APPENDIX J

SEDIMENT ANALYSIS

Our Reference: 00/01/01/L514

PIR/pn

OKOLO CROCODILE Consultants

26 October 2015

TCTA P O Box 10335 CENTURION 0046

Attention: Mr K Mabitsela

PROJECT: MOKOLO CROCODILE WATER AUGMENTATION PROJECT (MCWAP)CONTRACT No: TCTA 07- 041 CONSULTING SERVICES FOR MCWAPSUBJECT: VO 09 - INTERIM SEDIMENT QUALITY REPORT

1. Background:

The proposed pumping operation of the future abstraction works at Vlieëpoort will result in the abstraction of a portion of the suspended silt and clay fraction of the total sediment load in the Crocodile River (West). The initial EIA process has identified that, as a result of upstream development, heavy metals may be present in the sediment of the Crocodile River. It is thus required that a silt quality profile be established to guide the management of the silt abstracted.

VO 09 that facilitates the execution of the sediment baseline study process in the Crocodile River (West) includes for the quality and quantity monitoring of the sediment.

The volume of suspended sediment transported during low flow conditions is insignificant (<4g/l) and cannot be practically accurately quantified using approved conventional measuring techniques. The volume of suspended sediment measured during floods is variable and one of the subjects of investigation of the baseline study. Since the commencement of the baseline study in November 2012 there has only been one flood event (2014). The volume of suspended sediment collected during this flood event was also insufficient to allow quality testing.

2. Sampling and testing approach:

In order to assess the quality of the sediment that is likely to be abstracted at the Vlieëpoort Weir, two sediment samples were taken from the river bed in the Crocodile River (West) at the following locations:

- At Nooitgedacht weir Sample 1 (Upstream of Vlieëpoort)
- At Bridge upstream of Faure weir Sample 3 (Downstream of Vlieëpoort)

These sediment samples (Approximately 1kg per sample site) were sent for laboratory testing of several quality parameters. The results are presented in two formats:

• The first is the concentration of a particular substance when the sediment is mixed with distilled water in a ratio of 500g sediment to 1000 ml water to give a concentration in mg/l.

• The second is the concentration of a particular substance within the sediment only, expressed in mg/kg.

The above approach was followed to expedite the quality analysis of the sediment with the objective of verifying if the silt could potentially be classified as a waste. The chemical composition of the river bed sediment is regarded to be indicative of the potential chemical composition of the suspended sediment transported during floods. For the purpose of this baseline study it is further assumed that the quality of the raw water is directly proportional to the concentration of the suspended sediment.

The concentrations of the chemical composition of the above sediment samples cannot be directly compared with the available water and waste water standards. The sediment concentration in the first test sample is 500g/l. To put it in perspective, this is more than 25 times the expected sediment concentration (18g/l) during a flood with a 50 year return period or more than 5 times the expected sediment concentration (77g/l) during a flood with a 100 year return period. The test sample concentration is more than 1250 times the mean average annual river sediment concentration which is less than 0.4g/l.

For the purpose of this baseline study the test results of the first format is multiplied by a factor 0.05 to adjust the results for comparison with the available water and waste water standards. These adjusted values are reflected in the attached Table B.

The test results from the second format should be compared with available international standards for sediment quality. No adjustment is required for comparison with the standards.

3. Quantitative perspective

For the purpose of calculating indicative rough order of magnitude volumes of silt expected to be abstracted at Vlieëpoort, the following revised estimates are made based on the sediment grading:

- The gravel and sand fraction particles (>300µm) settles before the inlet structure, upstream of the weir, in the gravel trap and in the pump canals. (15%)
- The fine sand fraction particles (Between 300µm and 62 µm) (50%) are in temporary suspension, some settles in the pump canals and the rest in the de-silting works.
- Some of the silt (4 μm to 62 μm) settles in the de-silting works (34% of 25%), but the rest (66% of 25%) is deposited in the balancing dam.
- The clay fraction particles (< 4 µm) (10%) are in suspension for extended periods of time.
- 80% of the clay fraction is pumped to the end users, but this could be more depending on the design of the balancing dam.
- The fine sand, silt and clay fractions represents on average 85% of the total sediment yield.
- The clay fraction represents on average 10% of the total sediment yield.

