the Mokolo River pumpstation. These species are the Half-collared Kingfisher, African Finfoot, White-backed Night-Heron. The habitat in the Mokolo River and Rietspruit are ideal for these species (See Figure 2 and 3 above). The pipeline will only have a negative impact during the construction phase of the proposed pipeline through the wooded areas, where after the birds will return to the area if rehabilitation are carried out correctly.

## 11. LITERATURE SOURCES

- Barnes, K.N. (ed.). 1998. *The Important Bird Areas of southern Africa*. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Barnes, K.N. (ed.). 2000. *The Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg.
- Chittenden, H. 2007. *Roberts Bird Guide*. John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.
- Harrison, J.A., Allan, D.G., Underhill, L.G., Herremans, M., Tree, A.J., Parker, V. & Brown, C.J. (eds.). 1997. *The Atlas of Southern African Birds*. Vol. 1 & 2. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg.
- Hockey, P.A.R., Dean, W.R.J. & Ryan, P.G. 2005. *Roberts Birds of Southern Africa VII th Edition*, The Trustees of the John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.
- Keith, S., Urban, E.K. & Fry, C.H. 1992. *The Birds of Africa*. Vol. 4. Academic Press, London.
- Kemp, A.C. & Calburn, S. 1987. *The owls of southern Africa*. Cape Town: Struik Winchester.
- Maclean, G.L., 1990. *Ornithology for Africa*. University of Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg.
- Maclean, G.L., 1993. *Roberts' Birds of Southern Africa*. John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (eds) 2006. *The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Sinclair, I., Hockey, P. & Tarboton W. 2002. *Sasol Birds of Southern Africa*. Struik, Cape Town
- Sinclair I., & Hockey P. 2005. *The Larger Illustrated Guide to Birds of Southern Africa*. Struik, Cape Town
- Steyn, P. 1982. *Birds of prey of southern Africa*. Claremont, Cape Town: David Philip.
- Tarboton, W.R., Kemp, M.I., & Kemp, A.C. 1987. *Birds of the Transvaal*. Transvaal Museum, Pretoria.
- Tarboton, W., 2001. *A Guide to the Nests and Eggs of Southern African Birds*. Struik, Cape Town.
- Urban, E.K., Fry, C.H., & Keith, S., 1986. *Birds of Africa*. Vol. 2. Academic Press, London