The following table summarizes the rough order estimated sediment volumes:

Phase	2A	2B
Projected water demand (million m ³ /a.)	75	100
Annual sediment load in river (t/a)	765380	765380
Annual abstracted load (t/a)	19300	25700
Percentage of river load abstracted (%)	2.5%	3.3%
Annual sediment to be flushed back to river from the weir gravel trap(t/a)	2900	3900
Fine Sand, Silt and Clay fraction (85%)	16400	21850
Annual sediment load to be flushed back to river from de-silting works (t/a) (50%+34% of 25%)	11300	15000
Percentage of river load returned (%)	1.5%	2%
Annual sediment load deposited in the balancing dam (t/a) (20% of 10% plus 66% of 25%)	3580	4750
Annual sediment load pump to end users (cannot flush) (t/a) (80% of 10%)	1550	2050

An important principle is that on average less than 4% of the annual sediment load would potentially be abstracted and only up to 2% would be returned. If the sediment concentration in the river is being mimicked by the sediment concentration of the flushing return flow water, the incremental impact would be insignificant. For example 50% of the annual silt load can be flushed within a 3 day flood event not exceeding a sediment concentration of 5g/l using 114 000m³ of water from the balancing dam and maintaining a return flow rate of 0.44m³/s.

This interim report reflects the expected quality profile of the sediment scouring return flow.

4. Quality standards:

The chemical and biochemical analysis test results were compared to the following local and international standards for wastewater, water and sediment quality:

4.1. Wastewater Quality:

• National Water Act - Waste Discharge Standards (DWA 2010 guidelines)

4.2. Water Quality:

- South African Water Quality Guidelines Domestic Use
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Recreational Use
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Industrial Use
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Irrigation Use
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Livestock Watering
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Aquaculture Use
- South African Water Quality Guidelines Aquatic Ecosystem
- World Health Organization Guidelines for drinking-water quality
- Netherlands National Institute of Public Health and the Environment Maximum Permissible Concentrations for Metals – Fresh Water
- 4.3. Sediment Quality:
 - Sediment Management Standards Chapter 173-204 WAC Benthic Criteria
 - Belgium Flemish Environmental Protection Agency RV Reference Values

- Europe Technical Guidance Document on risk assessment PNECs_{ed} Predicted No Effect Concentration
- Europe Water Framework Directive QS_{sed} Quality Standard for sediment
- France SEQ eau Quality Criteria
- Canada Canadian Council for Ministers of the Environment ISQG Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines
- ICPR International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine ICPRRO Reference objectives
- USA Environmental Protection Agency SSB Sediment Screening Benchmarks
- Worldwide Use MacDonald, 2000 TEC Threshold effect Concentration
- USGS MacDonald, 2000 PEL Probable Effect Level
- Netherlands National Institute of Public Health and the Environment Maximum Permissible Concentrations for Metals Sediment
- 4.4. Review of the application of the South African Water Quality Guidelines-Volume 4-Agricultural Use: Irrigation:

In view of the extent of irrigation from the Crocodile River (West), the quality of the water for agricultural use is one of the fundamental drivers determining the environmental impact. The following table summarises the relevant guideline upper limits for the concentration of aluminium, iron and manganese in the water.

Element	Max Concentration mg/l *	Comments									
AI	5	Can cause non-productivity in acid soils (pH < 5.5), but more alkaline soils at pH > 7.0 will precipitate the ion and eliminate any toxicity. Soils have the capacity to adsorb complex aluminium ions, thereby reducing their toxicity to plants									
Fe	5	Not toxic to plants in aerated soils, but can contribute to soil acidification and loss of availability of essential phosphorus and molybdenum. Overhead sprinkling may result in unsightly deposits on plants, equipment and buildings (Stains).									
Mn	0.02	Plants vary in their sensitivity to manganese and toxicity has been observed at a fraction of a mg/l in nutrient solution. At fairly low concentrations manganese can cause the clogging of irrigation pipelines, drip and microjet emitters.									

* Volume 4: Agricultural Use: Irrigation – Second Edition 1996

4.5. Review of the application of waste discharge standards:

DWS published General and Special Authorisation of waste water discharge limits into a water resource in Government Gazette No. 230526 on 8 October 1999. The following table reflects some of the relevant limits:

Element	General Limit mg/l	Special Limit mg/l
Dissolved Al	No limit provided	No limit provided
Dissolved Fe	0.3	0.3
Dissolved Mn	0.1	0.1

The DWS 2010 Guidelines for waste discharge standards in terms of the National Water Act(NWA) replaced the above with the following:

Element	General Standards Existing Discharges mg/l	General Standards Future Discharges mg/l
Dissolved Al	No limit provided	0.03
Dissolved Fe	0.3	0.3
Dissolved Mn	No limit provided	No limit provided

It should be recognised that the basic characteristics of a natural sediment scouring return flow is different to that of the return flow from industrial or residential waste water works. Aluminium is one of the fundamental chemical elements of soil and sediment. The constraint imposed by the DWS 2010 Guidelines for waste discharge standards for aluminium is not regarded appropriate for sediment scouring. On the other hand no limit is imposed on Manganese which potentially can have a bigger impact on irrigation water quality. It is not regarded appropriate to have no limit.

It is recommended that the DWS 2010 Guidelines for waste discharge standards be adjusted as follows for this application:

Element	General Standards Future Discharges mg/l	Proposed Revised General Standards Future Sediment Discharges mg/l
Dissolved Al	0.03	0.3
Dissolved Fe	0.3	0.3
Dissolved Mn	No limit provided	0.1

4.6. Review of the application of the international sediment quality standards:

The Netherlands National Institute of Public Health and the Environment published guidelines for maximum permissible concentrations of metals in sediment. However, the focus is on toxic heavy metals and no limits are provided for aluminium (AI), iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn). This is aligned with the discussion in 4.5 above that these elements are a fundamental part of natural soil and sediment chemical composition.

5. Test Results

In order to determine the heavy trace metals, the inorganic technique of inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) was used.

In the attached Summary Table A the two sediment samples are compared to the above standards. Where the measured results exceed the guideline values, the relevant standards are presented in red. Where the measured results are below the guideline values they are presented in green. In some cases the guideline values are below the minimum test limit or not directly comparable due to difference in units, these values are indicated in black text.

The initial interpretation of the test results indicates high levels of aluminium (Al), iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) present in the sediment samples which probably relates to the metal mining activities in the catchment. The presence of the other heavy metals such as lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), arsenic (As), zinc (Zn), cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), mercury (Hg), antimony (Sb), selenium (Se), Vanadium (V) and nickel (Ni) are well within international acceptable norms.

It is also observed from the results that the upstream sample exhibits approximately five times less aluminium (AI) and iron (Fe) concentration compared to the downstream sample. The iron ore mining activities immediately upstream of proposed abstraction site at Vlieëpoort may contribute to these increased concentrations downstream.

The question is whether the higher levels of aluminium (AI), iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) present in the river bed sediment could result in the abstracted suspended sediment on MCWAP 2 being declared as waste material? The following table summarises the relevant test results:

Element (Inorganic Anions)		Sample 1			Sample 3	Indicative Max Guideline Limits		
	mg/l	*mg/l	mg/kg	mg/l	*mg/l	mg/kg	mg/l	
Aluminium (Al)	0.312	0.016	6.24	1.628	0.081	33	0.3	
Iron (Fe)	0.688	0.034	14	3.821	0.191	76	0.3	
Manganese (Mn)	0.516	0.026	10	0.201	0.004	4	0.1	

6. Conclusion

This interim report concludes that:

- a) The basis of assessing the potential waste characteristics of the sediment in the Crocodile river (West) is a complex combination of:
 - local waste water standards, local irrigation water quality standards and international sediment quality standards;
 - an understanding that the abstracted suspended sediment is less than 4% of the total average annual sediment load in the river and that only up to 2% is planned to be returned;
 - an understanding that the chemical characteristics of the sediment in the river are the same as the chemical characteristics of the sediment planned to be returned; and
 - an understanding that the concentration of the fine sand, silt and water matrix that is planned to be scoured back to the river, largely determines the environmental impact down stream of Vlieëpoort. It is the potential waste characteristics of this return scour stream that is relevant.
- b) In comparison to the guidelines for maximum permissible concentrations of metals in sediment published by the Netherlands National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, the test results for heavy metals are well within allowable guideline values. As such the sediment can be considered as a non-waste material;

- c) In comparison to the South African Water Quality Guidelines for maximum permissible concentrations of metals in irrigation water use, the test results for heavy metals are well within allowable values except that of manganese that is regarded to be potentially border line problematic. However, it should be noted that the concern about high levels of manganese is river system wide and the return of 2% of the sediment should not have in incremental impact if the sediment concentration in the returned scour flow mimics the sediment concentration in the river during flood events;
- The DWS 2010 Guidelines for waste discharge standards is not fully applicable for sediment scouring return flows and adjustment is required to deal with the elements contained in natural soils;
- e) In comparison to the proposed adjusted DWS 2010 Guidelines for waste discharge standards (applicable to sediments), the test results for heavy metals are well within allowable values.
- 7. Recommendations

It is recommended that:

- a) The proposed approach and adjustments be reviewed and approved by DWS in principle prior to the distribution of the final report; and
- b) The legal opinion obtained by TCTA regarding the definition of waste be reviewed in light of this interim report.

Yours sincerely,

J Pienaar Project Manager

Encl: Table A Table B

	Analyses Sample Number		oring 1 870	Monito 128	oring 3 871	National Water Ac Standards - DWA	t Waste Discharge A 2010 guidelines			South Af	rican Water Quality (Guidelines			World Health Organization Guidelines for		gement Standards VAC Benthic Criteria	Belgium - Flemish Environmental	Europe - Technical Guidance Document on risk assessment	Europe - Water Framework Directive - QS _{sed}	France - SEQ - eau
Dry Mass	id Rain / Distilled Water / H ₂ O ₂ Used (g)	-	00	Distiller 50	00	Existing General Standards	Future all discharges	Domestic Use Target Water	Recreational Use Target Water	Industrial Use Target Water	Irrigation Use Target Water	Livestock Watering Target Water	Aquaculture Use Target Water	Aquatic Ecosystem Target Water	Drinking-water Quality	SMS Freshwater Sediment - SCO	SMS Freshwater Sediment - CSL	Protection Agency RV Reference Values	PNEC _{sed} Predicted No Effect Concentration	Quality Standard for sediment	Quality Criteria
	sed (mℓ)		000		00			Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range							
pH Value			.1	8		5.5 - 9.5	5.5 - 7.5	6.0 - 9.0	6.5 - 8.5	7.0 - 8.0	6.5 - 8.4		6.5 - 9.0		6.5 - 8.5						
Inorganic		mg/ℓ		ů	mg/kg	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ		mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Chloride a		12	24	28	56			0 - 100		0 - 20	0 - 100	0 - 1500	0 - 16 µg/ł		< 250						
Sulphate a		19	38	38	76			0 - 200		0 - 30		0 - 1000			< 400						
Nitrate as		<0.2	<0.4	0.3	0.6	15	15	0 - 6				0 - 100	0 - 300		< 50						
Nitrite as I		<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	15	15	0 - 6				0 - 100	0 - 0.05		< 3						
Fluoride a		<0.2	<0.4	<0.2	<0.4	1	1	0 - 1.0			0 - 2.0	0 - 2.0		0 - 0.75	< 1.5						
Free & Sa	line Ammonia as N	1.1	2.2	2.6	5.2	3	1	0 - 1.0						0 - 7 Fg/ł		230	300				
Mercury a	s Hg	<0.001	<0.002	<0.001	<0.002	0.005	0.001	0 - 1 Fg/ł				0 - 1 Fg/ł	0 - 1 Fg/ł	0 - 0.04 Fg/ł	< 0.006	0.66	0.8	0.10	0.47	0.67	0.20
	Aluminium as Al	0.312	6.24	1.628	33	-	0.03	0 - 0.15			0 - 5	0 - 5	0 - 0.03	0 - 0.01	< 0.1						
	Arsenic as As	<0.010	<0.200	<0.010	<0.200	0.02	0.01	0 - 10 Fg/ł			0 - 0.1	0 - 1.0	0 - 0.05	0 - 0.01	< 0.01	14	120				
	Calcium as Ca	22	440	19	380			0 - 32				0 - 1000									
	Cadmium as Cd	< 0.005	<0.100	<0.005	<0.100	0.005	0.001	0 - 005 mg/l			0 - 0.01	0 - 0.01	0 - 0.2 Fg/ℓ	0 - 0.15 μg/l	< 0.003	2.1	5.4				
	Cobalt as Co	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500						0 - 0.05	0 - 1.0									
	Chromium as Cr	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500	0.05	0.02	0 - 0.050			0 - 0.10	0 - 1.0	0 - 20 Fg/ł	0 - 0.019	< 0.05	72	88				
	Iron as Fe	0.688	14	3.821	76	0.3	0.3	0 - 0.1		0 - 0.1	0 - 5.0	0 - 10	0 - 0.01		< 0.3						
ICP-OES	Potassium as K	5.1	102	6.3	126			0 - 50													
Quant	Manganese as Mn	0.516	10	0.201	4			0 - 0.05		0 - 0.05	0 - 0.02	0 - 10	0 - 0.1	0 - 0.180	< 0.4						
	Sodium as Na	13	260	28	560			0 - 100													
	Nickel as Ni	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500						0 - 0.2	0 - 1.0			< 0.07	26	110	28	2.94	-	22
	Lead as Pb	<0.020	<0.400	<0.020	<0.400	0.01	0.009	0 - 10 Fg/ł			0 - 0.2	0 - 0.1	0 - 0.01	0 - 0.2 µg/ł	< 0.01	360	1300				
	Antimony as Sb	<0.010	<0.200	<0.010	<0.200										< 0.02						
	Selenium as Se	<0.020	<0.400	<0.020	<0.400	0.02	0.008	0 - 20 Fg/ł			0 - 0.02	0 - 50 Fg/ł	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.002	< 0.01	11	20				
	Vanadium as V	<0.025	<0.500	0.029	0.58			0 - 0.1			0 - 0.1	0 - 1.0									
	Zinc as Zn	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500	0.1	0.05	0 - 3			0 - 1.0	0 - 20	0 - 0.03	0 - 0.002	< 3	3200	4200	168	37	-	120
E. Coli /1g	dry mass		53	<	6	20	20														
Faecal Co	liform Bacteria / 1g dry mass	1	53	v	:6	20	20	0													
Carbon [s] in %																				
Total Carl	oon [s] in %	0	22	0.	18																
Organic C	arbon [s] in %	0	15	0.	18			0 - 5 mg/ł													
Inorganic	Carbon [s] in %	0	.07	<0	.01																
Tri-Halon	ethanes [s] in ppm							0 - 100 Fg/ℓ								1					
Chlorofor	n	0.	002	<0.	001			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						1	< 0.3	1		1	1		
Methane,	bromodichloro	0.	002	0.0	003										< 0.06						
Methane,	dibromochloro	<0	001	0.0	003										< 0.1						
Bromofor	n	0.	006	0.0	008									1	< 0.1	1		1	1		
Phenol [s	l in ppm	<0	001	<0.	001	0.1	0.01	0 - 1 Fa/l					0 - 1 mg/ł	0 - 0.03 mg/ł					1		

	Analyses	Monit	oring 1	Monit	toring 3	Canada - Canadian Council for	ICPR - International Commission for the	USA - Environmental	Worldwide Use -		Netherlands I		Public Health and the E trations for Metals - Fr		m Permissible	Netherlands N		ublic Health and the E ntrations for Metals - S		n Permissable	
	Sample Number	12	12870 12871		2871	Ministers of the	Protection of the	Protection Agency -	MacDonald, 2000 - TEC Threshold	USGS - MacDonald, 2000 - PEL Probable		Concern		esh water							
TCLP / A	cid Rain / Distilled Water / H ₂ O ₂	Distille	d Water	Distille	ed Water	Environment - ISQG	Rhine - ICPR _{RO}	SSB Sediment	effect	Effect Level	MPA - Maximum			MPC = Maximum		MPA - Maximum			MPC = Maximum		
Dry Mas	s Used (g)	5	00	5	500	Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines	Reference	Screening Benchmarks	Concentration	2.1.001 2010.	Permissible	NA - Negligible Addition	Cb - Background Concentration	Permissible	NC - Negligible Concentration	Permissible	NA - Negligible Addition	Cb - Background Concentration	Permissible	NC - Negligible Concentration	
Volume	Used (mℓ)	10	000	1	000	Quality Guidennes	objectives	Denchinarks			Addition	Addition	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Addition	Addition	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	
pH Valu	e at 25°C	8	3.1	8	8.3															í – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
Inorgan	c Anions	mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
Chloride	as Cl	12	24	28	56															í – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
Sulphate	as SO4	19	38	38	76															('	
Nitrate a	s N	<0.2	<0.4	0.3	0.6																
Nitrite as	N	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2																
Fluoride	as F	<0.2	<0.4	<0.2	<0.4																
Free & S	aline Ammonia as N	1.1	2.2	2.6	5.2																
Mercury	as Hg	<0.001	<0.002	<0.001	<0.002	0.17	0.50	0.18	0.18	0.486	0.00023	0.0000023	0.00001	0.00024	0.000012	26	0.26	0.3	26	0.56	
	Aluminium as Al	0.312	6.24	1.628	33																
	Arsenic as As	<0.010	<0.200	<0.010	<0.200			9.8		17	0.024	0.00024	0.00077	0.025	0.001	160	1.6	29	190	31	
	Calcium as Ca	22	440	19	380																
	Cadmium as Cd	< 0.005	<0.100	< 0.005	<0.100			0.99		3.53	0.00034	0.0000034	0.00008	0.00042	0.000083	29	0.29	0.8	30	1.1	
	Cobalt as Co	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500			50			0.0026	0.000026	0.0002	0.0028	0.00023	10	0.1	9	19	9.1	
	Chromium as Cr	< 0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500			43.4		90	0.0085	0.000085	0.00017	0.0087	0.00026						
	Iron as Fe	0.688	14	3.821	76			20000												l'	
ICP-OE	Potassium as K	5.1	102	6.3	126															l'	
Quant	Manganese as Mn	0.516	10	0.201	4			460												l'	
	Sodium as Na	13	260	28	560															l'	
	Nickel as Ni	<0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500	-	50	22.7	22.7	36	0.0018	0.000018	0.0033	0.0051	0.0033	9.4	0.094	35	44	35	
	Lead as Pb	<0.020	<0.400	<0.020	<0.400			35.8		91.3	0.011	0.00011	0.00015	0.011	0.00026	4700	47	85	4800	132	
	Antimony as Sb	<0.010	<0.200	<0.010	<0.200			2			0.0062	0.000062	0.00029	0.0065	0.00035	16	0.16	3	19	3.2	
	Selenium as Se	<0.020	<0.400	<0.020	<0.400			2			0.0053	0.000053	0.00004	0.0053	0.000093	2.2	0.022	0.7	2.9	0.72	
	Vanadium as V	< 0.025	<0.500	0.029	0.58						0.0035	0.000035	0.00082	0.0043	0.00086	14	0.14	42	56	42	
	Zinc as Zn	< 0.025	<0.500	<0.025	<0.500	123	190	121	121	315	0.0066	0.000066	0.0028	0.0094	0.0029	480	4.8	140	620	145	
E. Coli /1	g dry mass	ŧ	53		<6																
Faecal C	oliform Bacteria / 1g dry mass	ŧ	53		<6															I'	
Carbon	[s] in %																			l'	
Total Ca	rbon [s] in %	0	.22	0).18																
Organic	Carbon [s] in %	0	.15	0).18																
Inorganie	Carbon [s] in %	0	.07	<	0.01															('	
Tri-Halo	methanes [s] in ppm																				
Chlorofo	rm		002		0.001																
Methane	ethane, bromodichloro		002		.003																
Methane	, dibromochloro	<0	.001	0.	.003															I	
Bromofo	rm	0.0	006	0.	.008			0.654												I	
Phenol	s] in ppm	<0	.001	<0	0.001			0.42													

Summary Table B (Adjusted Concentration)

	Analyses					National Water Act	Wasto Discharge									Sodimont Manag	ement Standards		Europe - Technical		
	Sample Number	Monitoring 1 12870		Monitoring 3 12871		Standards - DWA				South Afr	rican Water Quality C	Guidelines			World Health Organization		AC Benthic Criteria	Belgium - Flemish Environmental	Guidance Document	Europe - Water Framework	France - SEQ - eau
TCLP / Ac	id Rain / Distilled Water / H ₂ O ₂	Distilled Water	D	Distilled Wate	r			Domestic Use	Recreational Use	Industrial Use	Irrigation Use	Livestock Watering	Aquaculture Use	Aquatic Ecosystem	Guidelines for			Protection Agency RV Reference	PNEC Predicted	Directive - QS _{sed}	Quality Criteria
Dry Mass	Used (g)	25		25		Existing General	Future all discharges	Target Water	Target Water	Target Water	Target Water	Target Water	Target Water	Target Water	Drinking-water Quality	SMS Freshwater	SMS Freshwater	Values	NO Effect	Quality Standard for sediment	r
Volume U	lsed (ml)	1000		1000		Standards		Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	Quality Range	,	Sediment - SCO	Sediment - CSL		Concentration		
pH Value		8.1		8.3		5.5 - 9.5	5.5 - 7.5	6.0 - 9.0	6.5 - 8.5	7.0 - 8.0	6.5 - 8.4		6.5 - 9.0		6.5 - 8.5						
Inorganic	Anions	mg/ℓ mg/kg	m	g/e mg/	/kg	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/e	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ		mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Chloride a	is Cl	0.6 24	1.	.4 56	6			0 - 100		0 - 20	0 - 100	0 - 1500	0 - 16 µg/l		< 250						
Sulphate a	as SO4	0.95 38	1.	.9 76	6			0 - 200		0 - 30		0 - 1000			< 400			1			
Nitrate as	Ν	<0.2 <0.4	0.0	015 0.6	6	15	15	0 - 6				0 - 100	0 - 300		< 50			1			
Nitrite as N	1	<0.1 <0.2	<0	0.1 <0.	.2	15	15	0 - 6				0 - 100	0 - 0.05		< 3						
Fluoride as	s F	<0.2 <0.4	<0	0.2 <0.	.4	1	1	0 - 1.0			0 - 2.0	0 - 2.0		0 - 0.75	< 1.5						
Free & Sa	line Ammonia as N	0.055 2.2	0.	.13 5.3	2	3	1	0 - 1.0						0 - 7 Fg/ł		230	300				
Mercury as	s Hg	<0.001 <0.002	<0.	.001 <0.0	002	0.005	0.001	0 - 1 Fg/ł				0 - 1 Fg/ł	0 - 1 Fg/ł	0 - 0.04 Fg/ł	< 0.006	0.66	0.8	0.10	0.47	0.67	0.20
	Aluminium as Al	0.016 6.24	0.0	081 33	3	-	0.03	0 - 0.15			0 - 5	0 - 5	0 - 0.03	0 - 0.01	< 0.1						
	Arsenic as As	<0.010 <0.200	<0.	.010 <0.2	200	0.02	0.01	0 - 10 Fg/ł			0 - 0.1	0 - 1.0	0 - 0.05	0 - 0.01	< 0.01	14	120				
	Calcium as Ca	1.1 440	1.	.0 38	0			0 - 32				0 - 1000									
	Cadmium as Cd	<0.005 <0.100	<0.	.005 <0.1	00	0.005	0.001	0 - 005 mg/l			0 - 0.01	0 - 0.01	0 - 0.2 Fg/ł	0 - 0.15 μg/l	< 0.003	2.1	5.4				
	Cobalt as Co	<0.025 <0.500	<0.	.025 <0.5	500						0 - 0.05	0 - 1.0									
	Chromium as Cr	<0.025 <0.500	<0.	.025 <0.5	500	0.05	0.02	0 - 0.050			0 - 0.10	0 - 1.0	0 - 20 Fg/ł	0 - 0.019	< 0.05	72	88				
	Iron as Fe	0.0344 14	0.1	191 76	6	0.3	0.3	0 - 0.1		0 - 0.1	0 - 5.0	0 - 10	0 - 0.01		< 0.3						
ICP-OES	Potassium as K	0.3 102	0	.3 12	6			0 - 50													
Quant	Manganese as Mn	0.026 10	0.01	1005 4				0 - 0.05		0 - 0.05	0 - 0.02	0 - 10	0 - 0.1	0 - 0.180	< 0.4						
	Sodium as Na	0.7 260	1.	.4 56	0			0 - 100													
	Nickel as Ni	<0.025 <0.500	<0.	.025 <0.5	500						0 - 0.2	0 - 1.0			< 0.07	26	110	28	2.94	-	22
	Lead as Pb	<0.020 <0.400	<0.	.020 <0.4	400	0.01	0.009	0 - 10 Fg/ł			0 - 0.2	0 - 0.1	0 - 0.01	0 - 0.2 µg/ł	< 0.01	360	1300				
	Antimony as Sb	<0.010 <0.200	<0.	.010 <0.2	200										< 0.02						
	Selenium as Se	<0.020 <0.400	<0.	.020 <0.4	400	0.02	0.008	0 - 20 Fg/ł			0 - 0.02	0 - 50 Fg/ł	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.002	< 0.01	11	20				
	Vanadium as V	<0.025 <0.500	0.00	0145 0.5	58			0 - 0.1			0 - 0.1	0 - 1.0									
	Zinc as Zn	<0.025 <0.500	<0.	.025 <0.5	500	0.1	0.05	0 - 3			0 - 1.0	0 - 20	0 - 0.03	0 - 0.002	< 3	3200	4200	168	37	-	120
E. Coli /1g	dry mass	2.65		<6		20	20														
Faecal Co	liform Bacteria / 1g dry mass	2.65		<6		20	20	0													
Carbon [s																					
Total Carb	oon [s] in %	0.011		0.009																	
Organic Ca	arbon [s] in %	0.0075		0.009				0 - 5 mg/ł													
Inorganic (Carbon [s] in %	0.0035		<0.01																	
Tri-Halom	nethanes [s] in ppm							0 - 100 Fg/ł													
Chloroform	n	0.0001		<0.001											< 0.3						
Methane, I	bromodichloro	0.0001		0.00015											< 0.06						
Methane, o	dibromochloro	<0.001		0.00015											< 0.1						
Bromoform	n	0.0003		0.0004											< 0.1						
Phenol [s	l in pom	<0.001		<0.001		0.1	0.01	0 - 1 Fg/ł					0 - 1 mg/ł	0 - 0.03 mg/ł							

Summary Table B (Adjusted Concentration)

	Analyses	Monitoring 1	Moni	itoring 3	Canada - Canadian	ICPR - International	USA -	Worldwide Use -		Netherlands I		ublic Health and the E		m Permissible	Netherlands N			nvironment - Maximur	n Permissable
	Sample Number	12870		2871	Council for Ministers of the	Commission for the Protection of the	Environmental Protection Agency -	MacDonald, 2000 -	USGS - MacDonald,		Concent	rations for Metals - Fr	esh Water			Conce	ntrations for Metals - S	Sediment	
TCLP / A	cid Rain / Distilled Water / H ₂ O ₂	Distilled Water		ed Water	Environment - ISQG		SSB Sediment	TEC Threshold	2000 - PEL Probable	MPA - Maximum		1	MPC = Maximum		MPA - Maximum			MPC = Maximum	
Dry Mass	s Used (g)	25		25	Interim Sediment	Reference	Screening	effect Concentration	Effect Level	Permissible	NA - Negligible	Cb - Background	Permissible	NC - Negligible	Permissible	NA - Negligible Addition	Cb - Background	Permissible	NC - Negligible
Volume I	Jsed (ml)	1000	1	000	Quality Guidelines	objectives	Benchmarks		4	Addition	Addition	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Addition	Addition	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration
pH Value	at 25°C	8.1		8.3															
Inorganie	c Anions	mg/ℓ mg/kg	mg/e	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/ℓ	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Chloride a	as CI	0.6 24	1.4	56															
Sulphate	as SO4	0.95 38	1.9	76															
Nitrate as	N	<0.2 <0.4	0.015	0.6															
Nitrite as	N	<0.1 <0.2	<0.1	<0.2															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fluoride a	as F	<0.2 <0.4	<0.2	<0.4															('
Free & Sa	aline Ammonia as N	0.055 2.2	0.13	5.2															
Mercury a	as Hg	<0.001 <0.002	<0.001	<0.002	0.17	0.50	0.18	0.18	0.486	0.00023	0.0000023	0.00001	0.00024	0.000012	26	0.26	0.3	26	0.56
	Aluminium as Al	0.016 6.24	0.081	33															<u> </u>
	Arsenic as As	<0.010 <0.200	<0.010	<0.200			9.8		17	0.024	0.00024	0.00077	0.025	0.001	160	1.6	29	190	31
	Calcium as Ca	1.1 440	1.0	380															<u> </u>
	Cadmium as Cd	<0.005 <0.100	< 0.005	<0.100			0.99		3.53	0.00034	0.0000034	0.00008	0.00042	0.000083	29	0.29	0.8	30	1.1
	Cobalt as Co	<0.025 <0.500	<0.025	<0.500			50			0.0026	0.000026	0.0002	0.0028	0.00023	10	0.1	9	19	9.1
	Chromium as Cr	<0.025 <0.500	<0.025	<0.500			43.4		90	0.0085	0.000085	0.00017	0.0087	0.00026					['
	Iron as Fe	0.0344 14	0.191	76			20000												<u> </u>
ICP-OES	Potassium as K	0.3 102	0.3	126															<u> </u>
Quant	Manganese as Mn	0.026 10	0.01005	4			460												<u> </u>
	Sodium as Na	0.7 260	1.4	560															1
	Nickel as Ni	<0.025 <0.500	<0.025	<0.500	-	50	22.7	22.7	36	0.0018	0.000018	0.0033	0.0051	0.0033	9.4	0.094	35	44	35
	Lead as Pb	<0.020 <0.400	<0.020	<0.400			35.8		91.3	0.011	0.00011	0.00015	0.011	0.00026	4700	47	85	4800	132
	Antimony as Sb	<0.010 <0.200	<0.010	<0.200			2			0.0062	0.000062	0.00029	0.0065	0.00035	16	0.16	3	19	3.2
	Selenium as Se	<0.020 <0.400	<0.020	<0.400			2			0.0053	0.000053	0.00004	0.0053	0.000093	2.2	0.022	0.7	2.9	0.72
	Vanadium as V	<0.025 <0.500	0.00145	0.58						0.0035	0.000035	0.00082	0.0043	0.00086	14	0.14	42	56	42
	Zinc as Zn	<0.025 <0.500	<0.025	<0.500	123	190	121	121	315	0.0066	0.000066	0.0028	0.0094	0.0029	480	4.8	140	620	145
E. Coli /1	g dry mass	2.65		<6															<u> </u>
Faecal C	oliform Bacteria / 1g dry mass	2.65		<6															<u> </u>
Carbon [s] in %																		('
Total Car	bon [s] in %	0.011	0	.009															('
Organic (Carbon [s] in %	0.0075	0	.009															
Inorganic	Carbon [s] in %	0.0035	<	:0.01															
Tri-Halor	nethanes [s] in ppm																		
Chlorofor	m	0.0001	<0	0.001															(
Methane,	bromodichloro	0.0001	0.0	00015	1			1				1					1		(
Methane,	dibromochloro	<0.001	0.0	00015	1			1				1					1		(
Bromofor	m	0.0003	0.0	0004			0.654												('
Phenol [s	si in pom	<0.001	<0	0.001			0.42						l		l				